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#### Abstract

In this paper, two new operations on cubic graphs, namely, maximal product and residue product were presented, and some results concerning their degrees were introduced. Likewise, we presented certain types of cubic graphs, including totally irregular, strongly irregular, and strongly totally irregular cubic graphs, which are described for the first time here.
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## 1. Introduction

Graph theory serves as an exceptionally beneficial tool in solving combinatorial problems in various fields, such as geometry, algebra, number theory, topology, and social systems. A graph basically holds a model of relations, and it is used to depict the real-life problems encompassing the relationships among objects. To represent the objects and the relations between objects, the graph vertices and edges are employed, respectively. Fuzzy graph models are helpful mathematical tools in order to address the combinatorial problems in various fields incorporating research, optimization, algebra, computing, and topology. Due to the natural existence of vagueness and ambiguity, fuzzy graphical models are noticeably better than graphical models. Originally, fuzzy set theory was required to deal with many multifaceted issues, which are replete with incomplete information. In 1965, fuzzy set theory was first suggested by Zadeh [42]. Fuzzy set theory is a highly powerful mathematical tool for solving approximate reasoning-related problems. Jun et al. [11] introduced cubic sets. Later on, Muhiuddin et al. [14-16] applied the notion cubic sets on different aspects. The first description of fuzzy graphs was proposed by Kafmann [12] in 1993, taken from Zadeh's fuzzy relations [43-44]. However, Rosenfeld [31] described another detailed definition, including fuzzy vertex and fuzzy edges and various fuzzy analogs of graphical theoretical concepts, including paths, cycles, connectedness, etc. Akram et al. [1,2] presented new definitions of fuzzy graphs. Rashmanlou et al. [22-29] investigated different concepts on cubic graphs, vague graphs, and bipolar fuzzy graphs. Samanta et al. [32,33] introduced fuzzy competition graphs and some properties of irregular bipolar fuzzy graphs. Borzooei and Rashmanlou [3-6] studied new concepts on vague graphs. Gani and Radha [17,18] recommended regular fuzzy graphs and totally regular fuzzy graphs. Kumaravel and Radha [30] described the concepts of the edge degree
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and the total edge degree in regular fuzzy graphs. Latha and Gani defined neighborly irregular fuzzy graphs and highly irregular fuzzy graphs. Sunitha et al. [34,35] presented new concepts for fuzzy graphs. Talebi et al. [37-41] represented several concepts on interval-valued fuzzy graphs, intuitionistic fuzzy graphs, and bipolar fuzzy graphs. Shoaib et al. [36] given some results on pythagorean fuzzy graphs. Ghorai et al. [7-10] studied novel concepts in fuzzy graphs. Pal et al. [19-21] investigated several results on fuzzy graphs.

In this research, two new operations on cubic graphs, namely, maximal product and residue product were presented, and some results concerning their degrees were introduce. Also, we presented certain types of cubic graphs, including totally irregular, strongly irregular and strongly totally irregular cubic graphs.

## 2. Preliminaries

A fuzzy graph is of the from $G=(\psi, \phi)$ which is a pair of mappings $\psi: V \rightarrow[0,1]$ and $\phi: V \times V \rightarrow[0,1]$ as is defined as $\phi(m, n) \leq \psi(m) \wedge \psi(n), \forall m, n \in V$, and $\phi$ is a symmetric fuzzy relation on $\psi$ and $\wedge$ denotes minimum.

Let $X$ be a non-empty set. A function $A: X \rightarrow[I]$ is called an interval-valued fuzzy set (shortly, IVF set) in $X$. Let $[I]^{X}$ stands for the set of all IVF sets in $X$. For every $A \in$ $[I]^{X}$ and $x \in X, A(x)=\left[A^{-}(x), A^{+}(x)\right]$ is called the degree of membership of an element $x \in A$, where $A^{-}: X \rightarrow I$ and $A^{+}: X \rightarrow I$ are fuzzy sets in $X$ which are called a lower fuzzy set and upper fuzzy set in $X$, respectively. For simplicity, we denote $A=\left[A^{-}, A^{+}\right]$. For every $A, B \in[I]^{X}$, we define $A \subseteq B$ if and only if $A(x) \leq B(x)$, for all $x \in X$.

Definition 2.1. Let $A=\left[A^{-}, A^{+}\right]$, and $B=\left[B^{-}, B^{+}\right]$be two interval valued fuzzy sets in $X$. Then, we define

$$
\begin{aligned}
& r \min \{A(x), B(x)\}=\left[\min \left\{A^{-}(x), B^{-}(x)\right\}, \min \left\{A^{+}(x), B^{+}(x)\right\}\right] \\
& r \max \{A(x), B(x)\}=\left[\max \left\{A^{-}(x), B^{-}(x)\right\}, \max \left\{A^{+}(x), B^{+}(x)\right\}\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

Definition 2.2. Let $x$ be a non-empty set. By a cubic set in $X$, we mean a structure $A=$ $\{\langle x, A(x), \lambda(x): x \in X\rangle\}$ in which $A$ is an interval-valued fuzzy sets in $X$ and $\lambda$ is a fuzzy set in $X$. A cubic set $A=\{\langle m, A(m), \lambda(m): m \in X\rangle\}$ is simply denoted by $A=\langle A, \lambda\rangle$. The collection of all cubic sets in $X$ is denoted by $C P(X)$.

Definition 2.3. A cubic graph is a triple $\zeta=\left(G^{*}, P, Q\right)$ where $G^{*}=(V, E)$ is a graph, $P=\left(\widetilde{\mu_{P}}, \lambda_{P}\right)$ is a cubic set on $V$ and $Q=\left(\widetilde{\mu_{Q}}, \lambda_{Q}\right)$ is a cubic set on $V \times V$ so that $\widetilde{\mu_{Q}}(m n) \leq r \min \left\{\widetilde{\mu_{P}}(m), \widetilde{\mu_{P}}(n)\right\}$ and $\lambda_{Q}(m n) \geq \max \left\{\lambda_{P}(m), \lambda_{P}(n)\right\}$.

## 3. New concepts of cubic graphs

Definition 3.1. Let $\zeta_{1}=\left(P_{1}, Q_{1}\right)$ and $\zeta_{2}=\left(P_{2}, Q_{2}\right)$ be two cubic graphs with underlying crisp graphs $G_{1}=\left(V_{1}, E_{1}\right)$ and $G_{2}=\left(V_{2}, E_{2}\right)$, respectively. $\zeta_{1} * \zeta_{2}=(P, Q)$ is called maximal cubic graph with underlying crisp graph $G=(V, E)$, where $V=V_{1} \times V_{2}$ and $E=$ $\left\{\left(m_{1}, n_{1}\right)\left(m_{2}, n_{2}\right) \mid m_{1}=m_{2}, n_{1} n_{2} \in E_{2}\right.$ or $\left.n_{1}=n_{2}, m_{1} m_{2} \in E_{1}\right\}$.
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$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { (i) }\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\left(\widetilde{\mu_{P_{1}}} * \widetilde{\mu_{P_{2}}}\right)(m, n)=r \max \left\{\widetilde{\mu_{P_{1}}}(m), \widetilde{\mu_{P_{2}}}(n)\right\}, \\
\left(\lambda_{P_{1}} * \lambda_{P_{2}}\right)(m, n)=\min \left\{\lambda_{P_{1}}(m), \lambda_{P_{2}}(n)\right\}, \\
\text { for all }(m, n) \in V=V_{1} \times V V_{2}
\end{array}\right. \\
& \text { (ii) }\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\left(\widetilde{\mu_{Q_{1}}} * \widetilde{\mu_{Q_{2}}}\right)\left(\left(m_{1}, n_{1}\right)\left(m_{2}, n_{2}\right)\right)=r \max \left(\widetilde{\mu_{P_{1}}}\left(m_{1}\right), \widetilde{\mu_{Q_{2}}}\left(n_{1} n_{2}\right)\right), \\
\left(\lambda_{Q_{1}} * \lambda_{Q_{2}}\right)\left(\left(m_{1}, n_{1}\right)\left(m_{2}, n_{2}\right)\right)=\min \left(\lambda_{P_{1}}\left(m_{1}\right), \lambda_{Q_{2}}\left(n_{1} n_{2}\right)\right), \\
m_{1}=m_{2}, n_{1} n_{2} \in E_{2},
\end{array}\right. \\
& \text { (iii) }\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\left(\widetilde{\mu_{Q_{1}}} * \widetilde{\mu_{Q_{2}}}\right)\left(\left(m_{1}, n_{1}\right)\left(m_{2}, n_{2}\right)\right)=r \max \left(\widetilde{\mu_{P_{2}}}\left(n_{1}\right), \widetilde{\mu_{Q_{1}}}\left(m_{1} m_{2}\right)\right), \\
\left(\lambda_{Q_{1}} * \lambda_{Q_{2}}\right)\left(\left(m_{1}, n_{1}\right)\left(m_{2}, n_{2}\right)\right)=\min \left(\lambda_{P_{2}}\left(n_{1}\right), \lambda_{Q_{1}}\left(m_{1} m_{2}\right)\right), \\
m_{1} m_{2} \in E_{1}, n_{1}=n_{2} .
\end{array}\right.
\end{aligned}
$$

Theorem 3.2. The maximal product of two cubic graphs $\zeta_{1}$ and $\zeta_{2}$, is a cubic graph, too.
Proof: Let $\zeta_{1}=\left(P_{1}, Q_{1}\right)$ and $\zeta_{2}=\left(P_{2}, Q_{2}\right)$ be two cubic graphs and $\left(\left(m_{1}, m_{2}\right)\left(n_{1}, n_{2}\right)\right) \in E_{1} \times E_{2}$. Then, by Definition 3, we have two cases:

$$
\text { (i) } m_{1}=n_{1}=m
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\left(\widetilde{\mu_{Q_{1}}} * \widetilde{\mu_{Q_{2}}}\right)(( \left.\left.m, m_{2}\right)\left(m, n_{2}\right)\right)=r \max \left(\widetilde{\mu_{P_{1}}}(m), \widetilde{\mu_{Q_{2}}}\left(m_{2} n_{2}\right)\right) \\
& \leq r \max \left\{\widetilde{\mu_{P_{1}}}(m), r \min \left\{\widetilde{\mu_{P_{2}}}\left(m_{2}\right), \widetilde{\mu_{Q_{2}}}\left(n_{2}\right)\right\}\right\} \\
&= r \min \left\{r \max \left\{\widetilde{\mu_{P_{1}}}(m), \widetilde{\mu_{P_{2}}}\left(m_{2}\right)\right\}, r \max \left\{\widetilde{\mu_{P_{1}}}(m), \widetilde{\mu_{P_{2}}}\left(n_{2}\right)\right\}\right\} \\
& \quad= r \min \left\{\left(\widetilde{\mu_{P_{1}}} * \widetilde{\mu_{P_{2}}}\right)\left(m, m_{2}\right),\left(\widetilde{\mu_{P_{1}}} * \widetilde{\mu_{P_{2}}}\right)\left(m, n_{2}\right)\right\}, \\
&\left(\lambda_{Q_{1}} * \lambda_{Q_{2}}\right)\left((m, m 2)\left(m, n_{2}\right)\right)=\min \left\{\lambda_{P_{1}}(m), \lambda_{P_{2}}\left(m_{2} n_{2}\right)\right\} \\
& \geq \min \left\{\lambda_{P_{1}}(m), \max \left\{\lambda_{P_{2}}\left(m_{2}\right), \lambda_{P_{2}}\left(n_{2}\right)\right\}\right\} \\
&=\max \left\{\min \left\{\lambda_{P_{1}}(m), \lambda_{P_{2}}\left(m_{2}\right)\right\}, \min \left\{\lambda_{P_{1}}(m), \lambda_{P_{2}}\left(n_{2}\right)\right\}\right\} \\
&=\max \left\{\left(\lambda_{P_{1}} * \lambda_{P_{2}}\right)\left(m, m_{2}\right),\left(\lambda_{P_{1}} * \lambda_{P_{2}}\right)\left(m, n_{2}\right)\right\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

(i) if $m_{2}=n_{2}=z$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(\widetilde{\mu_{Q_{1}}} * \widetilde{\mu_{Q_{2}}}\right)( & \left.\left(m_{1}, z\right)\left(n_{1}, z\right)\right)=r \max \left\{\widetilde{\mu_{Q_{1}}}\left(m_{1} n_{1}\right), \widetilde{\mu_{P_{2}}}(z)\right\} \\
& \leq r \max \left\{r \min \left\{\widetilde{\mu_{P_{1}}}\left(m_{1}\right), \widetilde{\mu_{P_{1}}}\left(n_{1}\right)\right\}, \widetilde{\mu_{P_{2}}}(z)\right\} \\
& =r \min \left\{r \max \left\{\widetilde{\mu_{P_{1}}}\left(m_{1}\right), \widetilde{\mu_{P_{2}}}(z)\right\}, r \max \left\{\widetilde{\mu_{P_{1}}}\left(n_{1}\right), \widetilde{\mu_{P_{2}}}(z)\right\}\right\} \\
& \left.=r \min \left\{\widetilde{\mu_{P_{1}}} * \widetilde{\mu_{P_{2}}}\right)\left(m_{1}, z\right),\left(\widetilde{\mu_{P_{1}}} * \widetilde{\mu_{P_{2}}}\right)\left(n_{1}, z\right)\right\},
\end{aligned}
$$
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$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(\lambda_{Q_{1}} * \lambda_{Q_{2}}\right) & \left(\left(m_{1}, z\right)\left(n_{1}, z\right)\right)=\min \left\{\lambda_{Q_{1}}\left(m_{1} n_{1}\right), \lambda_{P_{2}}(z)\right\} \\
& \geq \min \left\{\max \left\{\lambda_{P_{1}}\left(m_{1}\right), \lambda_{P_{1}}\left(n_{1}\right)\right\}, \lambda_{P_{2}}(z)\right\} \\
& =\max \left\{\min \left\{\lambda_{P_{1}}\left(m_{1}\right), \lambda_{P_{2}}(z)\right\}, \min \left\{\lambda_{P_{1}}\left(n_{1}\right), \lambda_{P_{2}}(z)\right\}\right\} \\
& =\max \left\{\left(\lambda_{P_{1}} * \lambda_{P_{2}}\right)\left(m_{1}, z\right),\left(\lambda_{P_{1}} * \lambda_{P_{2}}\right)\left(n_{1}, z\right)\right\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence, $\zeta_{1} * \zeta_{2}$ is a cubic graph.
Definition 3.3. A Cubic graph $\zeta=(P, Q)$ is strong if: $\widetilde{\mu_{Q}}(m n)=\widetilde{\mu_{P}}(m) \wedge$ $\widetilde{\mu_{P}}(n), \quad \lambda_{Q}(m n)=\lambda_{P}(m) \vee \lambda_{P}(n)$, for all $m n \in E$.

Theorem 3.4. The maximal product of two strong cubic graphs $\zeta_{1}$ and $\zeta_{2}$, is a strong cubic graph.
Proof: Let $\zeta_{1}=\left(P_{1}, Q_{1}\right)$ and $\zeta_{2}=\left(P_{2}, Q_{2}\right)$ be two strong cubic graphs. Then $\widetilde{\mu_{Q_{1}}}\left(m_{1} m_{2}\right)=r \min \left(\widetilde{\mu_{P_{1}}}\left(m_{1}\right), \widetilde{\mu_{P_{1}}}\left(m_{2}\right)\right), \lambda_{Q_{1}}\left(m_{1} m_{2}\right)=\max \left(\lambda_{P_{1}}\left(m_{1}\right), \lambda_{P_{2}}\left(m_{2}\right)\right)$, for any $m_{1} m_{2} \in E_{1}$ and $\widetilde{\mu_{Q_{2}}}\left(n_{1} n_{2}\right)=r \min \left(\widetilde{\mu_{P_{1}}}\left(n_{1}\right), \widetilde{\mu_{2}}\left(n_{2}\right)\right), \lambda_{Q_{2}}\left(n_{1} n_{2}\right)=$ $\max \left(\lambda_{P_{1}}\left(n_{1}\right), \lambda_{P_{2}}\left(n_{2}\right)\right)$, for any $n_{1} n_{2} \in E_{2}$. Then, proceeding according to the definition of maximal product,
(i) if $n_{1}=n_{2}$ and $m_{1} m_{2} \in E_{2}$. Then,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(\widetilde{\mu_{Q_{1}}} * \widetilde{\mu_{Q_{2}}}\right)( & \left.\left(n_{1}, m_{1}\right)\left(n_{2}, m_{2}\right)\right)=r \max \left\{\widetilde{\mu_{P_{1}}}\left(n_{1}\right), \widetilde{\mu_{Q_{2}}}\left(m_{1} m_{2}\right)\right\} \\
= & r \max \left\{\widetilde{\mu_{P_{1}}}\left(n_{1}\right), r \min \left\{\widetilde{\mu_{P_{2}}}\left(m_{1}\right), \widetilde{\mu_{P_{2}}}\left(m_{2}\right)\right\}\right\} \\
& =r \min \left\{r \max \left\{\widetilde{\mu_{P_{1}}}\left(n_{1}\right), \widetilde{\mu_{P_{2}}}\left(m_{1}\right)\right\}, r \max \left\{\widetilde{\mu_{P_{1}}}\left(n_{1}\right), \widetilde{\mu_{P_{2}}}\left(m_{2}\right)\right\}\right\} \\
& =r \min \left\{\left(\widetilde{\mu_{P_{1}}} * \widetilde{\mu_{P_{2}}}\right)\left(n_{1}, m_{1}\right),\left(\widetilde{\mu_{P_{1}}} * \widetilde{\mu_{P_{2}}}\right)\left(n_{1}, m_{2}\right)\right\}, \\
\left(\lambda_{Q_{1}} * \lambda_{Q_{2}}\right)( & \left.\left(n_{1}, m_{1}\right)\left(n_{2}, m_{2}\right)\right)=\min \left\{\lambda_{P_{1}}\left(n_{1}\right), \lambda_{Q_{2}}\left(m_{1} m_{2}\right)\right\} \\
& =\min \left\{\lambda_{P_{1}}\left(n_{1}\right), \max \left\{\lambda_{P_{2}}\left(m_{1}\right), \lambda_{P_{2}}\left(m_{2}\right)\right\}\right\} \\
& =\max \left\{\min \left\{\lambda_{P_{1}}\left(n_{1}\right), \lambda_{P_{2}}\left(m_{1}\right)\right\}, \min \left\{\lambda_{P_{1}}\left(n_{1}\right), \lambda_{P_{2}}\left(m_{2}\right)\right\}\right\} \\
& =\max \left\{\left(\lambda_{P_{1}} * \lambda_{P_{2}}\right)\left(n_{1}, m_{1}\right),\left(\lambda_{P_{1}} * \lambda_{P_{2}}\right)\left(n_{1}, m_{2}\right)\right\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

(ii) if $m_{1}=m_{2}$ and $n_{1} n_{2} \in E_{1}$. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(\widetilde{\mu_{Q_{1}}} * \widetilde{\mu_{Q_{2}}}\right)( & \left.\left(n_{1}, m_{1}\right)\left(n_{2}, m_{2}\right)\right)=r \max \left\{\widetilde{\mu_{Q_{1}}}\left(n_{1} n_{2}\right), \widetilde{\mu_{P_{2}}}\left(m_{2}\right)\right\} \\
& =r \max \left\{r \min \left\{\widetilde{\mu_{P_{1}}}\left(n_{1}\right), \widetilde{\mu_{P_{2}}}\left(n_{2}\right)\right\}, \widetilde{\mu_{P_{2}}}\left(m_{2}\right)\right\} \\
& =r \min \left\{r \max \left\{\widetilde{\mu_{P_{1}}}\left(n_{1}\right), \widetilde{\mu_{P_{2}}}\left(m_{2}\right)\right\}, r \max \left\{\widetilde{\mu_{P_{1}}}\left(n_{2}\right), \widetilde{\mu_{P_{2}}}\left(m_{2}\right)\right\}\right\} \\
& =r \min \left\{\left(\widetilde{\mu_{P_{1}}} * \widetilde{\mu_{P_{2}}}\right)\left(n_{1}, m_{2}\right),\left(\widetilde{\mu_{P_{1}}} * \widetilde{\mu_{P_{2}}}\right)\left(n_{2}, m_{2}\right)\right\},
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
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& \begin{aligned}
\left(\lambda_{Q_{1}} * \lambda_{Q_{2}}\right)( & \left.\left(n_{1}, m_{1}\right)\left(n_{2}, m_{2}\right)\right)=\min \left\{\lambda_{Q_{1}}\left(n_{1} n_{2}\right), \lambda_{P_{2}}\left(m_{2}\right)\right\} \\
& =\min \left\{\max \left\{\lambda_{P_{1}}\left(n_{1}\right), \lambda_{P_{1}}\left(n_{2}\right)\right\}, \lambda_{P_{2}}\left(m_{2}\right)\right\} \\
& =\max \left\{\min \left\{\lambda_{P_{1}}\left(n_{1}\right), \lambda_{P_{2}}\left(m_{2}\right)\right\}, \min \left\{\lambda_{P_{1}}\left(n_{2}\right), \lambda_{P_{2}}\left(m_{2}\right)\right\}\right\} \\
& =\max \left\{\left(\lambda_{P_{1}} * \lambda_{P_{2}}\right)\left(n_{1}, m_{2}\right),\left(\lambda_{P_{1}} * \lambda_{P_{2}}\right)\left(n_{2}, m_{2}\right)\right\} .
\end{aligned}
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore, $\zeta_{1} * \zeta_{2}$ is a strong cubic graph.
Remark 3.5. If the maximal product of two cubic graphs $\left(\zeta_{1} * \zeta_{2}\right)$ is a strong, then $\zeta_{1}$ and $\zeta_{2}$ need not to be strong, in general.

Definition 3.6. A cubic graph $\zeta$ is called complete if: $\widetilde{\mu_{Q}}(m n)=\widetilde{\mu_{P}}(m) \wedge \widetilde{\mu_{P}}(n)$,
$\lambda_{Q}(m n)=\lambda_{P}(m) \vee \lambda_{P}(n)$, for all $m, n \in V$.
Remark 3.7. The maximal product of two complete cubic graphs is not a complete cubic graph, in general. Because we do not include the case $\left(m_{1}, m_{2}\right) \in E_{1}$ and $\left(n_{1}, n_{2}\right) \in E_{2}$ in the definition of the maximal product of two cubic graphs.

Remark 3.8. The maximal product of two complete cubic graphs is strong cubic graph.
Definition 3.9. The residue product $\zeta_{1} \cdot \zeta_{2}$ of two cubic graphs $\zeta_{1}=\left(P_{1}, Q_{1}\right)$ and $\zeta_{2}=$ $\left(P_{2}, Q_{2}\right)$ is defined as:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { (i) }\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\left(\widetilde{\mu_{P_{1}}} \cdot \widetilde{\mu_{P_{2}}}\right)\left(\left(m_{1}, m_{2}\right)\right)=r \max \left\{\widetilde{\mu_{P_{1}}}\left(m_{1}\right), \widetilde{\mu_{P_{2}}}\left(m_{2}\right)\right\}, \\
\left(\lambda_{P_{1}} \cdot \lambda_{P_{2}}\right)\left(\left(m_{1}, m_{2}\right)\right)=\min \left\{\lambda_{P_{1}}\left(m_{1}\right), \lambda_{P_{2}}\left(m_{2}\right)\right\}, \\
\text { for all }\left(m_{1}, m_{2}\right) \in V_{1} \times V_{2}
\end{array}\right. \\
& \text { (ii) }\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\left(\widetilde{\mu_{Q_{1}}} \cdot \widetilde{\mu_{Q_{2}}}\right)\left(\left(m_{1}, m_{2}\right)\left(n_{1}, n_{2}\right)\right)=\widetilde{\mu_{Q_{1}}}\left(m_{1} n_{1}\right), \\
\left(\lambda_{Q_{1}} \cdot \lambda_{Q_{2}}\right)\left(\left(m_{1}, m_{2}\right)\left(n_{1}, n_{2}\right)\right)=\lambda_{Q_{1}}\left(m_{1} n_{1}\right), \\
\text { for all } m_{1} n_{1} \in E_{1}, m_{2} \neq n_{2} .
\end{array}\right.
\end{aligned}
$$

Example 3.10. Consider the cubic graphs $\zeta_{1}$ and $\zeta_{2}$ as in Figure 1. The residue product of $\zeta_{1}$ and $\zeta_{2}\left(\zeta_{1} \cdot \zeta_{2}\right)$ shown in Figure 2.

Definition 3.11. Let $\zeta$ be a cubic graph. The degree of a vertex $m$ in $\zeta$ is defined by:
$d_{\zeta}(m)=\left(\sum_{\substack{m \neq n \\ n \in V}} \widetilde{\mu_{Q}}(m n), \sum_{\substack{m \neq n \\ n \in V}} \lambda_{Q}(m n)\right)=\left(d^{P}(m), d^{Q}(n)\right)$
Definition 3.12. An cubic graph $\zeta$ is said to be an irregular cubic graph if there is a vertex which is adjacent to vertices with distinct degrees.

Definition 3.13. A cubic graph $\zeta$ is said to be a totally irregular cubic graph if $\exists a$ vertex which is adjacent to vertices with different total degree.

Definition 3.14. A cubic graph $\zeta$ is said to be a strongly irregular cubic graph if every vertex has a different degree.
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Figure 1: Cubic graphs $\zeta_{1}$ and $\zeta_{2}$


Figure 2: Residue product of two cubic graphs.
Definition 3.15. A cubic graph $\zeta$ is said to be a strongly totally irregular cubic graph if every vertex has a different total degree.

## Some New Results in Cubic Graphs

Proposition 3.16. The residue product of two cubic graphs $\zeta_{1}$ and $\zeta_{2}$ is a cubic graph.
Proof. Let $\zeta_{1}=\left(P_{1}, Q_{1}\right)$ and $\zeta_{2}=\left(P_{2}, Q_{2}\right)$ be two cubic graphs and $\left(\left(m_{1}, m_{2}\right)\left(n_{1}, n_{2}\right)\right) \in E_{1} \times E_{2}$. If $m_{1} n_{1} \in E_{1}$ and $m_{2} \neq n_{2}$, then we have:

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\left(\widetilde{\mu_{Q_{1}}} \bullet \widetilde{\mu_{Q_{2}}}\right)( \left.\left(m_{1}, m_{2}\right)\left(n_{1}, n_{2}\right)\right)=\widetilde{\mu_{Q_{1}}}\left(m_{1} n_{1}\right) \\
& \leq r \min \left\{\widetilde{\mu_{P_{1}}}\left(m_{1}\right), \widetilde{\mu_{P_{1}}}\left(n_{1}\right)\right\} \\
& \leq r \max \left\{r \min \left\{\widetilde{\mu_{P_{1}}}\left(m_{1}\right), \widetilde{\mu_{P_{1}}}\left(n_{1}\right)\right\}, r \min \left\{\widetilde{\mu_{P_{2}}}\left(m_{2}\right), \widetilde{\mu_{P_{2}}}\left(n_{2}\right)\right\}\right\} \\
&=r \min \left\{r \max \left\{\widetilde{\mu_{P_{1}}}\left(m_{1}\right), \widetilde{\mu_{P_{1}}}\left(n_{1}\right)\right\}, r \max \left\{\widetilde{\mu_{P_{2}}}\left(m_{2}\right), \widetilde{\mu_{P_{2}}}\left(n_{2}\right)\right\}\right\} \\
&\left.=\min \left\{\widetilde{\mu_{P_{1}}} \bullet \widetilde{\mu_{P_{2}}}\right)\left(m_{1}, m_{2}\right),\left(\widetilde{\mu_{P_{1}}} \cdot \widetilde{\mu_{P_{2}}}\right)\left(n_{1}, n_{2}\right)\right\}, \\
&\left(\lambda_{Q_{1}} \bullet \lambda_{Q_{2}}\right)\left(\left(m_{1}, m_{2}\right)\left(n_{1}, n_{2}\right)\right)=\lambda_{Q_{1}}\left(m_{1} n_{1}\right) \\
& \geq \max \left\{\lambda_{P_{1}}\left(m_{1}\right), \lambda_{P_{1}}\left(n_{1}\right)\right\} \\
& \geq \min \left\{\max \left\{\lambda_{P_{1}}\left(m_{1}\right), \lambda_{P_{1}}\left(n_{1}\right)\right\}, \max \left\{\lambda_{P_{2}}\left(m_{2}\right), \lambda_{P_{2}}\left(n_{2}\right)\right\}\right\} \\
&=r \max \left\{\min \left\{\lambda_{P_{1}}\left(m_{1}\right), \lambda_{P_{1}}\left(n_{1}\right)\right\}, \min \left\{\lambda_{P_{2}}\left(m_{2}\right), \lambda_{P_{2}}\left(n_{2}\right)\right\}\right\} \\
&=\max \left\{\left(\lambda_{P_{1}} \bullet \lambda_{P_{2}}\right)\left(m_{1}, m_{2}\right),\left(\lambda_{P_{1}} \bullet \lambda_{P_{2}}\right)\left(n_{1}, n_{2}\right)\right\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

## 4. Conclusion

Cubic graphs are highly practical tools for the study of different computational intelligence and computer science domains. Cubic graphs have many applications in different sciences such as topology, natural networks, and operation research. Operations are conveniently used in many combinatorial applications; hence; in this paper, two new operations on cubic graphs, namely, maximal product, and residue product were presented, and some results concerning their degrees were introduced. In our future work, we will discuss several types of domination in cubic graphs.
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