

Stable Topology for 0-distributive lattices

Y.S.Pawar¹ and S.S.Patil²

²Kolhapur Institute of Technology College of Engineering, Kolhapur, India
¹E-mail: yspawar1950@gmail.com; ²swapnali251187@gmail.com

Received 4 September 2015; accepted 22 September 2015

Abstract. In this paper, we introduce and study the stable topology on the set of prime filters of a bounded 0-distributive lattice. The stable topology is a subtopology of the hull kernel topology on the set of prime filters of a bounded 0-distributive lattice. Sufficient condition is given under which the hull kernel topology and stable topology coincide on the set of prime filters (the set of maximal filters and the set of minimal prime filters) of a bounded 0-distributive lattice.

Keywords: Maximal filters, minimal filters, normal lattice, dually stone lattice

AMS Mathematics Subject Classification (2010): 06D99, 06D75

1. Introduction and preliminaries

As a generalization of a distributive lattice (with 0) on one hand and a pseudo complemented lattice on the other, Varlet [10] has introduced the concept of a 0-distributive lattice. Dually we define 1-distributive lattice. Various properties of 0-distributive lattices are obtained in [6,7,9,10]. An extensive study of the hull kernel topology on the set of prime filters of a 0-distributive lattice is carried out in [5,6]. In this paper, exactly as in BL-algebras, we introduce the stable topology on the set of prime filters of a 0-distributive lattice ([1,6]). We show that the stable topology is a subtopology of hull kernel topology. It is observed that under certain conditions these two topologies coincide on the set of prime filters, maximal filters and minimal prime filters of a 0-distributive lattice. For the topological concepts which have now become commonplace the reader is referred to [4]. For the lattice theoretic concepts the reader is referred to [3].

A lattice L with 0 is 0-distributive, if $a \wedge b = 0$ and $a \wedge c = 0$ imply $a \wedge (b \vee c) = 0$ for $a, b, c \in L$. A lattice L with 1 is 1-distributive, if $a \vee b = 1$ and $a \vee c = 1$ imply $a \vee (b \wedge c) = 1$ for $a, b, c \in L$. A bounded lattice which is both 0-distributive and 1-distributive is called 0-1 distributive lattice [5]. An element $x \in L$ has a complement in L if there exists $x' \in L$ such that $x \wedge x' = 0$ and $x \vee x' = 1$. A non empty subset F of lattice L is called as filter if it is sublattice of L and $x \in F, y \in L$ imply that $x \vee y \in F$. A filter P in a bounded lattice L is said to be prime if $P \neq L$ and $x \vee y \in P$ imply that $x \in P$ or $y \in P$. A filter P in a bounded lattice L is a minimal prime filter if P is a prime filter in L and P does not contain any other prime filter properly. A filter F in L is said to be maximal if there does not exist any proper filter containing it properly. Now onwards L denotes a bounded 0-distributive lattice, $F(L)$ denotes the set of all filters of L and $\text{Spec } L$ the set of all prime filters of L . We know

that $\langle F(L), \wedge, \vee \rangle$ is a bounded lattice where $F \vee K = [F \cup K]$ and $F \wedge K = F \cap K$ for $F, K \in F(L)$.

2. Stable topology

For any filter F of L , $U(F) = \{ P \in \text{Speck } L / F \subseteq P \}$ and $D(F) = \text{Speck } L - U(F) = \{ P \in \text{Speck } L / F \not\subseteq P \}$. Since L is 0-distributive every proper filter of L is contained in a prime filter (see [10]). Hence $U(F)$ is not empty, also $D(F)$ is not empty if $F \neq L$.

We need the following result for the development of the text.

Theorem 2.1. (see [6]) Let $\{A_i / i \in I\}$ be any family of filters of L and A_1, \dots, A_n be any finite number of filters of L . Then

1. $D(\vee A_i) = \cup D(A_i)$
2. $D(A_1 \cap \dots \cap A_n) = D(A_1) \cap \dots \cap D(A_n)$
3. $D(L) = \phi$
4. $D([1]) = \phi$

By Theorem 2.1, it follows that $\{D(F) / F \in F(L)\}$ is a topology on $\text{Speck } L$. We shall denote this topology by \mathcal{J} and the resulting topological space $(\text{Speck } L, \mathcal{J})$ also by $\text{Speck } L$ when there is no ambiguity. This topology is the hull kernel topology. The family $\mathcal{B} = \{D(x) / x \in L\}$ forms a base for the topology \mathcal{J} , where $D(x) = D([x]) = \{P \in \text{Speck } L / x \notin P\}$. (see [6])

Now we define

Definition 2.1. A subset X of $\text{Speck } L$ is called stable if for any $P, Q \in \text{Speck } L$, whenever $P \subseteq Q$ and $P \in X$, $Q \in X$.

Using stable open sets in $\text{Speck } L$, We have

Theorem 2.2. Let $\mathcal{J}' = \{D(F) / F \text{ is filter in } L \text{ and } D(F) \text{ is stable in } \text{Speck } L\}$. Then \mathcal{J}' is topology on $\text{Speck } L$.

Proof - (i) $\phi \in \mathcal{J}'$ and $\text{Speck } L \in \mathcal{J}'$ since $\phi = D([1])$ and $\text{Speck } L = D(L)$.

(ii) Let $D(F_1)$ and $D(F_2) \in \mathcal{J}'$. As $D(F_1) \cap D(F_2) = D([F_1 \cup F_2])$ (by Theorem 2.1), we only prove that $D(F_1) \cap D(F_2)$ is stable in $\text{Speck } L$. Let $P \in D(F_1) \cap D(F_2)$, $P, Q \in \text{Speck } L$ and $P \subseteq Q$. $P \in D(F_1)$ and $D(F_1)$ is stable in $\text{Speck } L$ will imply $Q \in D(F_1)$. Similarly, $P \in D(F_2)$ and $D(F_2)$ is stable in $\text{Speck } L$ will imply $Q \in D(F_2)$. Therefore $Q \in D(F_1) \cap D(F_2)$. Hence $D(F_1) \cap D(F_2)$ is stable. Therefore $D(F_1) \cap D(F_2) \in \mathcal{J}'$.

(iii) Let $\{D(F_\alpha)\}_{\alpha \in \Delta}$ be any arbitrary family in \mathcal{J}' . Then to prove $\bigcup_{\alpha \in \Delta} D(F_\alpha) \in \mathcal{J}'$. We know $\bigcup_{\alpha \in \Delta} D(F_\alpha) = D\left(\bigcap_{\alpha \in \Delta} F_\alpha\right)$ (by Theorem 2.1). Hence we only prove that $\bigcup_{\alpha \in \Delta} D(F_\alpha)$ is stable in $\text{Speck } L$. Let $P, Q \in \text{Speck } L$, $P \subseteq Q$ and $P \in \bigcup_{\alpha \in \Delta} D(F_\alpha)$. Then $P \in D(F_{\alpha_0})$ for some $\alpha_0 \in \Delta$. As $D(F_{\alpha_0}) \in \mathcal{J}'$ and as it is stable in $\text{Speck } L$, $Q \in D(F_{\alpha_0})$. But then we get $Q \in \bigcup_{\alpha \in \Delta} D(F_\alpha)$. This implies that $\bigcup_{\alpha \in \Delta} D(F_\alpha) \in \mathcal{J}'$. Hence from (i), (ii) and (iii) we get \mathcal{J}' is topology on $\text{Speck } L$. \square

Stable Topology for 0-distributive Lattices

As for any filter F , $D(F) \in \mathcal{J}$, we get

Definition 2.2. This topology \mathcal{J}' defined on $\text{Speck } L$ is called the stable topology on $\text{Speck } L$

Corollary 2.1. \mathcal{J}' is subtopology of \mathcal{J} on the $\text{Speck } L$ i.e. $\mathcal{J}' \subseteq \mathcal{J}$.

Theorem 2.3. $(\text{Speck } L, \mathcal{J}')$ is compact but not T_0 .

Proof. As $\mathcal{J}' \subseteq \mathcal{J}$ and \mathcal{J} is compact (see [6]), it follows that \mathcal{J}' is compact. Let $P, Q \in \text{Speck } L$ with $P \subset Q$. Then for any open set $D(F)$ in \mathcal{J}' containing P will surely contain Q as $D(F)$ is stable. This shows that such $P, Q \in \text{Speck } L$ cannot be separated by any open set in \mathcal{J}' . Hence \mathcal{J}' is not a T_0 Space. \square

Remark 2.1. As we know \mathcal{J}' is a T_1 -space, the two topologies \mathcal{J} and \mathcal{J}' defined on $\text{Speck } L$ are different.

A sufficient condition for the basic open set $D(x)$ in \mathcal{J} is given in the following theorem.

Theorem 2.4. If $x \in L$ has a complement in L , then $D(x)$ is stable.

Proof. Let $P, Q \in \text{Speck } L$, $P \subseteq Q$ and $P \in D(x)$. By assumption x has a complement say $x' \in L$. Hence $x \wedge x' = 0$ and $x \vee x' = 1$. Now $P \in D(x) \Rightarrow x \notin P \Rightarrow x' \in P$. Let $P \subseteq Q$ and $x' \in P \Rightarrow x' \in Q \Rightarrow x \notin Q$ ($\because x \wedge x' = 0$). Hence $Q \in D(x)$. Hence $D(x)$ is stable. \square

Theorem 2.5. The stable topology and hull kernel topology coincides on $\text{Speck } L$ when L is complemented.

Proof. Let F be a filter in L . We know that $D(F) = \bigcup_{x \in F} D(x)$. As $x \in L$ has a complement in L , $D(x)$ is stable (by Theorem 2.4). Arbitrary union of stable open sets being stable open (Theorem 2.1), $D(F)$ is stable. Thus any open set in \mathcal{J} is open in \mathcal{J}' . As $\mathcal{J}' \subseteq \mathcal{J}$ always We get $\mathcal{J} = \mathcal{J}'$. \square

Theorem 2.6. Let L be a 0-1 distributive lattice Then $D(F)$ is stable if the filter F satisfies the following condition..

(*) for every $f \in F, \exists x \in L$ and $y \in F$ such that $x \vee f = 1$ and $x \wedge y = 0$.

Proof. Let $P, Q \in \text{Speck } L$ such that $P \subseteq Q$ and $P \in D(F)$. So $F \not\subseteq P$. Hence $\exists f \in F$ such that $f \notin P$. By the property of F , there exist $x \in L$ and $y \in F$ such that $x \wedge y = 0$ and $x \vee f = 1$. Now $x \vee f = 1 \in P$ and P is prime will give $x \in P$ ($\because f \notin P$). Thus $x \in P \subseteq Q$. As $x \wedge y = 0$ and $y \in Q$, we get $y \notin Q$, since Q is proper. Thus $y \in F$ and $y \notin Q$ imply $F \not\subseteq Q$. But this gives $Q \in D(F)$ and hence $D(F)$ is stable. \square

In a 0-distributive lattice we know $\text{Max } L$ is subset of $\text{Speck } L$. Hence by restricting the hull kernel topology \mathcal{J} defined on $\text{Speck } L$ to the set $\text{Max } L$ we obtain the relative topology say \mathcal{J}_1 on $\text{Max } L$. Restrict the stable topology \mathcal{J}' defined on the $\text{Speck } L$

to $\text{Max } L$ and denote it by \mathcal{J}'_1 . Thus \mathcal{J}_1 denotes the hull-kernel topology on $\text{Max } L$ and \mathcal{J}'_1 denote the stable topology on $\text{Max } L$.

A bounded 0-distributive lattice is normal if every prime filter in L is contained in a unique maximal filter. Under the condition of normality of L we get $\mathcal{J} = \mathcal{J}'$. This we prove in the following theorem.

Theorem 2.7. If L is a normal lattice, then the hull kernel topology coincides with the stable topology on $\text{Max } (L)$.

Proof. For any $M \in \text{Max } (L)$, let $D^M(x) = D(x) \cap \text{Max}(L)$ for each $x \in L$. Then for each $x \in L$, $D^M(x)$ is a basic open set in $(\text{Max}(L), \mathcal{J}_1)$. L being normal, every prime filter P in L is contained in a unique maximal filter, say M_p . Define $V = \{P \in \text{Speck } L / M_p \in D^M(x)\}$. Therefore $V = \{P \in \text{Speck } L / x \notin M_p, M_p \in \text{Max}(L)\}$. Then $D^M(x) = V \cap \text{Max}(L)$. To prove $D^M(x)$ is stable, it is enough to prove V is stable. Let $P, Q \in \text{Speck } L$, $P \subseteq Q$ and $P \in V$. Then $M_p = M_q$ and $P \in V$ gives $x \notin M_q$. Hence $Q \in V$. This shows that V is stable and hence $D^M(x) = V \cap \text{Max}(L)$ is stable. This in turn shows that $\mathcal{J}_1 \subseteq \mathcal{J}'_1$. As $\mathcal{J}'_1 \subseteq \mathcal{J}_1$ (see Corollary 2.1) we get $\mathcal{J}_1 = \mathcal{J}'_1$ i.e. the hull kernel topology coincides with the stable topology on the set of all maximal filters in L . \square

A 0-1 distributive lattice L is called Stone lattice if $(x)^* = [y]$ for some complemented element y in L . A 0-1 distributive lattice L is called dually Stone lattice if $[x]^\perp = [y]$ for some complemented element y in L .

Theorem 2.8. Let L be a 0-1 distributive lattice. If L is a dually stone lattice, then for any $x \in L$, there exists $y \in L$ such that y has a complement say y' in L and $D_M(x) = D_M(y')$.

Proof. Let $x \in L$, As L is a dually stone lattice, there exists a complemented element $y \in L$ such that $[x]^\perp = [y]$. Then $x \vee y = 1$, $y \wedge y' = 0$ and $y \vee y' = 1$. $P \in D_M(x)$ implies $x \notin P$ and $P \in M$. Therefore $y \in P$ (since $x \vee y = 1 \in P$, P is prime). Thus $y' \notin P$ (as $y \wedge y' = 0$, P is prime) implies $P \in D_M(y')$. Hence $D_M(x) \subseteq D_M(y')$. To prove the reverse inclusion, let $P \in D_M(y')$. Then $y' \notin P$. Therefore $y \in P$ (since $y \vee y' = 1$) that is $[y] \subseteq P$ implies $[x]^\perp \subseteq P$. As $P \in M$, we get $x \notin P$ and consequently $P \in D_M(x)$. Thus $D_M(y') \subseteq D_M(x)$. Combining both the inclusions we get $D_M(x) = D_M(y')$. \square

$\text{Min } (L)$ is subset of $\text{Speck } L$. Hence by restricting the hull kernel topology \mathcal{J} defined on $\text{Speck } L$ to the set $\text{Min } (L)$ we obtain the relative topology say \mathcal{J}_2 , on $\text{Min}(L)$. Restrict the stable topology on $\text{Min } L$ and denote it by \mathcal{J}'_2 . Interestingly we have,

Theorem 2.9. The hull kernel topology and the stable topology coincide on the set of all minimal prime filters of 0-1 distributive dually Stone lattice.

Proof. Let L be a stone lattice. Let $x \in L$ and $D_M(x) = D(x) \cap \text{Min}(L)$. Then $\{D_M(x) / x \in L\}$ forms a base for \mathcal{J}_2 . Since L is dually stone lattice there exists a complemented element y in L such that $[x]^\perp = [y]$. Now (by theorem 2.8) $D_M(x) =$

Stable Topology for 0-distributive Lattices

$D_M(y')$. As $D_M(y')$ is stable in Speck L (by theorem 2.4), we get $D_M(x)$ is stable for every $x \in L$. This shows that \mathcal{J}_2 and stable topology coincides on $\text{Min}(L)$. \square

REFERENCES

1. Balasubramani, Stone topology of the set of prime filters of a 0-distributive lattice, *Indian J. Pure and Appl. Math.*, 35(2) (2004) 149-158.
2. Esfandiareslami, Farhad Kh. Haghani.: Pure filters and stable topology on BL-algebras, *Kybernetika*, 45(3) (2009) 491-506.
3. G.Grätzer, General Lattice Theory, *Academic Press, New York, Sanfransisc*, (1978).
4. J.L.Kelley, General Topology, *Van Nostrand, New York*, 1969.
5. Y.S.Pawar, 0-1 distributive lattices, *Indian J. Pure and Appl. Math.*, 24 (1993) 173-179.
6. J.L.Pawar and N.I.Dhanshetti, Stone's Topology for 0-distributive lattices, *Journal of Indian Math. Soc.*, 90 (1993) 79-86.
7. Y.S.Pawar, 0-ideals of a 0-distributive lattice, *Annals of Pure and Applied Math*, 7(2) (2014) 6-17.
8. W.J.Perwin, Foundations of General topology, *Academic Press* (1904).
9. R.Sultana, Md. Ayub Ali and S.A.Noor, Some Properties of 0-distributive and 1-distributive lattice, *Annals of Pure and Applied Math*, 2(1) (2012) 168-175.
10. J.Varlet, A generalization of the notion of pseudo-complementedness, *Bull. Soc. Liege.*, 37 (1968) 149-158.