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Abstract. An $n$-tuple $\left(a_{1}, a_{2}, \ldots, a_{n}\right)$ is symmetric, if $a_{k}=a_{n-k+1}, 1 \leq k \leq n$. Let $H_{n}=$ $\left\{\left(a_{1}, a_{2}, \ldots, a_{n}\right): a_{k} \in\{+,-\}, a_{k}=a_{n-k+1}, 1 \leq k \leq n\right\}$ be the set of all symmetric $n-$ tuples. A symmetric $n$-sigraph (symmetric $n$-marked graph) is an ordered pair $S_{n}=(G, \sigma)$ $\left(S_{n}=(G, \mu)\right)$, where $G=(V, E)$ is a graph called the underlying graph of $S_{n}$ and $\sigma: E \rightarrow H_{n}$ $\left(\mu: V \rightarrow H_{n}\right)$ is a function. In this paper, we introduced a new notion minimal equitable dominating symmetric $n$-sigraph of a symmetric $n$-sigraph and its properties are obtained. Also, we obtained the structural characterization of minimal equitable dominating symmetric $n$-signed graphs.
Keywords: Symmetric $n$-sigraphs, Symmetric $n$-marked graphs, Balance, Switching, Minimal equitable dominating symmetric $n$-sigraphs, Complementation.

## AMS Mathematics Subject Classification (2010): 05C22

## 1. Introduction

Unless mentioned or defined otherwise, for all terminology and notion in graph theory the reader is refer to [1]. We consider only finite, simple graphs free from self-loops.

Let $n \geq 1$ be an integer. An $n$-tuple ( $a_{1}, a_{2}, \ldots, a_{n}$ ) is symmetric, if $a_{k}=a_{n-k+1}, 1 \leq k \leq n$. Let $H_{n}=\left\{\left(a_{1}, a_{2}, \ldots, a_{n}\right): a_{k} \in\{+,-\}, a_{k}=a_{n-k+1}, 1 \leq k \leq n\right\}$ be the set of all symmetric $n$-tuples. Note that $H_{n}$ is a group under coordinate wise multiplication, and the order of $H_{n}$ is $2^{m}$, where $m=\left\lceil\frac{n}{2}\right\rceil$.
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A symmetric n-sigraph (symmetric n-marked graph) is an ordered pair $S_{n}=(G, \sigma)\left(S_{n}=\right.$ $(G, \mu))$, where $G=(V, E)$ is a graph called the underlying graph of $S_{n}$ and $\sigma: E \rightarrow H_{n}(\mu: V$ $\rightarrow H_{n}$ ) is a function.

In this paper by an $n$-tuple/n-sigraph/n-marked graph we always mean a symmetric $n$ tuple/symmetric $n$-sigraph/symmetric $n$-marked graph.

An $n$-tuple ( $a_{1}, a_{2}, \ldots, a_{n}$ ) is the identity $n$-tuple, if $a_{k}=+$, for $1 \leq k \leq n$, otherwise it is a non-identity $n$-tuple. In an $n$-sigraph $S_{n}=(G, \sigma)$ an edge labelled with the identity $n$-tuple is called an identity edge, otherwise it is a non-identity edge.

Further, in an $n$-sigraph $S_{n}=(G, \sigma)$, for any $A \subseteq E(G)$ the $n$-tuple $\sigma(A)$ is the product of the $n$-tuples on the edges of $A$.

In [7], the authors defined two notions of balance in $n$-sigraph $S_{n}=(G, \sigma)$ as follows (See also R. Rangarajan and P. S. K. Reddy [3]):

Definition 1.1. Let $S_{n}=(G, \sigma)$ be an $n$-sigraph. Then,
(i) $\quad S_{n}$ is identity balanced (or $i$-balanced), if product of $n$-tuples on each cycle of $S_{n}$ is the identity $n$-tuple, and
(ii) $\quad S_{n}$ is balanced, if every cycle in $S_{n}$ contains an even number of non-identity edges.

Note: An $i$-balanced $n$-sigraph need not be balanced and conversely.
The following characterization of $i$-balanced $n$-sigraphs is obtained in [7].
Theorem 1.1. (Sampathkumar et al. [7]) An $n$-sigraph $S_{n}=(G, \sigma)$ is $i$-balanced if, and only if, it is possible to assign $n$-tuples to its vertices such that the $n$-tuple of each edge $u v$ is equal to the product of the $n$-tuples of $u$ and $v$.
Let $S_{n}=(G, \sigma)$ be an $n$-sigraph. Consider the $n$-marking $\mu$ on vertices of $S_{n}$ defined as follows: each vertex $v \in V, \mu(v)$ is the $n$-tuple which is the product of the $n$-tuples on the edges incident with $v$. Complement of $S_{n}$ is an $n$-sigraph $\overline{S_{n}}=\left(\bar{G}, \sigma^{c}\right)$, where for any edge $\mathrm{e}=u v \in \bar{G}, \sigma^{c}(u v)=\mu(u) \mu(v)$. Clearly, $\overline{S_{n}}$ is defined here is an $i$-balanced $n$-sigraph due to Theorem 1.1.

In [7], the authors also have defined switching and cycle isomorphism of an $n$-sigraph $S_{n}=(G, \sigma)$ as follows: (See also [2, 4-6, 9-19])

Let $S_{n}=(G, \sigma)$ and $S_{n}^{\prime}=\left(G^{\prime}, \sigma^{\prime}\right)$ be two $n$-sigraphs. Then $S_{n}$ and $S_{n}^{\prime}$ are said to be isomorphic, if there exists an isomorphism $\phi: G \rightarrow G^{\prime}$ such that if $u v$ is an edge in $S_{n}$ with label $\left(a_{1}, a_{2}, \ldots, a_{n}\right)$ then $\phi(u) \phi(v)$ is an edge in $S_{n}^{\prime}$ with label $\left(a_{1}, a_{2}, \ldots, a_{n}\right)$.

Given an $n$-marking $\mu$ of an $n$-sigraph $S_{n}=(G, \sigma)$, switching $S_{n}$ with respect to $\mu$ is the operation of changing the $n$-tuple of every edge $u v$ of $S_{n}$ by $\mu(u) \sigma(u v) \mu(v)$. Then-sigraph obtained in this way is denoted by $\mathrm{S}_{\mu}\left(S_{n}\right)$ and is called the $\mu$-switched $n$-sigraph or just switched n-sigraph.

Further, an $n$-sigraph $S_{n}$ switches to $n$-sigraph $S_{n}^{\prime}$ (or that they are switching equivalent to each other), written as $S_{n} \sim S_{n}^{\prime}$, whenever there exists an $n$-marking of $S_{n}$ such that $S_{\mu}\left(S_{n}\right) \cong S_{n}^{\prime}$.
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Two $n$-sigraphs $S_{n}=(G, \sigma)$ and $S_{n}^{\prime}=\left(G^{\prime}, \sigma^{\prime}\right)$ are said to be cycle isomorphic, if there exists an isomorphism $\phi: G \rightarrow G^{\prime}$ such that the $n$-tuple $\sigma(C)$ of every cycle $C$ in $S_{n}$ equals to the $n$-tuple $\sigma(\Phi(C))$ in $S_{n}^{\prime}$.
We make use of the following known result (see [7]).

Theorem 1.2. (Sampathkumar et al. [7]) Given a graph G, any two n-sigraphs with G as underlying graph are switching equivalent if, and only if, they are cycle isomorphic.
2. Minimal equitable dominating $n$-sigraph of an $n$-sigraph

A subset $D$ of $V(G)$ is called an equitable dominating set of a graph $G$, if for every $v \in$ $V-D$ there exists a vertex $v \in D$ such that $u v \in E(G)$ and $|d(u)-d(v)| \leq 1$. The minimum cardinality of such a dominating set is denoted by $\gamma_{e}$ and is called equitable domination number of $G$. An equitable dominating set $D$ is minimal, if for any vertex $u \in$ $D, D-\{u\}$ is not an equitable dominating set of $G$. A subset $S$ of $V$ is called an equitable independent set, if for any $u \in S, v \notin N_{e}(u)$, for all $v \in S-\{u\}$. If If a vertex $u \in V$ be such that $|d(u)-d(v)| \leq 2$, for all $v \in N(u)$ then $u$ is in equitable dominating set. Such vertices are called equitable isolates. An equitable dominating set $D$ is minimal, if for any vertex $u \in D, D-\{u\}$ is not an equitable dominating set of $G$.

Let $\mathcal{F}$ be a finite set and $F=\left\{\mathcal{F}_{1}, \mathcal{F}_{2}, \ldots, \mathcal{F}_{n}\right\}$ be a partition of $\mathcal{F}$. Then the intersection graph $\omega(F)$ is the graph whose vertices are the subsets in $F$ and in which two vertices $\mathcal{F}_{i}$ and $\mathcal{F}_{j}$ are adjacent if and only if $\mathcal{F}_{i} \cap \mathcal{F}_{j} \neq \phi, i \neq j$.

Motivated by the existing definition of complement of an $n$-sigraph, we extend the notion of minimal equitable dominating graphs to $n$-sigraphs as follows: The minimal equitable dominating $n$-sigraph $\operatorname{MED}\left(S_{n}\right)$ of an $n$-sigraph $S_{n}=(G, \sigma)$ is an $n$-sigraph whose underlying graph is $\operatorname{MED}(G)$ and the $n$-tuple of any edge $u v$ is $M E D\left(S_{n}\right)$ is $\mu(u) \mu(v)$, where $\mu$ is the canonical $n$-marking of $S_{n}$. Further, an $n$-sigraph $S_{n}=(G, \sigma)$ is called minimal equitable dominating $n$-sigraph, if $S_{n} \cong \operatorname{MED}\left(S_{n}^{\prime}\right)$ for some $n$-sigraph $S_{n}^{\prime}$. The following result indicates the limitations of the notion $\operatorname{MED}\left(S_{n}\right)$ as introduced above, since the entire class of $i$-unbalanced $n$-sigraphs is forbidden to be minimal equitable dominating $n$ sigraphs.

Theorem 2.1. For any n-sigraph $S_{n}=(G, \sigma)$, its minimal equitable dominating $n$-sigraph $\operatorname{MED}\left(S_{n}\right)$ is i-balanced.
Proof: Since the $n$-tuple of any edge $u v$ in $\operatorname{MED}\left(S_{n}\right)$ is $\mu(u) \mu(v)$, where $\mu$ is the canonical $n$-marking of $S_{n}$, by Theorem 1.1, $\operatorname{MED}\left(S_{n}\right)$ is $i$-balanced.

For any positive integer $k$, the $k^{\text {th }}$ iterated minimal equitable dominating $n$-sigraph, $M E D^{k}\left(S_{n}\right)$ of $S_{n}$ is defined as follows:

$$
M E D^{0}\left(S_{n}\right)=S, M E D^{k}\left(S_{n}\right)=M E D\left(M E D^{k-1}\left(S_{n}\right)\right)
$$
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Corollary 2.2. For any n-sigraph $S_{n}=(G, \sigma)$ and for any positive integer $k, \operatorname{MED}^{k}\left(S_{n}\right)$ is $i$ balanced.

The following result characterizes $n$-sigraphs which are minimal equitable dominating $n$-sigraphs.

Theorem 2.3. An n-sigraph $S_{n}=(G, \sigma)$ is a minimal equitable dominating $n$-sigraph if, and only if, $S_{n}$ is i-balanced n-sigraph and its underlying graph $G$ is a minimal equitable dominating graph.
Proof: Suppose that $S_{n}$ is $i$-balanced and $G$ is a minimal equitable dominating graph. Then there exists a graph $H$ such that $M E D(H) \cong G$. Since $S_{n}$ is $i$-balanced, by Theorem 1.1, there exists a marking $\zeta$ of $G$ such that each edge $e=u v$ in $S_{n}$ satisfies $\sigma(u v)=\zeta(u) \zeta(v)$. Now consider the $n$-sigraph $S_{n}{ }^{\prime}=\left(H, \sigma^{\prime}\right)$, where for any edge $e$ in $H, \sigma^{\prime}(e)$ is the $n$-marking of the corresponding vertex in $G$. Then clearly, $\operatorname{MED}\left(S_{n}{ }^{\prime}\right) \cong S_{n}$. Hence $S_{n}$ is a minimal equitable dominating $n$-sigraph.
Conversely, suppose that $S_{n}=(G, \sigma)$ is a is a minimal equitable dominating $n$-sigraph. Then there exists an $n$-sigraph $S_{n}{ }^{\prime}=\left(H, \sigma^{\prime}\right)$ such that $\operatorname{MED}\left(S_{n}{ }^{\prime}\right) \cong S_{n}$. Hence $G$ is the minimal equitable dominating graph of $H$ and by Theorem 2.1, $S_{n}$ is $i$-balanced.

In [20], the authors characterized graphs for which $\operatorname{MED}(G) \cong \bar{G}$.

## Theorem 2.4. (Sumathi \& Soner [20])

For any graph $G=(V, E), \operatorname{MED}(G) \cong \bar{G}$ if and only if $G$ is a complete with $p$ vertices.

We now characterize $n$-sigraphs whose minimal equitable dominating $n$-sigraphs and complementary $n$-sigraphs are switching equivalent.

Theorem 2.5. For any n-sigraph $S_{n}=(G, \sigma), \overline{S_{n}} \sim \operatorname{MED}\left(S_{n}\right)$ if, and only if, $G$ is $K_{p}$. Proof: Suppose $\overline{S_{n}} \sim \operatorname{MED}\left(S_{n}\right)$. This implies, $\operatorname{MED}(G) \cong \bar{G}$ and hence by Theorem 2.4, $G$ is $K_{p}$.

Conversely, suppose that $G$ is $K_{p}$. Then $\operatorname{MED}(G) \cong \bar{G}$ by Thorem 2.4. Now, if $S_{n}$ is an $n$-sigraph with underlying graph $G$ is $K_{p}$, by the definition of complementary $n$ sigraph and Theorem 2.1, $\overline{S_{n}}$ and $\operatorname{MED}\left(S_{n}\right)$ are $i$-balanced and hence, the result follows from Theorem 1.2.

Theorem 2.6. For any two n-sigraphs $S_{n}$ and $S_{n}{ }^{\prime}$ with the same underlying graph, their minimal equitable dominating $n$-sigraphs are switching equivalent.
Proof: Suppose $S_{n}=(G, \sigma)$ and $S_{n}{ }^{\prime}=\left(G^{\prime}, \sigma^{\prime}\right)$ ) be two $n$-sigraphs with $G \cong G^{\prime}$. By Theorem 2.1, $\operatorname{MED}\left(S_{n}\right)$ and $\operatorname{MED}\left(S_{n}{ }^{\prime}\right)$ are $i$-balanced and hence, the result follows from Theorem 1.2.

For any $m \in H_{n}$, the $m$-complement of $a=\left(a_{1}, a_{2}, . ., a_{n}\right)$ is: $a^{m}=a m$. For any $M \subseteq$ $H_{n}$, and $m \in H_{n}$, the $m$-complement of $M$ is $M^{m}=\left\{a^{m}: a \in M\right\}$.
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For any $m \in H_{n}$, the $m$ - complement of an $n$-sigraph $S_{n}=(G, \sigma)$, written $\left(S_{n}{ }^{m}\right)$, is the same graph but with each edge label $a=\left(a_{1}, a_{2}, \ldots, a_{n}\right)$ replaced by $a^{m}$.

For an $n$-sigraph $S_{n}=(G, \sigma)$, the $\operatorname{MED}\left(S_{n}\right)$ is $i$-balanced. We now examine, the condition under which $m$-complement of $\operatorname{MED}\left(S_{n}\right)$ is $i$-balanced, where for any $m \in H_{n}$.

Theorem 2.7. Let $S_{n}=(G, \sigma)$ be an n-sigraph. Then, for any $m \in H_{n}$, if $M E D(G)$ is bipartite then $\left(\operatorname{MED}\left(S_{n}\right)\right)^{m}$ is i-balanced.
Proof: Since, by Theorem 2.1, $\operatorname{MED}\left(S_{n}\right)$ is $i$-balanced, for each $k, 1 \leq k \leq n$, the number of $n$-tuples on any cycle $C$ in $\operatorname{MED}\left(S_{n}\right)$ whose $k^{t h}$ co-ordinate are - is even. Also, since $\operatorname{MED}(G)$ is bipartite, all cycles have even length; thus, for each $k, 1 \leq k \leq n$, the number of $n$-tuples on any cycle $C$ in $\operatorname{MED}\left(S_{n}\right)$ whose $k^{\text {th }}$ co-ordinate are + is also even. This implies that the same thing is true in any $m$-complement, where for any $m \in H_{n}$. Hence $\left(\operatorname{MED}\left(S_{n}\right)\right)^{t}$ is $i$-balanced.

Theorem 2.6 provides easy solutions to other $n$-sigraph switching equivalence relations, which are given in the following results.

Corollary 2.8. For any two n-sigraphs $S_{n}$ and $S_{n}{ }^{\prime}$ with the same underlying graph, $\operatorname{MED}\left(S_{n}\right)$ and $\operatorname{MED}\left(\left(S_{n}{ }^{\prime}\right)^{m}\right)$ are switching equivalent.

Corollary 2.9. For any two n-sigraphs $S_{n}$ and $S_{n}{ }^{\prime}$ with the same underlying graph, $\operatorname{MED}\left(\left(S_{n}\right)^{m}\right)$ and $\operatorname{MED}\left(S_{n}{ }^{\prime}\right)$ are switching equivalent.

Corollary 2.10. For any two n-sigraphs $S_{n}$ and $S_{n}{ }^{\prime}$ with the same underlying graph, $\operatorname{MED}\left(\left(S_{n}\right)^{m}\right)$ and $\operatorname{MED}\left(\left(S_{n}{ }^{\prime}\right)^{m}\right)$ are switching equivalent.

Corollary 2.11. For any two n-sigraphs $S_{n}=(G, \sigma)$ and $S_{n}{ }^{\prime}=\left(G^{\prime}, \sigma^{\prime}\right)$ with the $G \cong G^{\prime}$ and $G, G^{\prime}$ are bipartite, $\left(\operatorname{MED}\left(S_{n}\right)\right)^{m}$ and $\operatorname{MED}\left(S_{n}{ }^{\prime}\right)$ are switching equivalent.

Corollary 2.12. For any two n-sigraphs $S_{n}=(G, \sigma)$ and $S_{n}{ }^{\prime}=\left(G^{\prime}, \sigma^{\prime}\right)$ with the $G \cong G^{\prime}$ and $G, G^{\prime}$ are bipartite, $\operatorname{MED}\left(S_{n}\right)$ and $\operatorname{MED}\left(\left(S_{n}{ }^{\prime}\right)^{m}\right)$ are switching equivalent.

Corollary 2.13. For any two n-sigraphs $S_{n}=(G, \sigma)$ and $S_{n}{ }^{\prime}=\left(G^{\prime}, \sigma^{\prime}\right)$ with the $G$ $\cong G^{\prime}$ and $G, G^{\prime}$ are bipartite, $\left(\operatorname{MED}\left(S_{1}\right)\right)^{m}$ and $\left(\operatorname{MED}\left(S_{2}\right)\right)^{m}$ are switching equivalent.

## 3. Conclusion

We have introduced a new notion for $n$-signed graphs called minimal equitable dominating $n$-sigraph of an $n$-signed graph. We have proved some results and presented the structural characterization of minimal equitable dominating $n$-signed graph. There is no structural characterization of minimal equitable dominating graph, but we have obtained the structural characterization of minimal equitable dominating $n$-signed graph.
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