

## Generalized Closed Set in Intuitionistic Fuzzy Topology

*Dheargham Ali Abdulsada*

Department of Statistics, Faculty of Administration and Economics

Sumer University, Iraq.

Email: [drghamali1985@gmail.com](mailto:drghamali1985@gmail.com)

*Received 30 November 2019; accepted 30 December 2019*

**Abstract.** The goal of this paper is to introduce new sets called ( $g_l$ -closed set and  $g_l$ -open set) defined on a intuitionistic topological space. A sufficient condition is presented to be a  $g_l$ -closed set and  $g_l$ -open set. And we also discuss union and intersection around these groups. Finally, we introduce a new intuitionistic separation axiom called  $\mathfrak{S}_{l_{1/2}}$ -space and verify its basic properties.

**Keywords:** Intuitionistic set;  $g_l$ -closed;  $g_l$ -open;  $\mathfrak{S}_{l_{1/2}}$ -space

**AMS Mathematics Subject Classification (2010):** 34A25

### 1. Introduction

In 1965 the mathematician Zadeh [7] introduced the concept of fuzzy sets that have important applications in many areas of life. The fuzzy set was used to tackle life problems that are ambiguous and which cannot be addressed using classical sets. In 1983 Atanassov [1] introduced the concept of intuitionistic fuzzy combinations that incorporate the gradient level called the Stuttering Edge. Information and semantic imaging of an intuitionistic fuzzy set became important in an intelligent and materialistic way, because it includes the property level and the level of non-related and the troubled edge then again, Coker [3] introduced the separate type of intuitionistic fuzzy set to be specific intuitionistic sets in 1996, where each of sets are completely new sets. In any case, it has a score and 3 degrees of non-participation, so this idea gives us increasingly adaptable methodologies in speaking to ambiguity in scientific articles integrating those in building fields with the traditional rationale. In 2000, Coker [4] additionally introduced the idea of intuitionistic topological spaces with an intuitionistic set and explored their properties. Talukder et al. [5] Tamilmani [6] later began clarifying the concept of opaque intuitionistic fuzzy topological spaces by using intuitionistic fuzzy sets. In 2018, Mahbub, Hossain and Hossain [7], Used intuitionistic fuzzy topological spaces to introduced and study the compactness in. Sahoo and Pal [8], used intuitionistic fuzzy to competition graphs, Bhowmik and Pal [9], conclude some results on intuitionistic fuzzy matrices and intuitionistic circulant fuzzy matrices. Adak et al. [10], defend some properties of generalized intuitionistic fuzzy nilpotent matrices over distributive lattice. Sahoo and Pal [11], investigated different types of products on intuitionistic fuzzy graphs. Senapati et al. [12] used ideals to in different algebras. In this paper, we use the concept of (intuitionistic

fuzzy topology) to modify ( $g_1$ -closed) and link it with the separation axioms of space (intuitionistic fuzzy topological space).

## 2. Preliminaries

In this section, we remember some basic concepts related to intuitionistic sets.

**Definition 2.1. [2]** Intuitionistic set ( $\mathbb{I}$ S for short)  $\check{A}$  of a non-void set  $X$  is  $\check{A} = \langle A', A'' \rangle$  where  $A', A'' \subseteq X$  and  $A' \cap A'' = \emptyset$ .

**Definition 2.2. [2]** If  $\check{A} = \langle A', A'' \rangle$ ,  $\check{B} = \langle B', B'' \rangle$  and  $\{\check{A}_i : i \in I\}$  are intuitionistic sets in  $X$ , where  $\check{A}_i = \langle A'_i, A''_i \rangle$ . Then

1.  $\check{A} \subseteq_{\mathbb{I}} \check{B} \Leftrightarrow A' \subseteq B' \& B'' \subseteq A''$ .
2.  $\check{A} = \check{B} \Leftrightarrow \check{A} \subseteq_{\mathbb{I}} \check{B} \& \check{B} \subseteq_{\mathbb{I}} \check{A}$ .
3.  $\cup_{\mathbb{I}} \check{A}_i = \langle \cup A'_i, \cap A''_i \rangle$ .
4.  $\cap_{\mathbb{I}} \check{A}_i = \langle \cap A'_i, \cup A''_i \rangle$ .
5.  $\check{X}_{\mathbb{I}} = \langle X, \emptyset \rangle$ .
6.  $\check{\emptyset}_{\mathbb{I}} = \langle \emptyset, X \rangle$ .
7.  $cop. \check{A} = \langle A'', A' \rangle$ .
8.  $\check{A} \setminus \check{B} = \check{A} \cap_{\mathbb{I}} \check{B}$ .

**Definition 2.3. [2]** Intuitionistic point of a non-void set  $X$  is  $\check{p}_{\mathbb{I}} = \langle \{p\}, \{p\}^c \rangle$ , where  $p \in X$ .

**Definition 2.4. [5]** A collection  $\mathfrak{I}_{\mathbb{I}}$  of intuitionistic sets of  $X$  called intuitionistic topology ( $\mathbb{I}$ T) if is satisfying the following axioms:

1.  $\check{X}_{\mathbb{I}}, \check{\emptyset}_{\mathbb{I}} \in \mathfrak{I}_{\mathbb{I}}$ ,
2.  $\check{A} \cap_{\mathbb{I}} \check{B} \in \mathfrak{I}_{\mathbb{I}}$ , for each  $\check{A}, \check{B} \in \mathfrak{I}_{\mathbb{I}}$ ,
3.  $\cup_{\mathbb{I}} \check{A}_i \in \mathfrak{I}_{\mathbb{I}}$  for each  $\check{A}_i \in \mathfrak{I}_{\mathbb{I}}$ .

the pair  $(X, \mathfrak{I}_{\mathbb{I}})$  is called a intuitionistic topological space ( $\mathbb{I}$ TS) and any intuitionistic set belong to  $\mathbb{I}$ T called  $\mathbb{I}$ -open set.  $cop. (\check{A})$  is  $\mathbb{I}$ -closed if and only if  $\check{A}$  is  $\mathbb{I}$ -open.

**Definition 2.5. [5]** Let  $(X, \mathfrak{I}_{\mathbb{I}})$  be an  $\mathbb{I}$ TS and  $\check{A}$  is intuitionistic set. Then

$$int_{\mathbb{I}}(\check{A}) = \cup_{\mathbb{I}} \{ \check{G} : \check{G} \in \mathfrak{I}_{\mathbb{I}} \text{ and } \check{G} \subseteq_{\mathbb{I}} \check{A} \}.$$

$$cl_{\mathbb{I}}(\check{A}) = \cap_{\mathbb{I}} \{ \check{F} : \check{F} \text{ is } \mathbb{I}\text{-closed and } \check{A} \subseteq_{\mathbb{I}} \check{F} \}.$$

Obvious

1.  $int_{\mathbb{I}}(\check{A})$  is  $\mathbb{I}$ -open and  $cl_{\mathbb{I}}(\check{A})$  is  $\mathbb{I}$ -closed.
2.  $\check{A}$  is  $\mathbb{I}$ -open if and only if  $int_{\mathbb{I}}(\check{A}) = \check{A}$ .
3.  $\check{A}$  is  $\mathbb{I}$ -closed if and only if  $cl_{\mathbb{I}}(\check{A}) = \check{A}$ .

**Definition 2.6.** Let  $(X, \mathfrak{I}_{\mathbb{I}})$  be  $\mathbb{I}$ TS. A collection  $\mathfrak{D}_{\mathbb{I}}$  of  $\mathbb{I}$ -open sets called  $\mathbb{I}$ -open cover of  $\mathbb{I}$ TS if and only if  $\cup_{\mathbb{I}} \{ \check{G} : \check{G} \in \mathfrak{D}_{\mathbb{I}} \} = \check{X}_{\mathbb{I}}$  and called  $\mathbb{I}$ -open cover of intuitionistic set  $\check{A}$  if and only if  $\check{A} \subseteq_{\mathbb{I}} \cup_{\mathbb{I}} \{ \check{G} : \check{G} \in \mathfrak{D}_{\mathbb{I}} \}$ .

**Definition 2.7.** Let  $(X, \mathfrak{S}_l)$  be  $\mathbb{I}TS$ .  $(X, \mathfrak{S}_l)$  called  $\mathbb{I}$ -compact space if and only if every  $\mathbb{I}$ -open cover of  $X$ , has finite  $\mathbb{I}$ -open subcover.

### 3. $g_l$ -Closed and properties

In this section, we will know the concept ( $g_l$ -closed) and study the most important properties of this concept.

**Definition 3.1.** Let  $(X, \mathfrak{S}_l)$  be  $\mathbb{I}TS$  and  $\check{A}$  is intuitionistic set of  $(X, \mathfrak{S}_l)$ .  $\check{A}$  is  $g_l$ -closed if and only if  $cl_l(\check{A}) \subseteq_l \check{U}$  where  $\check{A} \subseteq_l \check{U}$  and  $\check{U}$  is  $\mathbb{I}$ -open.

**Theorem 3.2.** Let  $(X, \mathfrak{S}_l)$  be  $\mathbb{I}TS$  and  $\check{A}$  is intuitionistic set of  $(X, \mathfrak{S}_l)$ .  $\check{A}$  is  $g_l$ -closed if and only if  $\check{F} \subseteq_l cl_l(\check{A}) - \check{A}$  and  $\check{F}$  is  $\mathbb{I}$ -closed set, then  $\check{F} = \check{\emptyset}_l$ .

**Proof:** Assume that  $\check{F} \subseteq_l cl_l(\check{A}) - \check{A}$ . Then  $\check{A} \subseteq_l \text{cop. } \check{F}$  and we have  $cl_l(\check{A}) \subseteq_l \text{cop. } \check{F}$  or  $\check{U} \subseteq_l \text{cop. } cl_l(\check{A})$  (because  $\check{A}$  is  $g_l$ -closed).  
conversely.

Assume that  $\check{A} \subseteq_l \check{U}$  and  $\check{U}$  is  $\mathbb{I}$ -open. If  $cl_l(\check{A}) \not\subseteq_l \check{U}$ , then  $\check{\emptyset}_l \neq cl_l(\check{A}) \cap_l \check{U} \subseteq_l cl_l(\check{A}) - \check{A}$ . Those,  $cl_l(\check{A}) \subseteq_l \check{U}$ . Hence  $\check{A}$  is  $g_l$ -closed.

**Corollary 3.3.** Intuitionistic set  $\check{A}$  of  $\mathbb{I}TS (X, \mathfrak{S}_l)$  is  $g_l$ -closed if and only if  $cl_l(\check{A}) - \check{A}$  is  $\mathbb{I}$ -closed.

**Proof:** Assume that  $\check{A}$  is  $\mathbb{I}$ -closed. clearly  $cl_l(\check{A}) - \check{A} = \check{\emptyset}_l$ .

Conversely. Assume that  $cl_l(\check{A}) - \check{A}$  is  $\mathbb{I}$ -closed. Since  $\check{A}$  is  $g_l$ -closed and  $cl_l(\check{A}) - \check{A}$  is a  $\mathbb{I}$ -closed intuitionistic subset of itself, then by using (Theorem 2.2), we have  $cl_l(\check{A}) - \check{A} = \check{\emptyset}_l$ . So  $cl_l(\check{A}) = \check{A}$ . Hence

**Theorem 3.4.**  $\check{A} \cup_l \check{B}$  is  $g_l$ -closed for any two  $g_l$ -closed sets  $\check{A}$  and  $\check{B}$  of  $(X, \mathfrak{S}_l)$ .

**Proof:** Assume that  $\check{A} \cup_l \check{B} \subseteq_l \check{U}$  where  $\check{U}$  is  $\mathbb{I}$ -open. So that  
 $cl_l(\check{A} \cup_l \check{B}) = cl_l(\check{A}) \cup_l cl_l(\check{B}) \subseteq_l \check{U}$ . Hence  $\check{A} \cup_l \check{B}$  is  $g_l$ -closed.

**Remark 3.5.** Not necessary  $\check{A} \cap_l \check{B}$  is  $g_l$ -closed where  $\check{A}$  and  $\check{B}$  are  $g_l$ -closed e.g.

If  $X = \{x_1, x_2, x_3\}$  and  $\mathfrak{S}_l = \{\check{\emptyset}_l, \{\check{x}_1\}, \{\check{x}_2, \check{x}_3\}, \check{X}_l\}$ . let  $\check{A} = \{\check{x}_1, \check{x}_2\}, \{\check{x}_3\}$  and  $\check{B} = \{\check{x}_1, \check{x}_3\}, \{\check{x}_2\}$ . Obvious  $\check{A}$  and  $\check{B}$  are  $g_l$ -closed, but  $\check{A} \cap_l \check{B}$  is not  $g_l$ -closed.

**Theorem 3.6.** Let  $(X, \mathfrak{S}_l)$  be a  $\mathbb{I}TS$  and  $\check{A}_l = \langle A, \emptyset \rangle$  sub set of  $X$ , then

$\mathfrak{S}_{lA} = \{\check{A}_l \cap_l \check{U} : \check{U} \in \mathfrak{S}_l\}$  is intuitionistic topology called  $\mathbb{I}$ -relative topology and  $(A, \mathfrak{S}_{lA})$  called  $\mathbb{I}$ -subspace of  $(X, \mathfrak{S}_l)$ .

**Proof:** Since  $\check{X}_l \in \mathfrak{S}_l$ , then  $\check{A}_l \cap_l \check{X}_l = \check{A}_l \in \mathfrak{S}_{lA}$  and since  $\check{\emptyset}_l \in \mathfrak{S}_l$ , then  
 $\langle A, \emptyset \rangle \cap_l \langle \emptyset, X \rangle = \check{A}_l \cap_l \check{\emptyset}_l = \langle \emptyset, A \rangle \in \mathfrak{S}_{lA}$

**Theorem 3.7.** If  $\check{A}$  is  $g_l$ -closed set and  $\check{B}$  is  $\mathbb{I}$ -closed set. Then  $\check{A} \cap_l \check{B}$  is  $g_l$ -closed set.

**Proof:** Obvious.

**Theorem 3.8.** Every  $g_l$ -closed set  $\check{A}$  of  $l$ -compact topological space  $(X, \mathfrak{I}_l)$  is  $l$ -compact.

**Proof:** Assume that  $\mathfrak{Q}$  is  $l$ -open cover of  $\check{A}$ .  $cl_l(\check{A}) \subseteq_l \cup_l \mathfrak{Q}$  since  $\check{A}$  is  $g_l$ -closed. Since  $cl_l(\check{A})$  is  $l$ -compact, then  $\check{A} \subseteq_l cl_l(\check{A}) \subseteq_l \check{U}_1 \cup_l \dots \cup_l \check{U}_n$  for some  $\check{U}_i \in \mathfrak{Q}$ . Hence  $\check{A}$  is  $l$ -compact.

#### 4. $g_l$ -Open and properties

In this section, we will know the concept ( $g_l$ -closed) and study the most important properties of this concept.

**Definition 4.1.** Let  $(X, \mathfrak{I}_l)$  be  $l$ TS and  $\check{A}$  is intuitionistic set of  $(X, \mathfrak{I}_l)$ .  $\check{A}$  is  $g_l$ -open set if and only if  $cop.\check{A}$  is  $g_l$ -closed.

**Theorem 4.2.**  $\check{A}$  is  $g_l$ -open if and only if  $\check{F} \subseteq_l int_l(\check{A})$  where  $\check{F}$  is  $l$ -closed and  $\check{F} \subseteq_l \check{A}$ .

**Theorem 4.3.**  $\check{A} \cup_l \check{B}$  is  $g_l$ -open for any two  $l$ -separated  $g_l$ -open sets  $\check{A}$  and  $\check{B}$

**Proof:** Assume that  $\check{F}$  is a  $l$ -closed subset of  $\check{A} \cup_l \check{B}$ . So  $\check{F} \cap_l cl_l(\check{A}) \subseteq_l \check{A}$  and by using (Theorem 4.2) we have  $\check{F} \cap_l cl_l(\check{A}) \subseteq_l int_l(\check{A})$ . Similarly,  $\check{F} \cap_l cl_l(\check{B}) \subseteq_l int_l(\check{B})$ . Now

$$\check{F} = \check{F} \cap_l (\check{A} \cup_l \check{B}) \subseteq_l (\check{F} \cap_l cl_l(\check{A})) \cup_l (\check{F} \cap_l cl_l(\check{B})) \subseteq_l$$

$int_l(\check{A}) \cup_l int_l(\check{B}) \subseteq_l cl_l(\check{A} \cup_l \check{B})$ . Therefore  $\check{F} \subseteq_l int_l(\check{A} \cup_l \check{B})$  and by using (Theorem 4.2) we have  $\check{A} \cup_l \check{B}$  is  $g_l$ -open.

**Remark 4.4.** Not necessary  $\check{A} \cap_l \check{B}$  is  $g_l$ -open where  $\check{A}$  and  $\check{B}$  are  $g_l$ -closed (See remark 2.5).

**Corollary 4.5.** If  $\check{A}, \check{B}$  are  $g_l$ -closed sets and  $cop.\check{A}, cop.\check{B}$  are  $l$ -separated, then we have  $\check{A} \cap_l \check{B}$  is  $g_l$ -closed.

**Theorem 4.6.**  $\check{A}$  is  $g_l$ -open if and only if  $\check{U} = \check{X}_l$  where  $\check{U}$  is  $l$ -open and

$$int_l(\check{A}) \cup_l cop.\check{A} \subseteq_l \check{U}.$$

An intuitionistic set  $\check{A}$  is  $g_l$ -open in  $(X, \mathfrak{I}_l)$  iff  $\check{U} = \check{X}_l$  whenever  $\check{U}$  is  $l$ -open and  $int_l(\check{A}) \cup_l cop.\check{A} \subseteq_l \check{U}$ .

**Proof:** Assume that  $\check{U}$  is a  $l$ -open and  $int_l(\check{A}) \cup_l cop.\check{A} \subseteq_l \check{U}$ . So

$cop.\check{U} \subseteq_l cl_l(cop.\check{A}) \cap_l \check{A} = cl_l(cop.\check{A}) - cop.\check{A}$ . Hence  $cop.\check{U} = \check{\emptyset}_l$  or  $\check{U} = \check{X}_l$

because  $cop.\check{U}$  is  $l$ -closed set and  $cop.\check{A}$  is  $g_l$ -closed set, by (Theorem 2.2)

Conversely,

Assume that  $\check{F}$   $l$ -closed set and  $\check{F} \subseteq_l \check{A}$ . By using (Theorem 4.2), it's enough to show that

$\check{F} \subseteq_l int_l(\check{A})$ . Clearly  $int_l(\check{A}) \cup_l cop.\check{A} \subseteq_l int_l(\check{A}) \cup_l cop.\check{F}$  and hence

$int_l(\check{A}) \cup_l cop.\check{F} = \check{X}_l$ . So  $\check{F} \subseteq_l int_l(\check{A})$ .

**Theorem 4.7.** Let  $int_l(A) \subseteq_l \check{B}$  and  $\check{A}$   $g_l$ -open. Then  $\check{B}$  is  $g_l$ -open.

**Proof:**

$cop.\check{A} \subseteq_l cop.\check{B} \subseteq_l cl_l(cop.\check{A})$  and since  $cop.\check{A}$  is  $g_l$ -closed, then  $cop.\check{B}$  is  $g_l$ -closed by

using (Theorem 2.8). So  $\ddot{B}$  is  $g_l$ -open.

**Theorem 4.8.**  $\ddot{A}$  is  $g_l$ -closed if and only if  $cl_l(\ddot{A}) - \ddot{A}$  is  $g_l$ -open.

**Proof:** Assume that  $\ddot{A}$  is  $g_l$ -closed and  $\ddot{F} \subseteq_l cl_l(\ddot{A}) - \ddot{A}$ ,  $\ddot{F}$  is  $l$ -closed. By using (Theorem 2.2)  $\ddot{F} = \ddot{\emptyset}_l$  and we have  $\ddot{F} \subseteq_l int_l(cl_l(\ddot{A}) - \ddot{A})$ . So, by using (Theorem 4.2),  $cl_l(\ddot{A}) - \ddot{A}$  is  $g_l$ -open set.

**Conversely.** Assume that  $\ddot{A} \subseteq_l \ddot{U}$  and  $\ddot{U}$  is an  $l$ -open set.

So  $cl_l(\ddot{A}) \cap_l cop.\ddot{U} \subseteq_l cl_l(\ddot{A}) \cap_l cop.\ddot{A} = cl_l(\ddot{A}) - \ddot{A}$  and since  $cl_l(\ddot{A}) \cap_l cop.\ddot{U}$  is  $l$ -closed and  $cl_l(\ddot{A}) - \ddot{A}$  is  $g_l$ -open, then  $cl_l(\ddot{A}) \cap_l cop.\ddot{U} \subseteq_l int_l(cl_l(\ddot{A}) - \ddot{A}) = \ddot{\emptyset}_l$ . Therefore  $cl_l(\ddot{A}) \cap_l cop.\ddot{U} = \ddot{\emptyset}_l$  or  $cl_l(\ddot{A}) \subseteq_l \ddot{U}$ . Hence  $\ddot{A}$  is  $g_l$ -closed.

## 5. $\mathfrak{S}_{l_{1/2}}$ -space

In this section, we will know the concept of ( $\mathfrak{S}_{l_{1/2}}$ -space) and clarify the relationship between it and ( $\mathfrak{S}_{l_0}$ -space) and ( $\mathfrak{S}_{l_1}$ -space).

**Definition 5.1.**  $l$ -topological space  $(X, \mathfrak{S}_l)$  called  $\mathfrak{S}_{l_{1/2}}$ -space if and only if for each  $g_l$ -closed set is  $l$ -closed.

**Theorem 5.2.** Every  $\mathfrak{S}_{l_{1/2}}$ -space is  $\mathfrak{S}_{l_0}$ -space.

**Proof:** Assume that  $(X, \mathfrak{S}_l)$  is not  $\mathfrak{S}_{l_0}$ -space. Then there exist  $\ddot{p}_l \neq \ddot{q}_l$  two intuitionistic points such that  $cl_l(\ddot{p}_l) = cl_l(\ddot{q}_l)$ . Put  $\ddot{A} = cl_l(\ddot{p}_l) \cap_l cop.\ddot{p}_l$ . To prove that  $\ddot{A}$  is  $g_l$ -closed, not  $l$ -closed. Let  $\ddot{p}_l \in \ddot{U} \in \mathfrak{S}_l$ , then  $\ddot{\emptyset}_l \neq \ddot{q}_l \subseteq_l \ddot{U} \cap_l \ddot{A}$  and so  $\ddot{p}_l \in cl_l(\ddot{A})$ . Plainly  $\ddot{p}_l \notin \ddot{A}$  and so  $\ddot{A}$  is not  $l$ -closed. Now assume that  $\ddot{A} \subseteq_l \ddot{U}^*$ . To prove that  $cl_l(\ddot{A}) \subseteq_l \ddot{U}^*$ , it's enough to prove that  $cl_l(\ddot{p}_l) \subseteq_l \ddot{U}^*$ . Since  $cl_l(\ddot{p}_l) \cap_l cop.\ddot{p}_l = \ddot{A} \subseteq_l \ddot{U}^*$  and those we just need to prove it  $\ddot{p}_l \in \ddot{U}^*$ . If  $\ddot{p}_l \in cop.\ddot{U}^*$ , then  $\ddot{q}_l \in cl_l(\ddot{p}_l) \subseteq_l cop.\ddot{U}^*$ . It is, obviously, obvious that  $\ddot{q}_l \in \ddot{A} \subseteq_l \ddot{U}^*$  and those  $\ddot{p}_l \in \ddot{U}^* \cap_l cop.\ddot{U}^*$ .

**Theorem 5.3.** Every  $\mathfrak{S}_{l_1}$ -space is  $\mathfrak{S}_{l_{1/2}}$ -space.

**Proof:** Assume that  $\ddot{A}$  not  $l$ -closed. Let  $\ddot{p}_l \in cl_l(\ddot{A}) - \ddot{A}$ . Then  $\ddot{p}_l \subseteq_l cl_l(\ddot{A}) - \ddot{A}$  and  $\ddot{p}_l$  is  $l$ -closed because we are in a  $\mathfrak{S}_{l_1}$ -space. By using (Theorem 2.2) we have  $\ddot{A}$  is not  $g_l$ -closed.

**Example 5.4.** Let  $X = \{x_1, x_2\}$  and suppose that  $\mathfrak{S}_l = \{\ddot{\emptyset}_l, \langle \{x_1\}, \{x_2\} \rangle, \ddot{X}_l\}$ . Then  $(X, \mathfrak{S}_l)$  is a  $\mathfrak{S}_{l_{1/2}}$  space which is not  $\mathfrak{S}_{l_1}$ .

**Example 5.5.** Let  $X = \{x_1, x_2, x_3\}$  and suppose that  $\mathfrak{S}_l = \{\ddot{X}_l, \langle \{x_1\}, \{x_2, x_3\} \rangle, \langle \{x_1, x_2\}, \{x_3\} \rangle, \ddot{X}_l\}$ . Then  $(X, \mathfrak{S}_l)$  is a  $\mathfrak{S}_{l_0}$  space, but not a  $\mathfrak{S}_{l_{1/2}}$ -space since  $\langle \{x_1, x_3\}, \{x_2\} \rangle$  is  $g_l$ -closed, but not  $l$ -closed.

**Corollary 5.6.** The property of  $\mathfrak{S}_{l_{1/2}}$  is carefully between  $\mathfrak{S}_{l_0}$  and  $\mathfrak{S}_{l_1}$ .

## 5. Conclusion

Science (intuitionistic set) is a new field that contributes greatly to applications in the natural sciences, engineering, computer and information systems. In this paper a new concept called  $(g)_*$ - (Closed, Open) has been studied and linked with separate axioms of intuitionistic topological space and there is a great field for researchers to use this concept such as linking it with the ideal concept and the concept of soft.

## REFERENCES

1. K.Atanasov, Intuitionistic fuzzy sets, *VII ITKR's Session*, Sofia, Bulgarian (1983).
2. A.K.Adak, M.Bhowmik and M.Pal, Intuitionistic fuzzy block matrix and its some properties, *Annals of Pure and Applied Mathematics*, 1(1) (2012) 13-31.
3. D.Coker, A note on intuitionistic sets and Intuitionistic points, *Tr. J. Math*, 20 (1996) 343-351.
4. D. Coker, An introduction to intuitionistic topological spaces, *Useful*, 81 (2000) 51-56.
5. M.A.M.Talukder and D.M.Ali, Some features of fuzzy g-compactness, *International Journal Fuzzy Mathematical Archive*, 2 (2013) 85-91.
6. J.Tamilmani, Presemi weakly closed set in intuitionistic fuzzy topological spaces, *International Journal Fuzzy Mathematical Archive*, 6(1) (2015) 27-34.
7. Md. Aman Mahbub, Md. Sahadat Hossain and M. Altab Hossain, Some properties of compactness in intuitionistic fuzzy topological spaces, *International Journal Fuzzy Mathematical Archive*, 16(2) (2018) 39-48.
8. S.Sahoo and M.Pal, Intuitionistic fuzzy competition graphs, *Journal of Applied Mathematics and Computing*, 52 (1-2) (2016) 37-57
9. M.Bhowmik and M.Pal, Some results on intuitionistic fuzzy matrices and intuitionistic circulant fuzzy matrices, *International Journal of Mathematical Sciences*, 7 (1-2) (2008) 177-192.
10. A.Adak, M.Bhowmik and M.Pal, Some properties of generalized intuitionistic fuzzy nilpotent matrices over distributive lattice, *Fuzzy Information and Engineering*, 4 (4) (2012) 371-387.
11. S.Sahoo and M.Pal, Different types of products on intuitionistic fuzzy graphs, *Pacific Science Review A: Natural Science and Engineering*, 17 (3) (2015) 87-96.
12. T Senapati, M Bhowmik, M Pal, Intuitionistic fuzzifications of ideals in BG-algebras, *Mathematica Aeterna* 2 (9) (2012) 761-778