

Common Fixed Point Theorems In Intuitionistic Fuzzy Symmetric Spaces For Occasionally Weakly Compatible Maps Satisfying Contractive Condition Of Integral Type

Aarti Sugandhi¹, Sandeep Kumar Tiwari² and Aklesh Pariya³

^{1,2}School of Studies in Mathematics, Vikram University Ujjain (M.P), India

³Lakshmi Narain College of Technology and Science, Indore (M.P), India

Email: ²skt_tiwari75@yahoo.co.in, ³akleshpariya3@yahoo.co.in

¹Corresponding author: email: aartivhs@gmail.com

Received 16 February 2016; accepted 15 March 2016

Abstract. The aim of this paper is to prove common fixed point theorem for occasionally weakly compatible mappings satisfying general contractive condition of integral type in intuitionistic fuzzy symmetric space.

Keywords: Occasionally weakly compatible, contractive condition of integral type, symmetric spaces.

AMS Mathematics Subject Classification (2010): 47H10, 54H25.

1. Introduction

Zadeh [15] introduced the notion of fuzzy set. Atanssov [5] introduced the concept of Intuitionistic fuzzy metric spaces. Branciari [7] gave a fixed point result for a single mapping satisfying Banach's contraction principle for an integral type inequality. This result was further generalized by Alioche [3], Rhoades [11], Suzuki [13] shows that meir-keeler contractions of integral type are still meir-keeler contraction. Hickes and Rhoades [9], Badshah and Pariya [6] gave the fact of symmetric spaces and proved some common fixed point theorems in symmetric spaces. Recently, Yaoyao [14] proved common fixed point theorems in intuitionistic fuzzy symmetric spaces under non linear contractive condition.

2. Basic definitions and preliminaries

We recall some definitions and known results in intuitionistic fuzzy metric spaces

Definition 2.1. [12] A binary operation $*: [0,1] \times [0,1] \rightarrow [0,1]$ is called a *t-norm* $*$ satisfies the following conditions:

- i. $*$ is continuous,
- ii. $*$ is commutative and associative,
- iii. $a * 1 = a$ for all $a \in [0, 1]$,
- iv. $a * b \leq c * d$ whenever $a \leq c$ and $b \leq d$ for all $a, b, c, d \in [0,1]$.

Aarti Sugandhi, Sandeep Kumar Tiwari and Aklesh Pariya

Example 2.1. $a * b = ab$ and $a \circ b = \min\{a, b\}$.

Definition 2.2. [12] A binary operation $\diamond: [0,1] \times [0,1] \rightarrow [0,1]$ is said to be continuous t -conorm if it satisfied the following conditions:

- i. $\diamond$ is associative and commutative,
- ii. $a \diamond 0 = a$ for all $a \in [0,1]$,
- iii. $\diamond$ is continuous,
- iv. $a \diamond b \leq c \diamond d$ whenever $a \leq c$ and $b \leq d$ for each $a, b, c, d \in [0,1]$.

Example 2.2. $a \diamond b = \min(a+b, 1)$ and $a \diamond b = \max(a, b)$.

Recall that a symmetric on X is a nonnegative real valued function d on $X \times X$ such that

- (I) $d(x, y) = 0$ if and only if $x = y$, and
- (II) $d(x, y) = d(y, x)$

Definition 2.3. [8] A subset S of a symmetric space (X, d) is said to be d -closed if for a sequence $\{x_n\}$ in S and a point $x \in X$, $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(x_n, x) = 0$ implies $x \in S$.

For a symmetric space (X, d) , d -closedness implies $\mathfrak{S}(d)$ -closedness, and if d is a symmetric, the converse is also true.

Yaoyao [14] gave intuitionistic fuzzy version of the definition of symmetric spaces.

Definition 2.5. [14] A 3-tuple (X, M, N) is called intuitionistic fuzzy symmetric space if X is an arbitrary set and M, N are fuzzy sets on $X^2 \times (0, \infty)$ satisfying the following conditions:

- For all $x, y, z \in X$ and $t, s > 0$
- (IFSym-1) $M(x, y, t) + N(x, y, t) \leq 1$,
 - (IFSym-2) $M(x, y, 0) > 0$,
 - (IFSym-3) $M(x, y, t) = 1$ if and only if $x=y$,
 - (IFSym-4) $M(x, y, t) = M(y, x, t)$,
 - (IFSym-5) $M(x, y, t): (0, \infty) \rightarrow (0,1]$ is continuous,
 - (IFSym-6) $N(x, y, 0) < 1$,
 - (IFSym-7) $N(x, y, t) = 0$ if and only if $x = y$,
 - (IFSym-8) $N(x, y, t) = N(y, x, t)$,
 - (IFSym-9) $N(x, y, .): (0, \infty) \rightarrow (0, 1]$ is continuous,

Then (M, N) is called an intuitionistic fuzzy symmetric on X . The function $M(x, y, t)$ and $N(x, y, t)$ denote the degree of nearness and degree of non nearness between x and y with respect to t , respectively.

Example 2.3. [14] Let d be a symmetric on X defined by for all $x, y \in X$,

$$d(x, y) = e^{|x-y|} - 1.$$

Let $M(x, y, t) = \frac{t}{t+d(x,y)}$ and $N(x, y, t) = \frac{d(x,y)}{t+d(x,y)}$ for all $x, y \in X$ and $t > 0$.

Then (X, M, N) is an intuitionistic fuzzy symmetric space induced by the symmetric d . It is obvious that $N(x, y, t) = 1 - M(x, y, t)$.

Now consider an intuitionistic fuzzy symmetric space with the following two conditions:

IFW.1. [14] Given $\{x_n\}$, x and y in X ,
 $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} M(x_n, x, t) = 1, \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} N(x_n, x, t) = 0$
 and
 $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} M(x_n, y, t) = 1, \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} N(x_n, y, t) = 0$
 imply $x = y$.

IFW.2. [14] Given $\{x_n\}, \{y_n\}$ and $x \in X$,
 $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} M(x_n, x, t) = 1, \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} N(x_n, x, t) = 0$
 and
 $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} M(y_n, x_n, t) = 1, \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} N(y_n, x_n, t) = 0$
 imply $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} M(y_n, x, t) = 1, \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} N(y_n, x, t) = 0$.

Definition 2.6. [14] Let f and g be self – mappings of an intuitionistic fuzzy symmetric space (X, M, N) . f and g are called compatible if $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} M(fgx_n, gfx_n, t) = 1$ and $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} N(fgx_n, gfx_n, t) = 0$ whenever $\{x_n\}$ is a sequence in X such that
 $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} M(fx_n, y, t) = 1$ and $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} N(fx_n, y, t) = 0$
 and
 $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} M(gx_n, y, t) = 1$ and $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} N(gx_n, y, t) = 0$ for some $y \in X$.

Definition 2.7. [14] Let f and g be self mappings of an Intuitionistic Fuzzy symmetric space (X, M, N) . f and g are said to be weakly compatible if they commute at their coincidence points i.e. $fu = gu$ for some $u \in X$. then $fgu = gfu$.

Now we define occasionally weakly compatible in an intuitionistic fuzzy symmetric space as:

Definition 2.8. Self mappings f and g of an intuitionistic fuzzy symmetric space (X, M, N) is said to be occasionally weakly compatible (owc) if there exists a point $x \in X$ which is a coincidence point of f and g at which f and g commute.

Definition 2.9. [14] Let f and g be self mappings of an Intuitionistic Fuzzy symmetric space (X, M, N) , we say that f and g satisfy the property (IFE.A.) if there exists a sequence $\{x_n\}$ such that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} M(fx_n, y, t) = 1 \quad \text{and} \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} N(fx_n, y, t) = 0$$

and
 $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} M(gx_n, y, t) = 1$ and $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} N(gx_n, y, t) = 0$ for some $y \in X$.

Remark 2.2. It is clear from the above Definition 2.9 that two self mappings f and g of an intuitionistic fuzzy symmetric space (X, M, N) will be non – compatible if there exists at least one sequence $\{x_n\}$ such that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} M(fx_n, y, t) = 1 \quad \text{and} \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} N(fx_n, y, t) = 0$$

and

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} M(gx_n, y, t) = 1 \text{ and } \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} N(gx_n, y, t) = 0$$

for some $y \in X$, but

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} M(fgx_n, gfx_n, t) \neq 1 \text{ and } \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} N(fgx_n, gfx_n, t) \neq 0$$

or do not exists.

Clearly, two non – compatible self mappings of an intuitionistic fuzzy symmetric space (X, M, N) satisfy the property (IFE.A).

Definition 2.10. [14] Let (X, M, N) be an intuitionistic fuzzy symmetric space, we say that (X, M, N) satisfies the property (IFH_E) if given sequences $\{x_n\}, \{y_n\}$ such that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} M(x_n, x, t) = 1, \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} N(x_n, x, t) = 0$$

and

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} M(y_n, x, t) = 1, \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} N(y_n, x, t) = 0$$

imply that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} M(y_n, x_n, t) = 1, \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} N(y_n, x_n, t) = 0$

Lemma 2.1. [10] Let A and B be self maps on X and let A and B have a unique point of coincidence, $w = Ax = Bx$, then w is unique fixed point of A and B .

Definition 2.11. Let $\phi, \psi: R^+ \rightarrow R^+$ are continuous, non – increasing, non – decreasing functions respectively satisfying the conditions , $\phi(0) = 1, \phi(t) > t$, and $\psi(0) = 0, \psi(t) < t$ for every $t > 0$.

3. Main result

Theorem 3.1. Let $(X, M, N, *, \diamond)$ be a Intuitionistic fuzzy symmetric space that satisfy $(IFW1), (IFW2), (IFH_E)$, and let A, B, S , and T be self mapping of X such that

- (I) $A(X) \subset T(X)$ and $B(X) \subset S(X)$,
- (II) For all $x, y \in X$, let $\phi, \psi: R^+ \rightarrow R^+$ are continuous, non – increasing, non – decreasing functions respectively satisfying the conditions , $\phi(0) = 1, \phi(t) > t$, and $\psi(0) = 0, \psi(t) < t$ for every $t > 0$ such that

$$\int_0^{M(Ax, By, t)} \phi(t) dt \geq \phi \left(\int_0^{m(x, y, t)} \phi(t) dt \right)$$

and

$$\int_0^{N(Ax, By, t)} \psi(t) dt \leq \psi \left(\int_0^{n(x, y, t)} \psi(t) dt \right)$$

where $\phi: R^+ \rightarrow R^+$ is a lebesgue integrable mapping which is summable, non-negative and such that $\int_0^\varepsilon \phi(t) dt > 0$ for each $\varepsilon > 0$ and

$$m(x, y, t) = \min \{M(Sx, Ty, t), M(Ax, Sx, t), M(By, Ty, t), \frac{1}{2} (M(Sx, By, t) + M(Ax, Ty, t))\}$$

$$n(x, y, t) = \max \{N(Sx, Ty, t), N(Ax, Sx, t), N(By, Ty, t), \frac{1}{2} (N(Sx, By, t) + N(Ax, Ty, t))\}$$

(III) Suppose that (B, T) satisfied property (IFE.A.) (respectively, (A, S) satisfies property (IFE.A.)) and

(IV) the pairs (A, S) and (B, T) are occasionally weakly compatible.

(V) $S(X)$ is a d- closed subset of X (resp., $T(X)$ is a d- closed subset of X).

Then A, B, S and T have a unique common fixed point in X .

Proof: Since the pair (B, T) satisfies property (E.A.), so there exists a sequence $\{x_n\}$ in X , and a point $z \in X$ such that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} M(Tx_n, z, t) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} M(Bx_n, z, t) = 1$ and $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} N(Tx_n, z, t) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} N(Bx_n, z, t) = 0$

From (I), $B(X) \subset S(X)$, there exists a sequence $\{y_n\}$ in X such that $Bx_n = Sy_n$ and hence $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} M(Sy_n, z, t) = 1$ and $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} N(Sy_n, z, t) = 0$.

By property (IFH_E) , $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} M(Bx_n, Tx_n, t) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} M(Sy_n, Tx_n, t) = 1$ and $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} N(Bx_n, Tx_n, t) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} N(Sy_n, Tx_n, t) = 0$

From (V), $S(X)$ is a d- closed subset of X there exists a point $u \in X$ such that $Su = z$.

Now we will prove that $Au = Su$. Suppose not then

$$\int_0^{M(Au, z, t)} \varphi(t) dt = \int_0^r \varphi(t) dt, \text{ where } r = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} m(Au, Bx_n, t) \\ \geq \phi \left(\int_0^{m(u, x_n, t)} \varphi(t) dt \right)$$

$$\text{and } \int_0^{N(Au, z, t)} \varphi(t) dt = \int_0^s \varphi(t) dt \text{ where } s = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} n(Au, Bx_n, t) \\ \leq \psi \left(\int_0^{n(u, x_n, t)} \varphi(t) dt \right)$$

where

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} m(u, x_n, t) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \min\{M(Su, Tx_n, t), M(Au, Su, t), M(Bx_n, Tx_n, t), \\ \frac{1}{2}(M(Su, Bx_n, t) + M(Au, Tx_n, t))\}$$

and

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} n(u, x_n, t) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \max\{N(Su, Tx_n, t), N(Au, Su, t), N(Bx_n, Tx_n, t), \\ \frac{1}{2}(N(Su, Bx_n, t) + N(Au, Tx_n, t))\}$$

On using the property (IFH_E) , we get

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} m(u, x_n, t) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \min\{1, M(Au, z, t), 1, \frac{1}{2}(1 + M(Au, z, t))\}$$

and

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} n(u, x_n, t) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \max\{0, N(Au, z, t), 0, \frac{1}{2}(0 + N(Au, z, t))\}$$

$$\text{we have } \int_0^{M(Au, z, t)} \varphi(t) dt \geq \phi \left(\int_0^{M(Au, z, t)} \varphi(t) dt \right) \\ > \int_0^{M(Au, z, t)} \varphi(t) dt$$

$$\text{and } \int_0^{N(Au, z, t)} \varphi(t) dt \leq \psi \left(\int_0^{N(Au, z, t)} \varphi(t) dt \right) \\ < \int_0^{N(Au, z, t)} \varphi(t) dt$$

which is contradiction. Hence $Au = Su = z$.

Again by (I) $A(X) \subset T(X)$, there exists a point $w \in X$ such that $Au = Tw$. Now we will show that $Tw = Bw$. Suppose not, then by (II) we have

Aarti Sugandhi, Sandeep Kumar Tiwari and Aklesh Pariya

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_0^{M(Au, Bw, t)} \varphi(t) dt \geq \phi \left(\int_0^{m(u, w, t)} \varphi(t) dt \right) \\
& \geq \phi \left(\int_0^{\min \{M(Su, Tw, t), M(Au, Su, t), M(Bw, Tw, t), \frac{1}{2}(M(Su, Bw, t) + M(Au, Tw, t))\}} \varphi(t) dt \right) \\
& \geq \phi \left(\int_0^{\min \{1, 1, M(Bw, Au, t), \frac{1}{2}(M(Au, Bw, t) + 1)\}} \varphi(t) dt \right) \\
& \geq \phi \left(\int_0^{M(Au, Bw, t)} \varphi(t) dt \right) \\
& > \int_0^{M(Au, Bw, t)} \varphi(t) dt \\
& \text{and} \\
& \int_0^{N(Au, Bw, t)} \varphi(t) dt \leq \psi \left(\int_0^{n(u, w, t)} \varphi(t) dt \right) \\
& \leq \psi \left(\int_0^{\max \{N(Su, Tw, t), N(Au, Su, t), N(Bw, Tw, t), \frac{1}{2}(N(Su, Bw, t) + N(Au, Tw, t))\}} \varphi(t) dt \right) \\
& \leq \psi \left(\int_0^{\max \{0, 0, N(Bw, Au, t), \frac{1}{2}(N(Au, Bw, t) + 0)\}} \varphi(t) dt \right) \\
& \leq \psi \left(\int_0^{N(Au, Bw, t)} \varphi(t) dt \right) \\
& < \int_0^{N(Au, Bw, t)} \varphi(t) dt
\end{aligned}$$

which is a contradiction. Hence $Tw = Bw$.

Thus $Au = Su = Tw = Bw = z$.

Now by (IV), (A, S) and (B, T) are occasionally weakly compatible, we have $AAu = ASu = SAu = SSu$ and $BTw = TBw = TTW = BBw$.

Now we will show that $Au = w$. Suppose $Au \neq w$ then by (II)

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_0^{M(Au, AAu, t)} \varphi(t) dt = \left(\int_0^{M(AAu, Bw, t)} \varphi(t) dt \right) \\
& \geq \phi \left(\int_0^{m(Au, w, t)} \varphi(t) dt \right) \\
& \geq \phi \left(\int_0^{\min \{M(SAu, Tw, t), M(AAu, SAu, t), M(Bw, Tw, t), \frac{1}{2}(M(SAu, Bw, t) + M(AAu, Tw, t))\}} \varphi(t) dt \right) \\
& \geq \phi \left(\int_0^{\min \{M(AAu, Bw, t), 1, 1, M(AAu, Bw, t)\}} \varphi(t) dt \right) \\
& \geq \phi \left(\int_0^{M(AAu, Bw, t)} \varphi(t) dt \right)
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &> \int_0^{M(AAu, Bw, t)} \varphi(t) dt = \int_0^{M(AAu, Au, t)} \varphi(t) dt \\
 \text{i.e. } &\int_0^{M(AAu, Au, t)} \varphi(t) dt > \int_0^{M(AAu, Au, t)} \varphi(t) dt \\
 \text{and } &\int_0^{N(Au, AAu, t)} \varphi(t) dt = \int_0^{N(AAu, Bw, t)} \varphi(t) dt \\
 &\leq \psi \left(\int_0^{n(Au, w, t)} \varphi(t) dt \right) \\
 &\leq \psi \left(\int_0^{\max \{N(SAu, Tw, t), N(AAu, SAu, t), N(Bw, Tw, t), \frac{1}{2}(N(SAu, Bw, t) + N(AAu, Tw, t))\}} \varphi(t) dt \right) \\
 &\leq \psi \left(\int_0^{\max \{N(AAu, Bw, t), 0, 0, N(AAu, Bw, t)\}} \varphi(t) dt \right) \\
 &\leq \psi \left(\int_0^{N(AAu, Bw, t)} \varphi(t) dt \right) \\
 &< \int_0^{N(AAu, Bw, t)} \varphi(t) dt = \int_0^{N(AAu, Au, t)} \varphi(t) dt \\
 \text{i.e. } &\int_0^{N(AAu, Au, t)} \varphi(t) dt < \int_0^{N(AAu, Au, t)} \varphi(t) dt
 \end{aligned}$$

which is a contradiction . Hence $Au = Su = w$. Similarly if $Bw \neq u$.

we have a contradiction. Thus $w = Au = Su = Bw = Tw = u$, so $w = u$ is a common fixed point of A, B, S and T .

For the uniqueness, let v be another common fixed point of A, B, S and T .

If $w \neq v$, then from (II) we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 &\int_0^{M(v, w, t)} \varphi(t) dt = \int_0^{M(Av, Bw, t)} \varphi(t) dt \geq \phi \left(\int_0^{m(v, w, t)} \varphi(t) dt \right) \\
 &\geq \phi \left(\int_0^{\min \{M(Sv, Tw, t), M(Sv, Av, t), M(Bw, Tw, t), \frac{1}{2}(M(Sv, Bw, t) + M(Av, Tw, t))\}} \varphi(t) dt \right) \\
 &\geq \phi \left(\int_0^{\min \{M(v, w, t), 1, 1, M(v, w, t)\}} \varphi(t) dt \right) \\
 &\geq \phi \left(\int_0^{M(v, w, t)} \varphi(t) dt \right) \\
 &> \int_0^{M(v, w, t)} \varphi(t) dt
 \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
 &\int_0^{N(v, w, t)} \varphi(t) dt = \int_0^{N(Av, Bw, t)} \varphi(t) dt \leq \psi \left(\int_0^{n(v, w, t)} \varphi(t) dt \right) \\
 &\leq \psi \left(\int_0^{\max \{N(Sv, Tw, t), N(Sv, Av, t), N(Bw, Tw, t), \frac{1}{2}(N(Sv, Bw, t) + N(Av, Tw, t))\}} \varphi(t) dt \right) \\
 &\leq \psi \left(\int_0^{\max \{N(v, w, t), 0, 0, N(v, w, t)\}} \varphi(t) dt \right)
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} &\leq \psi \left(\int_0^{N(v,w,t)} \varphi(t) dt \right) \\ &< \int_0^{N(v,w,t)} \varphi(t) dt \end{aligned}$$

which is a contradiction. Hence $w = v$.
This complete the proof.

Corollary 3.1. Let $(X, M, N, *, \diamond)$ be a Intuitionistic fuzzy symmetric space that satisfy (IFW1), (IFW2), (IFH_E) , and let A, B, S , and T be self mapping of X satisfy the conditions (I), (II), (III) and (V) and the pairs (A, S) , (B, T) are weakly compatible then A, B, S and T have a unique common fixed point in X .

Proof: Since weakly compatible mappings are occasionally weakly compatible mappings result follows from theorem 3.1.

Corollary 3.2. Let $(X, M, N, *, \diamond)$ be a Intuitionistic fuzzy symmetric space that satisfy (IFW1), (IFW2), (IFH_E) , and let A, B, S , and T be self mapping of X such that

- (I) $A(X) \subset T(X)$ and $B(X) \subset S(X)$,
- (II) For all $x, y \in X$, let $\phi, \psi: R^+ \rightarrow R^+$ are continuous, non – increasing, non – decreasing functions respectively satisfying the conditions, $\phi(0) = 1$, $\phi(t) > t$, and $\psi(0) = 0$, $\psi(t) < t$ for every $t > 0$ such that

$$M(Ax, By, t) \geq \phi(m(x, y, t))$$

and

$$N(Ax, By, t) \leq \psi(n(x, y, t))$$

where $m(x, y, t) = \min \{M(Sx, Ty, t), M(Ax, Sx, t), M(By, Ty, t), \frac{1}{2}(M(Sx, By, t) + M(Ax, Ty, t))\}$

and $n(x, y, t) = \max \{N(Sx, Ty, t), N(Ax, Sx, t), N(By, Ty, t), \frac{1}{2}(N(Sx, By, t) + N(Ax, Ty, t))\}$

(III) Suppose that (B, T) satisfied property (IFE.A.) (respectively, (A, S) satisfies property (IFE.A.)) and

(IV) the pairs (A, S) and (B, T) are occasionally weakly compatible.

(V) $S(X)$ is a d- closed subset of X (resp., $T(X)$ is a d- closed subset of X).

Then A, B, S and T have a unique common fixed point in X .

Proof: If we put $\varphi(t) = 1$ in theorem 3.1, the result follows.

REFERENCES

1. C.Alaca, D.Turkoglu and C.Yildiz, Fixed points in Intuitionistic fuzzy metric space, *Chaos, Soliton & Fractals*, 29(5) (2006) 1073-1078.
2. M.Aamri and D.El.Moutawakil, Some new common fixed point theorems under strict contractive conditions, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, 270 (2002) 181-188.
3. A.Aliouche, A common fixed point theorems for weakly compatible mappings in symmetric spaces satisfying a contractive condition of integral type, *J.Math. Anal. Appl.*, 322(2) (2006) 796-802.
4. M.A.Al-Thagafi and N.Shahzad, A note on occasionally weakly compatible maps, *Int. Journal of Math. Analysis*, 3(2) (2009) 55-58.
5. K.T.Atanassov, intuitionistic fuzzy sets, *Fuzzy Sets and System*, 20 (1986) 87-96.

6. V.H.Badshah and A.Pariya, A common fixed point theorem for occasionally weakly compatible maps satisfying general contractive condition of integral type, *Varahmihir Journal of Mathematical Sciences*, 9(1) (2009) 125-134.
7. A.Branciari, A fixed point theorem for mappings satisfying general contractive condition of integral type, *Int. J. Math. Math. Sci.*, 29(9) (2002) 531-536.
8. S.H.Cho, G.Y.Lee and J.S.Bae, On coincidence and fixed point theorems in symmetric spaces, *Fixed Point Theory and Application*, Vol. 2008, article ID 562130.
9. T.L.Hicks and B.E.Rhoades, Fixed point theory with application to probabilistic spaces, *Non Linear Analysis*, 36(1999) 331-334.
10. G.Jungck and B.E.Rhoades, Fixed point theorems for Occasionally weakly compatible mappings, *Fixed Point Theory*, 7(2) (2006) 287-296.
11. B.E.Rhoades, Two fixed point theorems for mappings satisfying general contractive condition of integral type, *Sochow J. Math.* 33(2) (2007) 181 – 185.
12. B.Schweizer and A.Sklar, Statistical metric spaces, *Pacific J. Math.*, 10 (1960) 313-334.
13. T.Suzuki, Meir-Keelar contractions of Integral type are still Meir-Keelar contractions, *Int. J.Math.Sci.*, 2007, Article ID 39281, 6 pages.
14. L.Yaoyao, Common fixed point theorems in intuitionistic fuzzy symmetric spaces under non linear contractive conditions, *Contemporary Mathematics and Statistics*, 1(2013) 1-09.
15. L.A.Zadeh, Fuzzy sets, *Infom. and Control*, 89 (1965) 388 -353.