

The Power Graph as a Hypergraph and Iterated-Powerset Power Graphs as n-SuperHyperGraphs

Takaaki Fujita

Independent Researcher, Tokyo, Japan.

Email: takaaki.fujita060@gmail.com

Received 17 June 2026; accepted 25 July 2026

Abstract. Graph theory provides a fundamental language for modeling relational structure and connectivity via vertices and edges [1]. For a group G , the (undirected) *power graph* has vertex set G and joins two distinct elements whenever one is a positive integral power of the other, while the *directed power graph* orients an arc $x \rightarrow y$ precisely when $y = x^m$ for some $m \in \mathbb{N}_{\geq 1}$. Hypergraphs extend ordinary graphs by allowing an edge to connect an arbitrary number of vertices [2], and SuperHyperGraphs further generalize hypergraphs via iterated powerset constructions to capture hierarchical, set-valued incidence patterns. In this paper, we show that the power graph can be viewed as a 2-uniform hypergraph and that the directed power graph admits a natural representation as a directed hypergraph. Motivated by the powerset-based hierarchy of SuperHyperGraphs, we then introduce the *n-level power graph* and the *directed n-level power graph*, whose vertices lie in iterated nonempty powersets of G and whose adjacency is induced by level-wise exponentiation maps. We prove that these constructions fit naturally within the SuperHyperGraph and directed SuperHyperGraph paradigms, respectively.

Keywords: Superhypergraph, Hypergraph, Power Graph, Directed Power Graph, Group Theory

AMS Mathematics Subject Classification (2010): 05C65, 05C25

1. Introduction

Classical graphs represent *binary* relationships: every edge links exactly two vertices [1]. In many settings, however, interactions are inherently *higher-order*. Examples include group conversations, collaborative tasks involving multiple participants, and scenarios where several resources are consumed jointly. Such multiway relations are modeled naturally by *hypergraphs*, where a single *hyperedge* may connect any nonempty subset of vertices [3], [4], [5]. Related concepts such as Fuzzy HyperGraphs[6], [7], [8], Directed HyperGraphs [9], HyperGraph Partitioning[10], [11], HyperGraph Neural Networks[12], [13], and Neutrosophic HyperGraphs [14], [15], [16] are also known.

Higher arity alone is not the whole story. Contemporary data often come with *hierarchical* or *multi-scale* organization—for instance, communities containing subcommunities, systems assembled from subsystems, or service stacks layered across multiple levels. To encode this kind of nested incidence, *SuperHyperGraphs* enrich the

vertex domain by iterating the powerset operation on a finite base set. The resulting models allow vertices to be set-valued objects (sets of sets, and so forth), enabling a principled representation of multi-level structure [17], [18], [19]. Table 1 highlights the main differences among graphs, hypergraphs, and n -SuperHyperGraphs.

Table 1: Key distinctions among graphs, hypergraphs, and n -SuperHyperGraphs.

Concept	Notation	Edge family	Core extension principle
Graph [20]	$G = (V, E)$	$E \subseteq \binom{V}{2}$	Edges encode <i>pairwise</i> relations between vertices.
Hypergraph [3]	$H = (V, \mathcal{E})$	$\mathcal{E} \subseteq \mathcal{P}(V) \setminus \{\emptyset\}$	Hyperedges may join <i>any</i> nonempty subset of vertices, capturing higher-order interactions.
n -SuperHyperGraph [17]	$\text{SuHyG}^{(n)} = (V, \mathcal{E})$ (on a base set V_0)	$V \subseteq \mathcal{P}^n(V_0) \setminus \{\emptyset\}$, $\mathcal{E} \subseteq \mathcal{P}(V) \setminus \{\emptyset\}$	Vertices lie in an n -fold powerset hierarchy and may be <i>set-valued</i> ; edges are subsets of the supervertex set, allowing <i>nested</i> and <i>multi-level</i> incidence patterns.

Notation. $\mathcal{P}(X) = \{A \mid A \subseteq X\}$, $\binom{V}{2} = \{\{u, v\} \subseteq V \mid u \neq v\}$, and $\mathcal{P}^0(X) = X$, $\mathcal{P}^{k+1}(X) = \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{P}^k(X))$.

Let G be a group. The (undirected) *power graph* has vertex set G and joins two distinct elements whenever one is a (positive) integral power of the other. Its directed variant, the *directed power graph*, places an arc $x \rightarrow y$ precisely when $y = x^m$ for some $m \in \mathbb{N}_{\geq 1}$. In this work, we observe that the power graph is equivalently a 2-uniform hypergraph (on the same vertex set), and that the directed power graph can be viewed as a directed hypergraph whose hyperarcs have singleton tail and singleton head. To avoid confusion with the standard n th power of a graph G^n (defined via graph distances), we use the term *n -level power graph* for our powerset-lifted construction.

Motivated by the powerset-based hierarchy underlying SuperHyperGraphs, we further introduce an *n -level power graph* and a *directed n -level power graph* whose vertices live in an iterated (nonempty) powerset domain and whose adjacency is induced by the corresponding level-wise exponentiation maps. Concretely, write $\mathcal{P}_*(X) := \mathcal{P}(X) \setminus \{\emptyset\}$ and $\mathcal{P}_*^0(G) := G$, $\mathcal{P}_*^{n+1}(G) := \mathcal{P}_*(\mathcal{P}_*^n(G))$. For each $m \in \mathbb{N}_{\geq 1}$, define maps $\varphi_m^{(n)}$ recursively by

$$\varphi_m^{(0)}(x) := x^m \quad (x \in G), \quad \varphi_m^{(k+1)}(A) := \{\varphi_m^{(k)}(a) \mid a \in A\} \quad (A \in \mathcal{P}_*^{k+1}(G)).$$

We prove that the resulting graphs form subclasses of n -SuperHyperGraphs and directed n -SuperHyperGraphs, respectively.

2. Preliminaries

We begin by reviewing the basic terminology and notation used throughout this paper.

The Power Graph as a Hypergraph and Iterated-Powerset Power Graphs as n-SuperHyperGraphs

2.1. Power graph and directed power graph

The power graph of a group records exponentiation relations among elements: two distinct vertices are adjacent whenever one is a (positive) integer power of the other. Its directed version orients an arc $x \rightarrow y$ exactly when y is a positive power of x .

Definition 1. (Power Graph). [21], [22], [23] Let G be a group and let $\mathbb{N} := \{1, 2, 3, \dots\}$. The *power graph* of G is the simple undirected graph

$$P(G) = (V, E), \quad V := G,$$

with edge set

$$E := \{\{x, y\} \subseteq G \mid x \neq y, \exists m \in \mathbb{N}: y = x^m \text{ or } \exists m \in \mathbb{N}: x = y^m\}.$$

Equivalently, $\{x, y\} \in E$ if and only if there is an arc $x \rightarrow y$ or $y \rightarrow x$ in the directed power graph $\vec{P}(G)$ (Definition 3).

Example 2. (Power Graph of the cyclic group of order 4). Let $G = \langle a \mid a^4 = e \rangle = \{e, a, a^2, a^3\}$. Then $P(G) = (V, E)$ has

$$V = \{e, a, a^2, a^3\}, \quad E = \{\{e, a\}, \{e, a^2\}, \{e, a^3\}, \{a, a^2\}, \{a, a^3\}, \{a^2, a^3\}\}.$$

Definition 3. (Directed Power Graph). [21], [23] Let G be a group and let $\mathbb{N} := \{1, 2, 3, \dots\}$. The *directed power graph* of G is the digraph

$$\vec{P}(G) = (V, A), \quad V := G,$$

whose arc set is

$$A := \{(x, y) \in G \times G \mid x \neq y, \exists m \in \mathbb{N}: y = x^m\}.$$

Example 4. (Directed power graph of the cyclic group of order 4). On the same group $G = \langle a \mid a^4 = e \rangle$, the digraph $\vec{P}(G) = (V, A)$ has

$$V = \{e, a, a^2, a^3\},$$

and the nontrivial arcs are

$$A = \{(a, a^2), (a, a^3), (a, e), (a^2, e), (a^3, a), (a^3, a^2), (a^3, e)\}.$$

Indeed, each listed arc (x, y) satisfies $y = x^m$ for some $m \in \mathbb{N}$ and $x \neq y$.

1.2. SuperHyperGraphs

A *hypergraph* extends an ordinary (simple) graph by permitting an edge to connect more than two vertices simultaneously: a *hyperedge* is an arbitrary nonempty subset of the vertex set [2], [13]. In many applications, however, one encounters not only higher-order interactions but also *hierarchical* or *multi-level* organization (for example, components built from subcomponents or communities within communities). Motivated by such nested incidence patterns, *SuperHyperGraphs* enrich the vertex domain by iterating the powerset construction on a base set, so that vertices themselves may be set-valued objects (sets of sets, and so on) [24], [25], [26], [27], [28], [29]. Reported applications include molecular modeling, network analysis, and signal processing (e.g., [30], [31]). Throughout, the parameter n in $\mathcal{P}^n(\cdot)$ and in an n -SuperHyperGraph denotes a *nonnegative* integer.

Definition 5. (Powerset). [32] Let S be a set. The *powerset* of S , denoted $\mathcal{P}(S)$, is the set of all subsets of S :

$$\mathcal{P}(S) := \{A \mid A \subseteq S\}.$$

Definition 6. (Iterated powersets). For any set S and integer $n \geq 0$, define recursively

$$\mathcal{P}^0(S) := S, \quad \mathcal{P}^{n+1}(S) := \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{P}^n(S)).$$

When needed, we also write

$$\mathcal{P}_*(S) := \mathcal{P}(S) \setminus \{\emptyset\},$$

and one may define iterated nonempty powersets analogously by $\mathcal{P}_*^0(S) := S$ and $\mathcal{P}_*^{n+1}(S) := \mathcal{P}_*(\mathcal{P}_*^n(S))$.

Definition 7. (Hypergraph). [2], [3] A *hypergraph* is a pair $H = (V(H), E(H))$ consisting of

a (typically finite) vertex set $V(H)$, and

a collection $E(H) \subseteq \mathcal{P}(V(H)) \setminus \{\emptyset\}$ whose elements are called *hyperedges*.

If every hyperedge has cardinality r , then H is called *r -uniform*; in particular, 2-uniform hypergraphs coincide with simple graphs.

Definition 8. (2-section (shadow graph)). Let $H = (V, E)$ be a hypergraph. The *2-section* (or *shadow graph*) of H is the simple graph $[H]_2 = (V, E_2)$ defined by

$$E_2 := \{\{u, v\} \subseteq V \mid u \neq v, \exists e \in E: \{u, v\} \subseteq e\}.$$

Theorem 9. Let G be a group and let $P(G) = (V, E)$ be its power graph as in Definition 1, i.e., $V = G$, $E = \{\{x, y\} \subseteq G \mid x \neq y, \exists m \in \mathbb{N}: y = x^m \text{ or } x = y^m\}$. Define a hypergraph $H := (V, E) = (G, E)$. Then H is 2-uniform, and its 2-section $[H]_2$ (Definition 8) coincides with $P(G)$.

Proof: Each hyperedge $e \in E$ is, by definition, a 2-element subset of G . Hence

$$E \subseteq \binom{G}{2} \subseteq \mathcal{P}(G) \setminus \{\emptyset\},$$

so $H = (G, E)$ is a 2-uniform hypergraph.

For the 2-section $[H]_2 = (G, E_2)$, we have $\{x, y\} \in E_2$ if and only if there exists $e \in E$ with $\{x, y\} \subseteq e$. Since $|e| = 2$ for all $e \in E$, this is equivalent to $\{x, y\} \in E$. Therefore $E_2 = E$, and hence $[H]_2 = P(G)$. $\square$

Definition 10. (n -SuperHyperGraph). [17], [33], [34] Let V_0 be a nonempty (typically finite) base set and fix $n \geq 0$. An *n -SuperHyperGraph* on V_0 is a hypergraph $\text{SuHyG}^{(n)} = (V, \mathcal{E})$ such that

$$V \subseteq \mathcal{P}^n(V_0), \quad \mathcal{E} \subseteq \mathcal{P}(V) \setminus \{\emptyset\}.$$

Elements of V are called *n -supervertices*, and elements of $\mathcal{E}$ are called *n -superedges*. In particular, each superedge is a nonempty set of n -supervertices, while each n -supervertex itself may be a set-valued object in the iterated powerset hierarchy $\mathcal{P}^n(V_0)$.

Example 11. (3-SuperHyperGraph: global project organization). We illustrate Definition 10 for $n = 3$ by modeling a simple hierarchy within a multinational project structure.

The Power Graph as a Hypergraph and Iterated-Powerset Power Graphs as n-SuperHyperGraphs

Base set (employees). Let

$$V_0 = \{\text{Alice, Bob, Carol, Dave}\}.$$

Level 1 (teams). Choose three teams in $\mathcal{P}^1(V_0) = \mathcal{P}(V_0)$:

$$T_1 = \{\text{Alice, Bob}\}, \quad T_2 = \{\text{Bob, Carol}\}, \quad T_3 = \{\text{Carol, Dave}\}.$$

Level 2 (departments). Choose two departments in $\mathcal{P}^2(V_0) = \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{P}(V_0))$:

$$D_1 = \{T_1, T_2\}, \quad D_2 = \{T_2, T_3\}.$$

Level 3 (divisions / supervertices). Define two 3-supervertices in $\mathcal{P}^3(V_0)$:

$$v_1 = \{D_1, D_2\}, \quad v_2 = \{D_1, \{T_1, T_3\}\}.$$

Set

$$V := \{v_1, v_2\} \subseteq \mathcal{P}^3(V_0), \quad \mathcal{E} := \{\{v_1, v_2\}\} \subseteq \mathcal{P}(V) \setminus \{\emptyset\}.$$

Interpretation. The 3-supervertices v_1, v_2 represent high-level divisions built from departments and (indirectly) from teams and employees. The unique superedge $\{v_1, v_2\}$ encodes a strategic collaboration between these two divisions on a company-wide initiative. Hence $\text{SuHyG}^{(3)} = (V, \mathcal{E})$ is a concrete 3-SuperHyperGraph on the base set V_0 .

1.3. Directed SuperHyperGraphs

Directed SuperHyperGraphs extend SuperHyperGraphs in the same spirit that directed graphs extend undirected graphs: hyperedges carry an orientation from a *source* part to a *target* part. We begin with directed hypergraphs and then introduce their powerset-based n -level analogues.

Definition 12. (Directed hypergraph). A *directed hypergraph* is a pair $H = (V, E)$, where V is a (typically finite) set of vertices and E is a set of *directed hyperedges*. Each directed hyperedge $e \in E$ is an ordered pair

$$e = (T_e, H_e),$$

where $T_e \subseteq V$ is the *tail* (source set) and $H_e \subseteq V$ is the *head* (target set), and one assumes

$$T_e \neq \emptyset, \quad H_e \neq \emptyset.$$

When $|T_e| = |H_e| = 1$ for every $e \in E$, the structure reduces to an ordinary directed graph.

Definition 13. (Underlying digraph). Let $H = (V, E)$ be a directed hypergraph. Its *underlying digraph* is the digraph $U(H) = (V, A)$ with

$$A := \{(u, v) \in V \times V \mid \exists e = (T_e, H_e) \in E: u \in T_e, v \in H_e\}.$$

Thus $u \rightarrow v$ is an arc in $U(H)$ whenever some directed hyperedge sends flow from a tail containing u to a head containing v .

Theorem 14. Let G be a group and let $\vec{P}(G) = (G, A)$ be its directed power graph (Definition 3), where $A = \{(x, y) \in G \times G \mid x \neq y, y = x^m \text{ for some } m \in \mathbb{N}\}$. Define a directed hypergraph $H = (V, E_H)$ by $V := G$, $E_H := \{(\{x\}, \{y\}) \mid (x, y) \in A\}$. Then H is a directed hypergraph (Definition 12), and its underlying digraph $U(H)$ Definition 13 coincides with $\vec{P}(G)$. In particular, $\vec{P}(G)$ is realized as a directed hypergraph whose directed hyperedges all have singleton tails and heads.

Proof: For each $(x, y) \in A$, the pair $(\{x\}, \{y\})$ is a directed hyperedge with nonempty tail and head, hence $H = (V, E_H)$ satisfies Definition 12.

Now consider the underlying digraph $U(H) = (V, A')$. By Definition 13, an arc $x \rightarrow y$ belongs to A' if and only if there exists some hyperedge $(T, H) \in E_H$ such that $x \in T$ and

Takaaki Fujita

$y \in H$. Since every hyperedge in E_H is of the form $(\{u\}, \{v\})$, this is equivalent to $(\{x\}, \{y\}) \in E_H$, i.e., $(x, y) \in A$. Therefore $A' = A$, and hence $U(H) = \vec{P}(G)$. $\square$

Definition 15. (Directed n -SuperHyperGraph). (cf. [17], [26]) Let S be a nonempty base set and let $n \geq 0$. Consider the iterated powersets $\mathcal{P}^n(S)$ defined by $\mathcal{P}^0(S) = S$ and $\mathcal{P}^{k+1}(S) = \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{P}^k(S))$. A *directed n -SuperHyperGraph* is a pair

$$\text{DSuHG}^{(n)} = (V, \mathcal{E}),$$

where $V \subseteq \mathcal{P}^n(S)$ is the set of n -supervertices, and

$$\mathcal{E} \subseteq (\mathcal{P}(V) \setminus \{\emptyset\}) \times (\mathcal{P}(V) \setminus \{\emptyset\})$$

is the set of *directed n -superedges*. Each $e \in \mathcal{E}$ is written as

$$e = (\text{Tail}(e), \text{Head}(e)),$$

where $\text{Tail}(e)$ and $\text{Head}(e)$ are nonempty subsets of V , interpreted as the source and target collections of n -supervertices, respectively.

Example 16. (Directed 2-SuperHyperGraph: corporate workflow). We model a simplified approval workflow involving two teams and a central review committee.

Base set (employees).

$$S = \{\text{Alice}, \text{Bob}, \text{Carol}, \text{Dave}\}.$$

Level 1 (teams).

$$T_1 = \{\text{Alice}, \text{Bob}\}, \quad T_2 = \{\text{Carol}, \text{Dave}\}, \quad T_1, T_2 \in \mathcal{P}(S).$$

Level 2 (departments / supervertices).

$$D_1 = \{T_1\}, \quad D_2 = \{T_2\}, \quad D_{\text{all}} = \{T_1, T_2\}, \quad D_1, D_2, D_{\text{all}} \in \mathcal{P}^2(S).$$

Vertex set.

$$V = \{D_1, D_2, D_{\text{all}}\} \subseteq \mathcal{P}^2(S).$$

Directed superedges (flow of requests). Define two directed 2-superedges by

$$\mathcal{E} := \{(\{D_1\}, \{D_{\text{all}}\}), (\{D_2\}, \{D_{\text{all}}\})\}.$$

Then $\text{DSuHG}^{(2)} = (V, \mathcal{E})$ is a directed 2-SuperHyperGraph. Each superedge $(\{D_i\}, \{D_{\text{all}}\})$ indicates that department D_i forwards its deliverables (or approval requests) to the central committee D_{all} .

Theorem 17. Every directed hypergraph can be represented as a directed 1-SuperHyperGraph. More precisely, let $H = (V_0, E)$ be a directed hypergraph with $E \subseteq (\mathcal{P}(V_0) \setminus \{\emptyset\}) \times (\mathcal{P}(V_0) \setminus \{\emptyset\})$. Set $S := V_0$ and $V := \{\{v\} \mid v \in V_0\} \subseteq \mathcal{P}^1(S) = \mathcal{P}(S)$. For each hyperedge $e = (T_e, H_e) \in E$, define $\text{Tail}'(e) := \{\{v\} \mid v \in T_e\} \subseteq V$, $\text{Head}'(e) := \{\{v\} \mid v \in H_e\} \subseteq V$, and set $\mathcal{E}' := \{(\text{Tail}'(e), \text{Head}'(e)) \mid e \in E\}$. Then $\text{DSuHG}^{(1)} := (V, \mathcal{E}')$ is a directed 1-SuperHyperGraph and encodes the same directed incidence as H .

Proof: By construction, $V \subseteq \mathcal{P}^1(S)$. Moreover, for each $e = (T_e, H_e) \in E$, the sets $\text{Tail}'(e)$ and $\text{Head}'(e)$ are nonempty subsets of V , since $T_e \neq \emptyset$ and $H_e \neq \emptyset$. Hence

$$(\text{Tail}'(e), \text{Head}'(e)) \in (\mathcal{P}(V) \setminus \{\emptyset\}) \times (\mathcal{P}(V) \setminus \{\emptyset\}),$$

so $\mathcal{E}'$ satisfies Definition 15 for $n = 1$. Finally, the correspondence $e \mapsto (\text{Tail}'(e), \text{Head}'(e))$ is injective and preserves the intended source/target incidence at the level of vertices, so the directed hypergraph H is faithfully represented by $\text{DSuHG}^{(1)}$. $\square$

The Power Graph as a Hypergraph and Iterated-Powerset Power Graphs as n -SuperHyperGraphs

Corollary 18. *For every integer $n \geq 1$, every directed hypergraph admits a faithful representation as a directed n -SuperHyperGraph.*

Proof: Let $\text{DSuHG}^{(1)} = (V, \mathcal{E}')$ be the directed 1-SuperHyperGraph obtained in Theorem 17. For each $n \geq 1$, define

$$\iota_n: \mathcal{P}(S) \rightarrow \mathcal{P}^n(S)$$

recursively by

$$\iota_1(A) := A, \quad \iota_{k+1}(A) := \{\iota_k(A)\} \quad (k \geq 1).$$

Each ι_n is injective, and therefore $\iota_n(V) \subseteq \mathcal{P}^n(S)$. Applying ι_n to every supervertex and transporting every tail and head along this injection yields a directed n -SuperHyperGraph isomorphic, as a directed incidence structure, to $\text{DSuHG}^{(1)}$. Hence it faithfully represents the original directed hypergraph. $\square$

3. Main results

As the main contributions of this paper, we show that:

- Every n -level power graph $P^{(n)}(G)$ can be viewed as a special case of an n -SuperHyperGraph (in particular, as a 2-uniform n -SuperHyperGraph whose vertices are n -supervertices).
- Every directed n -level power graph $\vec{P}^{(n)}(G)$ admits a natural realization as a directed n -SuperHyperGraph in which each hyperarc has a singleton tail and (in general) a multi-vertex head encoding all one-step power images.

3.1. n -level power graphs

The classical power graph of a group is built from the exponentiation relation $y = x^m$ ($m \in \mathbb{N}_{\geq 1}$) on individual elements. To obtain a hierarchical variant, we lift exponentiation through iterated *nonempty* powersets, so that vertices may themselves be set-valued objects (sets of sets, and so on). Throughout this subsection, G denotes a group (written multiplicatively) and $\mathbb{N} := \{1, 2, 3, \dots\}$.

Definition 19. (Iterated exponentiation on iterated nonempty powersets). Let G be a group and let $m \in \mathbb{N}$. Define the base map

$$\varphi_m^{(0)}: G \rightarrow G, \quad \varphi_m^{(0)}(x) := x^m.$$

Write $\mathcal{P}_*(X) := \mathcal{P}(X) \setminus \{\emptyset\}$ and define the iterated nonempty powersets by

$$\mathcal{P}_*^0(G) := G, \quad \mathcal{P}_*^{k+1}(G) := \mathcal{P}_*(\mathcal{P}_*^k(G)) \quad (k \geq 0).$$

Recursively, for each $k \geq 0$ define

$$\varphi_m^{(k+1)}: \mathcal{P}_*^{k+1}(G) \rightarrow \mathcal{P}_*^{k+1}(G), \quad \varphi_m^{(k+1)}(A) := \{\varphi_m^{(k)}(a) \mid a \in A\}.$$

Equivalently, $\varphi_m^{(k)}$ is obtained by iterating the direct-image extension of $x \mapsto x^m$ through k levels of nonempty powersets.

Definition 20. (Undirected n -level power graph). Fix an integer $n \geq 0$. Let

$$V_n := \mathcal{P}_*^n(G),$$

and define an edge set $E_n \subseteq \binom{V_n}{2}$ by

$$E_n := \left\{ \{A, B\} \subseteq V_n \mid A \neq B, \exists m \in \mathbb{N} \text{ such that } B = \varphi_m^{(n)}(A) \text{ or } A = \varphi_m^{(n)}(B) \right\}.$$

Takaaki Fujita

The n -level power graph of G is the simple graph

$$P^{(n)}(G) := (V_n, E_n).$$

Remark 21. In the 0-level case, the vertex domain reduces to the underlying group:

$$V_0 = \mathcal{P}_*^0(G) = G.$$

Moreover, by definition of the level-wise exponentiation maps,

$$\varphi_m^{(0)}(x) = x^m \quad (x \in G, m \in \mathbb{N}_{\geq 1}).$$

Hence the adjacency rule for $P^{(0)}(G)$ agrees exactly with that of the classical power graph $P(G)$. In particular, we may identify

$$P^{(0)}(G) = P(G).$$

Example 22. (A small second-level power graph). Let $G = \langle a \mid a^4 = 1 \rangle = \{1, a, a^2, a^3\}$. Consider the level $n = 2$ vertex

$$A := \{\{a, a^3\}, \{a^2\}\} \in \mathcal{P}_*^2(G).$$

For $m = 2$, we compute at level $k = 1$:

$$\varphi_2^{(1)}(\{a, a^3\}) = \{a^2, (a^3)^2\} = \{a^2\}, \quad \varphi_2^{(1)}(\{a^2\}) = \{(a^2)^2\} = \{1\}.$$

Hence, at level $k = 2$,

$$\varphi_2^{(2)}(A) = \{\varphi_2^{(1)}(\{a, a^3\}), \varphi_2^{(1)}(\{a^2\})\} = \{\{a^2\}, \{1\}\} \in \mathcal{P}_*^2(G).$$

Since $\varphi_2^{(2)}(A) \neq A$, the pair $\{A, \varphi_2^{(2)}(A)\}$ is an edge of $P^{(2)}(G)$.

Theorem 23. Let G be a group and let $n \geq 0$. Then the n -level power graph $P^{(n)}(G) = (V_n, E_n)$ is the 2-section of a 2-uniform n -SuperHyperGraph whose n -supervertex domain is $V_n = \mathcal{P}_*^n(G)$.

More concretely, set $\text{SuHyG}^{(n)} := (V_n, \mathcal{E}_n)$, $\mathcal{E}_n := E_n \subseteq \binom{V_n}{2}$. Then $\text{SuHyG}^{(n)}$ is a 2-uniform n -SuperHyperGraph (in the sense that $V_n \subseteq \mathcal{P}^n(G)$), and its 2-section coincides with $P^{(n)}(G)$.

Proof: By induction on n , the recursive construction of nonempty powersets gives

$$\mathcal{P}_*^n(G) \subseteq \mathcal{P}^n(G).$$

Hence $V_n = \mathcal{P}_*^n(G)$ is a valid set of n -supervertices over the base set G . Moreover, every element of $\mathcal{E}_n = E_n$ is a 2-element subset of V_n ; therefore

$$\mathcal{E}_n \subseteq \binom{V_n}{2} \subseteq \mathcal{P}(V_n) \setminus \{\emptyset\},$$

so $\text{SuHyG}^{(n)}$ is a 2-uniform n -SuperHyperGraph.

Finally, the 2-section of a 2-uniform hypergraph joins two distinct vertices precisely when they form one of its 2-element hyperedges. Since the superedges are exactly the pairs $\{A, B\} \in E_n$, the resulting adjacency relation is exactly that of $P^{(n)}(G)$. Therefore the 2-section of $\text{SuHyG}^{(n)}$ coincides with $P^{(n)}(G)$. $\square$

Theorem 24. (Invariance under group isomorphisms). Let $\psi: G \rightarrow H$ be a group isomorphism. For each $n \geq 0$, define maps $\Psi^{(n)}: \mathcal{P}_*^n(G) \rightarrow \mathcal{P}_*^n(H)$ recursively by $\Psi^{(0)} := \psi$, $\Psi^{(k+1)}(A) := \{\Psi^{(k)}(a) \mid a \in A\}$ ($k \geq 0$). Then $\Psi^{(n)}$ is a graph isomorphism $P^{(n)}(G) \cong P^{(n)}(H)$.

The Power Graph as a Hypergraph and Iterated-Powerset Power Graphs as n-SuperHyperGraphs

Proof: Each $\Psi^{(k)}$ is bijective because ψ is bijective and the direct-image extension of a bijection to nonempty powersets is again bijective. To distinguish the domains, write $\varphi_{m,G}^{(k)}$ and $\varphi_{m,H}^{(k)}$ for the iterated exponentiation maps on G and H , respectively. We claim that

$$\Psi^{(k)} \circ \varphi_{m,G}^{(k)} = \varphi_{m,H}^{(k)} \circ \Psi^{(k)} \quad (k \geq 0).$$

For $k = 0$, this follows from the homomorphism identity $\psi(x^m) = \psi(x)^m$. Assume the identity holds at level k . For any $A \in \mathcal{P}_*^{k+1}(G)$,

$$\begin{aligned} \Psi^{(k+1)}(\varphi_{m,G}^{(k+1)}(A)) &= \Psi^{(k+1)}(\{\varphi_{m,G}^{(k)}(a) \mid a \in A\}) \\ &= \{\Psi^{(k)}(\varphi_{m,G}^{(k)}(a)) \mid a \in A\} = \{\varphi_{m,H}^{(k)}(\Psi^{(k)}(a)) \mid a \in A\} \\ &= \varphi_{m,H}^{(k+1)}(\Psi^{(k+1)}(A)). \end{aligned}$$

Thus the commutation identity holds for every $k \geq 0$.

Now suppose $\{A, B\} \in E_n(G)$. Then, for some $m \in \mathbb{N}$, either $B = \varphi_{m,G}^{(n)}(A)$ or $A = \varphi_{m,G}^{(n)}(B)$. By the commutation identity, the corresponding power relation holds between $\Psi^{(n)}(A)$ and $\Psi^{(n)}(B)$ in H ; hence

$$\{\Psi^{(n)}(A), \Psi^{(n)}(B)\} \in E_n(H).$$

Applying the same argument to the inverse group isomorphism $\psi^{-1}: H \rightarrow G$ gives the converse implication. Therefore adjacency is preserved in both directions, and $\Psi^{(n)}$ is a graph isomorphism $P^{(n)}(G) \cong P^{(n)}(H)$. $\square$

Proposition 25. (Automorphism action). *For each $n \geq 0$, the automorphism group $\text{Aut}(G)$ acts on $P^{(n)}(G)$ by graph automorphisms via the construction in Theorem 24. In particular, every inner automorphism of G induces an automorphism of $P^{(n)}(G)$.*

Theorem 26. (Diameter bound for finite groups). *Let G be a finite group of exponent e (i.e., $g^e = 1$ for all $g \in G$). For any $n \geq 0$, the graph $P^{(n)}(G)$ has diameter at most 2. More explicitly, define the iterated identity vertex $\mathbf{1}_n \in V_n$ by $\mathbf{1}_0 := 1 \in G$, $\mathbf{1}_{k+1} := \{\mathbf{1}_k\}$ ($k \geq 0$). Then every vertex $A \in V_n$ is adjacent to $\mathbf{1}_n$, unless $A = \mathbf{1}_n$.*

Proof: We show that $\varphi_e^{(n)}(A) = \mathbf{1}_n$ for every $A \in V_n = \mathcal{P}_*^n(G)$. For $n = 0$, $\varphi_e^{(0)}(g) = g^e = 1 = \mathbf{1}_0$ for all $g \in G$. Assume $\varphi_e^{(k)}(a) = \mathbf{1}_k$ holds for all $a \in \mathcal{P}_*^k(G)$. If $A \in \mathcal{P}_*^{k+1}(G)$, then A is a nonempty set of elements $a \in \mathcal{P}_*^k(G)$, hence

$$\varphi_e^{(k+1)}(A) = \{\varphi_e^{(k)}(a) \mid a \in A\} = \{\mathbf{1}_k\} = \mathbf{1}_{k+1}.$$

By induction, $\varphi_e^{(n)}(A) = \mathbf{1}_n$ for all $A \in V_n$. Therefore, if $A \neq \mathbf{1}_n$, then $\{A, \mathbf{1}_n\} \in E_n$, so every vertex is at distance at most 1 from $\mathbf{1}_n$. Consequently, any two vertices are connected by a path of length at most 2, and $\text{diam}(P^{(n)}(G)) \leq 2$. $\square$

3.2. Directed n -level power graphs

The directed power graph $\vec{P}(G)$ orients the power relation on the elements of a group. We extend this construction hierarchically by transporting the exponentiation maps through iterated nonempty powersets. Throughout this subsection, G denotes a group written multiplicatively and $\mathbb{N} := \{1, 2, 3, \dots\}$.

Takaaki Fujita

Definition 27. (Directed n -level power graph). Let $n \geq 0$ and set

$$V_n := \mathcal{P}_*^n(G),$$

where $\mathcal{P}_*^n(G)$ and the iterated exponentiation maps $\varphi_m^{(n)}: V_n \rightarrow V_n$ are as in Definition 19. The *directed n -level power graph* of G is the digraph

$$\vec{P}^{(n)}(G) := (V_n, A_n),$$

with arc set

$$A_n := \{(A, B) \in V_n \times V_n \mid A \neq B, \exists m \in \mathbb{N}: B = \varphi_m^{(n)}(A)\}.$$

Remark 28. In the 0-level case, the vertex domain is the group itself:

$$V_0 = \mathcal{P}_*^0(G) = G.$$

Furthermore, the level-wise exponentiation maps satisfy

$$\varphi_m^{(0)}(x) = x^m \quad (x \in G, m \in \mathbb{N}_{\geq 1}).$$

Therefore, the arc condition in $\vec{P}^{(0)}(G)$, namely “ $x \rightarrow y$ iff $y = \varphi_m^{(0)}(x)$ for some $m \in \mathbb{N}_{\geq 1}$,” is exactly the defining condition of the classical directed power graph $\vec{P}(G)$. Consequently,

$$\vec{P}^{(0)}(G) = \vec{P}(G).$$

Example 29. (A directed second-level power graph). Let $G = \langle a \mid a^7 = 1 \rangle = \{1, a, a^2, \dots, a^6\}$ be the cyclic group of order 7. Consider the two nonempty subsets

$$A_1 := \{1, a, a^2\}, \quad A_2 := \{a^3, a^4\} \subseteq G,$$

and the level-2 vertex $P := \{A_1, A_2\} \in \mathcal{P}_*^2(G)$. For $m = 2$, we compute at level 1:

$$\varphi_2^{(1)}(A_1) = \{1, a^2, a^4\}, \quad \varphi_2^{(1)}(A_2) = \{a^6, a\}.$$

Therefore, at level 2,

$$\varphi_2^{(2)}(P) = \{\varphi_2^{(1)}(A_1), \varphi_2^{(1)}(A_2)\} =: Q \in \mathcal{P}_*^2(G),$$

and $P \neq Q$. Hence $(P, Q) \in A_2$, i.e., $P \rightarrow Q$ is an arc in $\vec{P}^{(2)}(G)$.

Interpretation. The map $x \mapsto x^2$ permutes the elements of G (since $\gcd(2, 7) = 1$), and $\varphi_2^{(2)}$ applies this relabeling coherently at two nested levels: first inside each block A_i , and then on the collection of blocks.

Proposition 30. (Canonical embeddings of vertex sets). *For each $n \geq 0$, the singleton map $\iota_n: V_n \rightarrow V_{n+1}$, $\iota_n(A) := \{A\}$, is injective. Thus the vertex sets form a nested family up to canonical identification via $V_n \cong \iota_n(V_n) \subseteq V_{n+1}$.*

Proof: If $\{A\} = \{B\}$, then $A = B$. Hence ι_n is injective. $\square$

Theorem 31. *For every group G and integer $n \geq 0$, the directed n -level power graph $\vec{P}^{(n)}(G) = (V_n, A_n)$ can be realized as a directed hypergraph (indeed, as a directed *n-SuperHyperGraph*) in which each directed hyperedge has a singleton tail but, in general, a multi-vertex head. In this realization, a single hyperedge emanating from A encodes all one-step power images of A at once.*

More precisely, for each $A \in V_n$ set $H_n(A) := \{\varphi_m^{(n)}(A) \mid m \in \mathbb{N}_{\geq 1}, \varphi_m^{(n)}(A) \neq A\} = \{B \in V_n \setminus \{A\} \mid (A, B) \in A_n\}$, and define the family of directed hyperedges $\mathcal{E}_n$

The Power Graph as a Hypergraph and Iterated-Powerset Power Graphs as n-SuperHyperGraphs

$:= \{ (\{A\}, H_n(A)) \mid A \in V_n, H_n(A) \neq \emptyset \} \subseteq (\mathcal{P}(V_n) \setminus \{\emptyset\}) \times (\mathcal{P}(V_n) \setminus \{\emptyset\})$. Then $\text{DSuHG}^{(n)} := (V_n, \mathcal{E}_n)$ is a directed n -SuperHyperGraph (Definition 15), and its underlying digraph is exactly $\vec{P}^{(n)}(G)$.

Proof: By construction, every element of $\mathcal{E}_n$ has the form $(\{A\}, H_n(A))$ with $\{A\} \neq \emptyset$ and $H_n(A) \neq \emptyset$; hence both tail and head are nonempty subsets of V_n . Therefore $(V_n, \mathcal{E}_n)$ satisfies Definition 15 and is a directed n -SuperHyperGraph.

Let $D(\text{DSuHG}^{(n)})$ denote the underlying digraph of $(V_n, \mathcal{E}_n)$, so that an arc $A \rightarrow B$ exists in $D(\text{DSuHG}^{(n)})$ if and only if there is a directed hyperedge $(T, H) \in \mathcal{E}_n$ with $A \in T$ and $B \in H$. Since each tail is a singleton, $A \in T$ forces $T = \{A\}$, and thus

$$A \rightarrow B \text{ in } D(\text{DSuHG}^{(n)}) \iff B \in H_n(A).$$

By the definition of $H_n(A)$, the latter holds if and only if $B = \varphi_m^{(n)}(A)$ for some $m \in \mathbb{N}_{\geq 1}$ with $B \neq A$, i.e., if and only if $(A, B) \in A_n$. Hence the arc sets coincide, and therefore $D(\text{DSuHG}^{(n)}) = \vec{P}^{(n)}(G)$. $\square$

Theorem 32. (Composition and transitivity of the power relation). *Let G be a group and let $n \geq 0$. On $V_n = \mathcal{P}_*^n(G)$ define the (power) relation $A \leq_n B : \iff \exists m \in \mathbb{N}_{\geq 1}$ such that $B = \varphi_m^{(n)}(A)$. Then $\leq_n$ is transitive. Equivalently, the exponentiation maps satisfy the composition rule $\varphi_{m_2}^{(n)} \circ \varphi_{m_1}^{(n)} = \varphi_{m_1 m_2}^{(n)}$ ($m_1, m_2 \in \mathbb{N}_{\geq 1}$). Consequently, in the directed n -level power graph $\vec{P}^{(n)}(G)$ (with loops deleted), whenever $(A, B) \in A_n$ and $(B, C) \in A_n$ and $A \neq C$, we also have $(A, C) \in A_n$.*

Proof: Assume $A \leq_n B$ and $B \leq_n C$. Then there exist $m_1, m_2 \in \mathbb{N}_{\geq 1}$ such that

$$B = \varphi_{m_1}^{(n)}(A), \quad C = \varphi_{m_2}^{(n)}(B).$$

On the base level $n = 0$, we have $\varphi_m^{(0)}(x) = x^m$, hence

$$\varphi_{m_2}^{(0)}(\varphi_{m_1}^{(0)}(x)) = (x^{m_1})^{m_2} = x^{m_1 m_2} = \varphi_{m_1 m_2}^{(0)}(x).$$

For $n \geq 1$, each $\varphi_m^{(n)}$ is obtained by iterated direct images, so applying the preceding identity elementwise yields

$$\varphi_{m_2}^{(n)}(\varphi_{m_1}^{(n)}(A)) = \varphi_{m_1 m_2}^{(n)}(A) \quad (A \in V_n).$$

Therefore

$$C = \varphi_{m_2}^{(n)}(B) = \varphi_{m_2}^{(n)}(\varphi_{m_1}^{(n)}(A)) = \varphi_{m_1 m_2}^{(n)}(A),$$

which shows $A \leq_n C$ and hence transitivity of $\leq_n$.

For the final claim, if $(A, B) \in A_n$ and $(B, C) \in A_n$ then $A \leq_n B$ and $B \leq_n C$, so $A \leq_n C$ and thus $C = \varphi_m^{(n)}(A)$ for some $m \in \mathbb{N}_{\geq 1}$. If moreover $A \neq C$, this exactly means $(A, C) \in A_n$. (If $A = C$, transitivity may fail in $\vec{P}^{(n)}(G)$ because loops are excluded by definition.) $\square$

Theorem 33. (One-step reachability to the identity supervertex). *Let G be a finite group of exponent e , i.e., $g^e = 1$ for all $g \in G$. Define the iterated identity supervertex $\mathbf{1}_n \in V_n$ recursively by $\mathbf{1}_0 := 1 \in G$, $\mathbf{1}_{k+1} := \{\mathbf{1}_k\}$ ($k \geq 0$). Then, for every $n \geq 0$*

Takaaki Fujita

and every vertex $A \in V_n$ with $A \neq \mathbf{1}_n$, we have $(A, \mathbf{1}_n) \in A_n$. Equivalently, every vertex $A \in V_n \setminus \{\mathbf{1}_n\}$ has a directed path of length one to $\mathbf{1}_n$.

Proof: We first show that

$$\varphi_e^{(n)}(A) = \mathbf{1}_n$$

for every $n \geq 0$ and every

$$A \in V_n = \mathcal{P}_*^n(G).$$

For $n = 0$, we have $V_0 = G$, and hence, for every $g \in G$,

$$\varphi_e^{(0)}(g) = g^e = 1 = \mathbf{1}_0.$$

Assume that, for some $k \geq 0$,

$$\varphi_e^{(k)}(a) = \mathbf{1}_k \quad \text{for every } a \in V_k.$$

Let $A \in V_{k+1} = \mathcal{P}_*(V_k)$. Since A is a nonempty subset of V_k , the recursive definition of $\varphi_e^{(k+1)}$ gives

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi_e^{(k+1)}(A) &= \{\varphi_e^{(k)}(a) \mid a \in A\} \\ &= \{\mathbf{1}_k\} \\ &= \mathbf{1}_{k+1}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, by induction,

$$\varphi_e^{(n)}(A) = \mathbf{1}_n$$

for every $n \geq 0$ and every $A \in V_n$.

Now let $A \in V_n$ satisfy $A \neq \mathbf{1}_n$. Since

$$\mathbf{1}_n = \varphi_e^{(n)}(A),$$

the definition of the directed n -level power graph implies

$$(A, \mathbf{1}_n) \in A_n.$$

Thus every nonidentity vertex has a directed path of length one to $\mathbf{1}_n$. $\square$

4. Conclusion

In this paper, we investigated power graphs and directed power graphs from the viewpoint of hypergraphs and SuperHyperGraphs. We first showed that the ordinary power graph of a group can be regarded as a 2-uniform hypergraph whose 2-section recovers the original graph, while the directed power graph can be represented naturally as a directed hypergraph with singleton tails and heads. Building on the iterated powerset structure of SuperHyperGraphs, we then introduced the n -level power graph and the directed n -level power graph by extending group exponentiation through successive levels of nonempty powersets. We proved that these structures can be realized as an n -SuperHyperGraph and a directed n -SuperHyperGraph, respectively. We also established several basic properties, including invariance under group isomorphisms, a diameter bound for finite groups, the composition property of the induced power maps, and one-step reachability to the iterated identity supervertex in the directed setting.

As future work, it would be worthwhile to investigate further algebraic and graph-theoretic properties of these hierarchical power structures, including connectivity, automorphisms, cliques, cycles, domination, and computational aspects. We also plan to develop uncertainty-aware extensions based on Fuzzy Sets [35], [36], Intuitionistic Fuzzy Sets [37], Neutrosophic Sets [38], [39], [40], and Plithogenic Sets [41], [42], [43]. Such extensions may provide more flexible models for hierarchical power relations when the

The Power Graph as a Hypergraph and Iterated-Powerset Power Graphs as n-SuperHyperGraphs

underlying algebraic or relational information is incomplete, vague, or uncertain. Furthermore, as a direction for future research, it would be worthwhile to investigate the properties of the power graph and related concepts introduced in this paper in the settings of HyperGroups[44], [45] and SuperHyperGroups[46].

Acknowledgments. We extend our sincere gratitude to everyone who provided insights, review, inspiration, and assistance throughout this research. We particularly thank our readers for their interest and acknowledge the authors of the cited works for laying the foundation that made our study possible. We also appreciate the support from individuals and institutions that provided the resources and infrastructure needed to produce and share this paper. Finally, we are grateful to all those who supported us in various ways during this project.

Conflicts of Interest. The authors confirm that there are no conflicts of interest related to the research or its publication.

Authors' Contributions: All authors contributed equally to this work.

Use of Generative AI and AI-Assisted Tools

I use generative AI and AI-assisted tools for tasks such as English grammar checking, and I do not employ them in any way that violates ethical standards.

REFERENCES

1. J. L. Gross, J. Yellen and M. Anderson, *Graph theory and its applications*, Chapman and Hall/CRC, 2018.
2. C. Berge, *Hypergraphs: Combinatorics of Finite Sets*, Vol. 45, Elsevier, 1984.
3. A. Bretto, *Hypergraph Theory: An Introduction*, Mathematical Engineering, Springer, Cham, 2013.
4. D. Cai, M. Song, C. Sun, B. Zhang, S. Hong and H. Li, Hypergraph structure learning for hypergraph neural networks, *Proceedings of the Thirty-First International Joint Conference on Artificial Intelligence (IJCAI)*, (2022) 1923–1929.
5. Y. Gao, Z. Zhang, H. Lin, X. Zhao, S. Du and C. Zou, Hypergraph learning: Methods and practices, *IEEE Transactions on Pattern Analysis and Machine Intelligence*, 44(5) (2020) 2548–2566.
6. X. Bi, Z. Yang, D. Chen, J. Wang, Z. Xing and L. Xu, FHG-GAN: Fuzzy hypergraph generative adversarial network with large foundation models for Alzheimer's disease risk prediction, *IEEE Transactions on Fuzzy Systems*, (2025).
7. R. T. Mirhosseini, Evaluation of seismic vulnerability using clustering based on fuzzy hypergraphs, *Structures*, 73 (2025) Article 108457.
8. J. N. Mordeson and P. S. Nair, *Fuzzy Graphs and Fuzzy Hypergraphs*, Vol. 46, Physica-Verlag, 2012.
9. M. Akram and A. Luqman, A new decision-making method based on bipolar neutrosophic directed hypergraphs, *Journal of Applied Mathematics and Computing*, 57 (2017) 547–575.

10. G. Karypis, R. Aggarwal, V. Kumar and S. Shekhar, Multilevel hypergraph partitioning: Application in VLSI domain, *Proceedings of the 34th Annual Design Automation Conference*, (1997) 526–529.
11. G. Karypis and V. Kumar, Multilevel k-way hypergraph partitioning, *Proceedings of the 36th Annual ACM/IEEE Design Automation Conference*, (1999) 343–348.
12. Y. Wang, Q. Gan, X. Qiu, X. Huang and D. Wipf, From hypergraph energy functions to hypergraph neural networks, *Proceedings of the International Conference on Machine Learning*, (2023) 35605–35623.
13. Y. Feng, H. You, Z. Zhang, R. Ji and Y. Gao, Hypergraph neural networks, *Proceedings of the AAAI Conference on Artificial Intelligence*, 33 (2019) 3558–3565.
14. A. Luqman, M. Akram and F. Smarandache, Complex neutrosophic hypergraphs: New social network models, *Algorithms*, 12(11) (2019) Article 234.
15. A. Hassan, M. A. Malik and F. Smarandache, *Regular and Totally Regular Interval Valued Neutrosophic Hypergraphs*, Infinite Study, 2016.
16. M. A. Malik, A. Hassan, S. Broumi, A. Bakali, M. Talea and F. Smarandache, *Isomorphism of Interval Valued Neutrosophic Hypergraphs*, Infinite Study, 2016.
17. F. Smarandache, *Extension of HyperGraph to n-SuperHyperGraph and to Plithogenic n-SuperHyperGraph, and Extension of HyperAlgebra to n-ary (Classical-/Neutro-/Anti-) HyperAlgebra*, Infinite Study, 2020.
18. T. Fujita and F. Smarandache, *Representing Higher-Order Networks: A Survey of Graph-Based Frameworks*, Neutrosophic Science International Association (NSIA) Publishing House, 2026.
19. F. Smarandache, *Introduction to the n-SuperHyperGraph—The Most General Form of Graph Today*, Infinite Study, 2022.
20. R. Diestel, *Graph Theory*, Springer, 2024.
21. D. Bubboloni and N. Pinzauti, Critical classes of power graphs and reconstruction of directed power graphs, *Journal of Group Theory*, 28(3) (2025) 713–739.
22. B. Das, J. Ghosh and A. Kumar, The isomorphism problem of power graphs and a question of Cameron, *Proceedings of the 44th IARCS Annual Conference on Foundations of Software Technology and Theoretical Computer Science*, (2024) 1.
23. A. Lucchini and M. Stanojkovski, Independence and strong independence complexes of finite groups, *arXiv preprint*, arXiv:2503.19778 (2025).
24. M. Hamidi, F. Smarandache and E. Davneshvar, Spectrum of superhypergraphs via flows, *Journal of Mathematics*, 2022(1) (2022) Article 9158912.
25. M. Hamidi and M. Taghinezhad, *Application of Superhypergraphs-Based Domination Number in Real World*, Infinite Study, 2023.
26. T. Fujita and F. Smarandache, *HyperGraph and SuperHyperGraph Theory with Applications*, Neutrosophic Science International Association (NSIA) Publishing House, 2026.
27. T. Fujita and F. Smarandache, *HyperGraph and SuperHyperGraph Theory with Applications (IV): Uncertain Graph Theory*, Vol. IV, Neutrosophic Science International Association (NSIA) Publishing House, Version 1.0, 2026.
28. E. M. C. Valencia, J. P. C. Vasquez and F. Á. B. Lois, Multineutrosophic analysis of the relationship between survival and business growth in the manufacturing sector of Azuay Province (2020–2023) using plithogenic n-superhypergraphs, *Neutrosophic Sets and Systems*, 84 (2025) 341–355.

The Power Graph as a Hypergraph and Iterated-Powerset Power Graphs as n-SuperHyperGraphs

29. M. Hamidi, F. Smarandache and M. Taghinezhad, *Decision Making Based on Valued Fuzzy Superhypergraphs*, Infinite Study, 2023.
30. B. V. S. Marcos, M. F. Willner, B. V. C. Rosa, F. F. R. M. Yissel, E. R. Roberto, L. D. B. Puma and D. M. M. Fernandez, Using plithogenic n-superhypergraphs to assess the degree of relationship between information skills and digital competencies, *Neutrosophic Sets and Systems*, 84 (2025) 513–524.
31. S. Zhu, Neutrosophic n-superhypernetwork: A new approach for evaluating short video communication effectiveness in media convergence, *Neutrosophic Sets and Systems*, 85 (2025) 1004–1017.
32. T. Jech, *Set Theory: The Third Millennium Edition, Revised and Expanded*, Springer, 2003.
33. T. Fujita, Review of probabilistic hypergraph and probabilistic superhypergraph, *Spectrum of Operational Research*, 3(1) (2025) 319–338.
34. M. Ghods, Z. Rostami and F. Smarandache, Introduction to neutrosophic restricted superhypergraphs and neutrosophic restricted superhypertrees and several of their properties, *Neutrosophic Sets and Systems*, 50 (2022) 480–487.
35. L. A. Zadeh, Fuzzy sets, *Information and Control*, 8(3) (1965) 338–353.
36. A. Rosenfeld, Fuzzy graphs, in *Fuzzy Sets and Their Applications to Cognitive and Decision Processes*, Elsevier, (1975) 77–95.
37. K. T. Atanassov, Circular intuitionistic fuzzy sets, *Journal of Intelligent & Fuzzy Systems*, 39(5) (2020) 5981–5986.
38. F. Smarandache, *A Unifying Field in Logics: Neutrosophic Logic*, American Research Press, 1999.
39. H. Wang, F. Smarandache, Y. Zhang and R. Sunderraman, *Single Valued Neutrosophic Sets*, Infinite Study, 2010.
40. S. Broumi, M. Talea, A. Bakali and F. Smarandache, Single valued neutrosophic graphs, *Journal of New Theory*, 10 (2016) 86–101.
41. F. Smarandache, *Plithogenic Set, an Extension of Crisp, Fuzzy, Intuitionistic Fuzzy, and Neutrosophic Sets—Revisited*, Infinite Study, 2018.
42. F. Smarandache, Extension of soft set to hypersoft set, and then to plithogenic hypersoft set, *Neutrosophic Sets and Systems*, 22(1) (2018) 168–170.
43. M. Azeem, H. Rashid, M. K. Jamil, S. Gütmen and E. B. Tirkolaee, Plithogenic fuzzy graph: A study of fundamental properties and potential applications, *Journal of Dynamics and Games*, (2024).
44. M. Izhar, T. Mahmood, A. Khan, M. Farooq and K. Hila, Double-framed soft set theory applied to Abel-Grassmann's hypergroupoids, *New Mathematics and Natural Computation*, 18(3) (2022) 819–841.
45. S. A. Khan, M. Y. Abbasi, K. Hila and A. F. Talee, A study of soft hyperideals in right regular LA-semihypergroups, *Asian-European Journal of Mathematics*, (2023).
46. T. Fujita, Superhypermagma, Lie superhypergroup, quotient superhypergroups, and reduced superhypergroups, *International Journal of Topology*, 2(3) (2025) Article 10.