Annals of Pure and Applied Mathematics Vol. 10, No.2, 2015, 179-190 ISSN: 2279-087X (P), 2279-0888(online) Published on 30 September 2015 www.researchmathsci.org

Annals of **Pure and Applied Mathematics**

Common Fixed Point Theorems for Weakly Compatible Mapping Satisfying Generalized Contraction Principle in Complete G-Metric Spaces

N.Surender¹ and B.Krishna Reddy²

^{1,2}Department of Mathematics, University College of Science Osmania University, Hyderabad ¹Email: surender sri2002@yahoo.co.in; ²Email: bkrbkr 07@yahoo.com

Received 11 September 2015; accepted 28 September 2015

Abstract. In this paper, we study some common fixed point results for weakly compatible mapping satisfying Generalized Contraction Principle in G-metric space by using a control function.

Keywords: Common fixed point, weakly compatible, generalized weak contraction, Altering distance function, control function.

AMS Mathematics Subject Classification (2010): 54H25

1. Introduction

Some generalizations of the notion of a metric space have been proposed by some authors. Gahler [1,2] coined the term of 2-metric spaces. This is extended to D-metric space by Dhage (1992) [3, 4]. Dhage proved many fixed point theorems in D-metric space. In 2006, Mustafa in collaboration with Sims introduced a new notion of generalized metric space called G-metric space [5]. In fact, Mustafa et al. studied many fixed point results for a self mapping in G-metric spaces under certain conditions; see [5, 6, 7, 8, 9].

2.Definitions and preliminaries

Definition 2.1. (Altering Distance Function [see 10]) A mapping $f: [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ is called an Altering Distance Function if the following properties are satisfied.

- (a) f is continuous and non-decreasing.
- (b) f(t) = 0 if and only if t = 0.

Definition 2.2. (Control Function [see 10]) A Control Function ϕ is defined as $\varphi: \mathbb{R}^+ \to \mathbb{R}^+$ which is continuous at zero, monotonically increasing and $\varphi(t) = 0$ if and only if t = 0.

Definition 2.3. [5] Let X be a non empty set, and let $G: X \times X \times X \to [0, \infty)$ be a function satisfying the following axioms (G1) G(x, y, z) = 0 if x = y = z,

179

(G2) G(x, x, y) > 0 for all $x, y \in X$, with $x \neq y$. (G3) $G(x, x, y) \leq G(x, y, z)$ for all $x, y, z \in X$, with $y \neq z$. (G4) $G(x, y, z) = G(x, z, y) = G(y, z, x) = \dots$, (symmetry in all three variables) (G5) $G(x, y, z) \leq G(x, a, a) + G(a, y, z)$, for all $x, y, z, a \in X$ (rectangular inequality) Then the function *G* is called a generalized metric, or more specially a *G*-metric on *X*, and the pair (*X*, *G*) is called a *G*-metric space.

Example 1.1. Let (X, d) be a usual metric space. Then (X, G_{s}) and (X, G_{m}) are *G*-metric spaces, where

$$G_{s}(x, y, z) = d(x, y) + d(y, z) + d(x, z) \text{ for all } x, y, z \in X$$

and
$$G_{m}(x, y, z) = \max \{ d(x, y), d(y, z), d(z, x) \} \text{ for all } x, y, z \in X \}$$

Definition 2.4. [5] Let (X, G) and (X', G') be *G*-metric spaces and let $f: (X, G) \to (X', G')$ be a function, then *f* is said to be *G*-continuous at a point $a \in X$ if given $\varepsilon > 0$ there exist $\delta > 0$ such that $x, y \in X$, $G(a, x, y) < \delta$ implies that $G'(fa, fx, fy) < \varepsilon$. A function *f* is *G*-continuous on *X* if and only if it is *G*-continuous at all $a \in X$.

Definition 2.5. [5] Let (X, G) be a *G*-metric space, and let $\{x_n\}$ be a sequence of points of *X*, therefore; we say that $\{x_n\}$ is *G*-convergent to *x* if $\lim_{n,m\to\infty} G(x, x_n, x_m) = 0$; that is ,for any $\varepsilon > 0$, there exist $N \in N$ such that $G(x, x_n, x_m) < \varepsilon$ for all $n. m \ge N$. We call *x* is the limit of the sequence $\{x_n\}$ and we write $x_n \to x$ as $n \to \infty$ or $\lim_{n\to\infty} x_n = x$.

Proposition 2.6. [5] Let (X, G) and (X', G') be *G* metric spaces, then a function $f: X \to X$ is said to be *G*-continuous at a point $x \in X$ if and only if it is *G*-sequentially continuous, that is, whenever $\{x_n\}$ is *G*-convergent to $x, \{fx_n\}$ is *G*-convergent to f(x).

Proposition 2.7. [5] Let (X, G) be a *G*-metric space. Then the following statements are equivalent

- (a) $\{x_n\}$ is *G*-convergent to *x*.
- (b) $G(x_n, x_n, x) \to 0$ as $n \to \infty$.
- (c) $G(x_n, x, x) \to 0$ as $n \to \infty$.
- (d) $G(x_n, x_m, x) \to 0$ as $n \to \infty$.

Proposition 2.8. [5] Let (X, G) be a *G*-metric space. A sequence $\{x_n\}$ is called *G*-cauchy sequence if given $\varepsilon > 0$, there is $N \in N$ such that $G(x_n, x_m, x_l) < \varepsilon$ for all $n, m, l \ge N$; that is if $G(x_n, x_m, x_l) \to 0$ as $n, m, l \to \infty$.

Proposition 2.9. [5] In a *G*-metric space (X, G), the following two statements are equivalent.

- (1) The sequence $\{x_n\}$ is *G*-cauchy.
- (2) For every $\varepsilon > 0$, there exist $N \in N$ such that $G(x_n, x_m, x_m) < \varepsilon$ for all $n, m \ge N$.

Definition 2.10. [5] A *G*-metric space (X, G) is said to be *G*-complete (or a complete *G*-metric pace) if every *G*-cauchy sequence in (X, G) is *G*-convergent in (X, G).

Proposition 2.11. [5] Let (X, G) be a *G*-metric space. Then the function G(x, y, z) is jointly continuous in all three of its variables.

Definition 2.12. [5] A *G*-metric space (X, G) is called a symmetric *G*-metric space if G(x, y, y) = G(y, x, x) for all $x, y \in X$.

Proposition 2.13. [5] Every *G*-metric space (X, G) defines a metric space (X, d_G) by $d_G(x, y) = G(x, y, y) + G(y, x, x)$ for all $x, y \in X$.

Note that, if (X, G) is a symmetric space *G*-metric space, then $d_G(x, y) = 2 G(x, y, y)$ for all $x, y \in X$

However, if (X, G) is not asymmetric space, then it holds by the *G*-metric properties that $\frac{3}{2}G(x, y, y) \le d_G(x, y) \le 3G(x, y, y) \text{ for all } x, y \in X.$

In general, these inequalities cannot be improved.

Proposition 2.14. [5] A *G*-metric space (X, G) is *G*-complete if and only if (X, d_G) is a complete metric space.

Proposition 2.15. [5] Let (X, G) be a *G*-metric space. Then for any $x, y, z, a \in X$, it follows that

(1) If G(x, y, z) = 0 then x = y = z. (2) $G(x, y, z) \le G(x, x, y) + G(x, x, z)$. (3) $G(x, y, y) \le 2 G(y, x, x)$. (4) $G(x, y, z) \le G(x, a, z) + G(a, y, z)$. (5) $G(x, y, z) \le \frac{2}{3} \{ G(x, a, a) + G(y, a, a) + G(z, a, a) \}$.

Definition 2.16. Two self maps *T* and *f* of a G-Metric Space (*X*, *G*) are said to be weakly compatible if Tfx = fTx whenever fx = Tx for all $x \in X$.

Definition 2.17. Let *T* and *f* be two self maps of a non empty subset *M* of a metric space *X*. The mapping *T* is called *f*-contraction mapping, if there exist a real number $0 \le k < 1$ such that $G(Tx, Ty, Tz) \le k.G(fx, fy, fz)$ for all $x, y, z \in M$.

Definition 2.18. A mapping $T: X \to X$, where (X, G) is a *G*-metric space, is said to be a Weak Contraction if

 $G(Tx, Ty, Tz) \leq G(x, y, z) - \emptyset(G(x, y, z)),$ where $x, y, z \in X$ and $\emptyset: [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ is continuous and non-decreasing function such that $\emptyset(t) = 0$ if and only if t = 0.

Theorem 2.19. [11] Let (X, G) be a complete *G*-metric space and $T: X \to X$ be a mapping satisfying

 $G(Tx,Ty,Tz) \le G(x,y,z) - \emptyset(G(x,y,z)),$

for all x, y, z $\in X$. If $\emptyset: [0, \infty) \to [0, \infty)$ is a continuous and non decreasing function with $\phi(t) = 0$ if and only if t = 0, then T has a unique fixed point in X.

Definition 2.20. A self mapping T of a metric space (X, G) is said to be Weakly **Contractive with respect to a self mapping** $f: X \to X$ if for all $x, y, z \in X$ $G(Tx, Ty, Tz) \le G(fx, fy, fz) - \emptyset(G(fx, fy, fz)).$

where $\emptyset: [0, \infty) \to [0, \infty)$ is a continuous and non-decreasing function such that \emptyset is positive on(0, ∞), $\emptyset(0) = 0$, $\lim_{t\to\infty} \emptyset(t) = \infty$.

Note 2.1. If = I, the identity mapping, then the above definition is as follows. A self mapping T of a metric space (X, G) is said to be Weakly Contractive with respect to a self mapping $f: X \to X$ if for all $x, y, z \in X$

 $G(Tx, Ty, Tz) \le G(x, y, z) - \emptyset(G(x, y, z)).$

This is a Weakly Contractive Mapping.

Note 2.2. Combining the generalization of Contraction Principle and Weakly Contractive Mapping with respect to a self map in G-Metric Space we can obtain the following result.

Theorem 2.21. Let (X, G) be a complete G-Metric Space and a self map $T: X \to X$ be weakly contractive mapping with respect to a self mapping $f: X \to X$ if for all $x, y, z \in X$ and $T: X \to X$ is satisfying

 $\varphi(G(Tx,Ty,Tz)) \le \varphi(G(fx,fy,fz)) - \varphi(G(fx,fy,fz))$ where $\emptyset: [0,\infty) \to [0,\infty), \varphi: [0,\infty) \to [0,\infty)$ are continuous and monotone nondecreasing functions with $\varphi(t) = 0 = \varphi(t)$ if and only if t = 0, then T has a unique fixed point.

Theorem 2.22. [see 12] Let T and f be self maps of a G -metric space (X, G) satisfying $\varphi(d(Tx,Ty)) \le \varphi(M(x,y)) - \emptyset(M(x,y)) \text{ for all } x,y, \in X$ where $M(x,y) = \max \{d(fx,fy), d(fx,Tx), d(fy,Ty), \frac{1}{2}[d(fy,Tx) + d(fx,Ty)]\}$ (1)

and $\phi, \phi: [0, \infty) \to [0, \infty)$ are both continuous monotone non-decreasing functions with

 $\varphi(t) = 0 = \varphi(t)$ if and only if t = 0. If TX is complete metric space and $TX \subset fX$, then T and f have coincidence point in X. Further, if T and f are weakly compatible, then they have a unique common fixed point in X.

Motivated by the above result, we address the same question on G-metric space for weakly compatible mappings satisfying a Generalized Contraction Principle condition given by (1), we establish a fixed point results in the third part of the paper. Our results are the following.

3. Main results

Theorem 3.1: let T and f be self maps of a complete G-metric space (X, G) satisfying $\varphi(G(Tx,Ty,Tz)) \le \varphi(M(x,y,z)) - \varphi(M(x,y,z))$ for all $x, y, z \in X$ (2)where

$$\begin{split} M(x,y,z) &= \max \left\{ G(fx,fy,fz), G(fx,Tx,Tx), G(fy,Ty,Ty), G(fz,Tz,Tz), \right. \\ & \left. \frac{1}{3} \big(G(fy,Tx,Tx) + G(fx,Ty,Ty) \big), \frac{1}{3} \big(G(fz,Ty,Ty) + G(fy,Tz,Tz) \big), \end{split}$$

$$(G(fx,Tz,Tz) + G(fz,Tx,Tx)))$$
(3)

and $\emptyset, \varphi:[0,\infty) \to [0,\infty)$ are both continuous monotone non-decreasing functions with $\varphi(t) = 0 = \emptyset(t)$ if and only if t = 0. If *TX* is complete metric space and *TX* \subset *fX*, then *T* and *f* have coincidence point in *X*. Further, if *T* and *f* are weakly compatible, then they have a unique common fixed point in *X*.

Proof: let x_0 be an arbitrary point. Construct the sequence $\{x_n\}$ such that

By monotone property of the function φ , we have

 $G(Tx_n, Tx_{n+1}, Tx_{n+1}) \leq G(Tx_{n-1}, Tx_n, Tx_n) \text{ for } n = 1,2,3 \dots \dots$ Therefore the sequence { $G(Tx_n, Tx_{n+1}, Tx_{n+1})$ } is monotonic decreasing and continuous. Therefore there exist a real number $r \geq 0$ such that $\lim_{n\to\infty} G(Tx_n, Tx_{n+1}, Tx_{n+1}) = r$

Taking $n \to \infty$ in equation (7), we get

$$\varphi(r) \le \varphi(r) - \emptyset(r)$$

This is possible only when r = 0. Therefore $\lim_{n\to\infty} G(Tx_n, Tx_{n+1}, Tx_{n+1}) = 0$ (9) Next, we claim that $\{Tx_n\}$ is a Cauchy sequence. Assume that $\{Tx_n\}$ is not a Cauchy sequence, then there exist $\varepsilon > 0$ and subsequences $\{n(i)\}, \{m(i)\}$ such that m(i) < n(i) < m(i+1) along with

(8)

 $G(Tx_{m(i)}, Tx_{n(i)}, Tx_{n(i)}) \ge \varepsilon \text{ and } G(Tx_{m(i)}, Tx_{n(i)-1}, Tx_{n(i)-1}) < \varepsilon$ (10) Then it follows that

$$\begin{split} \varepsilon \leq G\big(Tx_{m(i)}, Tx_{n(i)}, Tx_{n(i)}\big) \\ &\leq G\big(Tx_{m(i)}, Tx_{n(i)-1}, Tx_{n(i)-1}\big) + G(Tx_{n(i)-1}, Tx_{n(i)}, Tx_{n(i)}) \\ \varepsilon \leq G\big(Tx_{m(i)}, Tx_{n(i)}, Tx_{n(i)}\big) \leq \varepsilon + G\big(Tx_{n(i)-1}, Tx_{n(i)}, Tx_{n(i)}\big) \\ \text{Let } i \to \infty \text{ and using } (9) \text{ in } (11) \\ &\varepsilon \leq \lim_{i \to \infty} G\big(Tx_{m(i)}, Tx_{n(i)}, Tx_{n(i)}\big) \leq \varepsilon + \lim_{i \to \infty} G\big(Tx_{n(i)-1}, Tx_{n(i)}, Tx_{n(i)}\big) \\ &\varepsilon \leq \lim_{i \to \infty} G\big(Tx_{m(i)}, Tx_{n(i)}, Tx_{n(i)}, Tx_{n(i)}\big) \leq \varepsilon + 0 \\ &\varepsilon \leq \lim_{i \to \infty} G\big(Tx_{m(i)}, Tx_{n(i)}, Tx_{n(i)}, Tx_{n(i)}\big) \leq \varepsilon \\ &\text{Therefore } \lim_{i \to \infty} G\big(Tx_{m(i)}, Tx_{n(i)}, Tx_{n(i)}, Tx_{n(i)}\big) = \varepsilon \end{aligned}$$
(12)

Now

$$G(Tx_{m(i)}, Tx_{n(i)}, Tx_{n(i)}) \leq G(Tx_{m(i)}, Tx_{m(i)-1}, Tx_{m(i)-1}) + G(Tx_{m(i)-1}, Tx_{n(i)-1}, Tx_{n(i)-1}) + G(Tx_{n(i)-1}, Tx_{n(i)}, Tx_{n(i)}).$$

 $G(Tx_{m(i)}, Tx_{n(i)}, Tx_{n(i)}) \le 2$ $G(Tx_{m(i)-1}, Tx_{m(i)}, Tx_{m(i)}) + G(Tx_{m(i)-1}, Tx_{n(i)-1}, Tx_{n(i)-1})$ $+G(Tx_{n(i)-1}, Tx_{n(i)}, Tx_{n(i)}). \quad (13)$

(Since
$$G(x, y, y) \le 2G(y, x, x)$$
).

Letting $i \to \infty$ in (13)

$$\varepsilon \le 2(0) + \lim_{i \to \infty} G(Tx_{m(i)-1}, Tx_{n(i)-1}, Tx_{n(i)-1}) + 0$$

$$\varepsilon \le \lim_{i \to \infty} G(Tx_{m(i)-1}, Tx_{n(i)-1}, Tx_{n(i)-1})$$
(14)

Again

$$G(Tx_{m(i)-1}, Tx_{n(i)-1}, Tx_{n(i)-1}) \leq G(Tx_{m(i)-1}, Tx_{m(i)}, Tx_{m(i)}) + G(Tx_{m(i)}, Tx_{n(i)}) + G(Tx_{n(i)}, Tx_{n(i)-1}, Tx_{n(i)-1}, Tx_{n(i)-1}) \\ G(Tx_{m(i)-1}, Tx_{n(i)-1}, Tx_{n(i)-1}) \leq G(Tx_{m(i)-1}, Tx_{m(i)}, Tx_{m(i)}) + G(Tx_{m(i)}, Tx_{n(i)}) + 2 G(Tx_{n(i)-1}, Tx_{n(i)}, Tx_{n(i)})$$
(15)

$$(\text{Since } G(x, y, y) \leq 2G(y, x, x))$$
Letting $i \to \infty$ in (15)

$$\lim_{i\to\infty} G(Tx_{m(i)-1}, Tx_{n(i)-1}, Tx_{n(i)-1}) \leq 0 + \varepsilon + 2(0)$$

$$\lim_{i\to\infty} G(Tx_{m(i)-1}, Tx_{n(i)-1}, Tx_{n(i)-1}) \leq \varepsilon$$
(16)
From (14) & (16)
 $\varepsilon \leq \lim_{i\to\infty} G(Tx_{m(i)-1}, Tx_{n(i)-1}, Tx_{n(i)-1}) = \varepsilon$
(17)
Now using inequalities (2) and (10)
 $\varphi(\varepsilon) \leq \varphi\left(G(Tx_{m(i)}, Tx_{n(i)}, Tx_{n(i)})\right) \leq \varphi(M(x_{m(i)}, x_{n(i)}, Tx_{n(i)})) = (17)$
where
 $M(x_{m(i)}, x_{n(i)}, x_{n(i)}) = \max\left\{G(fx_{m(i)}, fx_{n(i)}, fx_{n(i)}), G(fx_{m(i)}, Tx_{m(i)}, Tx_{m(i)})\right\}$
(18)
where
 $M(x_{m(i)}, x_{n(i)}, x_{n(i)}) = \max\left\{G(fx_{m(i)}, fx_{n(i)}, fx_{n(i)}), G(fx_{m(i)}, Tx_{m(i)}, Tx_{m(i)})\right\}$
(18)
 $\frac{1}{3}\{G(fx_{n(i)}, Tx_{n(i)}, Tx_{n(i)}), G(fx_{m(i)}, Tx_{m(i)}), f(x_{m(i)}, Tx_{m(i)}), f(x_{m(i)-1}, Tx_{m(i)}, Tx_{m(i)}), f(x_{m(i)-1}, Tx_{m(i)}, Tx_{m(i)}), f(x_{m(i)-1}, Tx_{m(i)}, Tx_{m(i)}), f(x_{m(i)-1}, Tx_{m(i)}), f(x_{m(i)-1}), f(x_{m(i)-1}, Tx_{m(i)}), f(x_{m(i)-1}, f(x_{m(i)-1}), f(x_{m(i)-1}), f(x_{m(i)})), f(x_{m(i)-1}, f(x_{m(i)-1}, f(x_{m(i)})), f(x_{m(i)-1}, f(x_{m(i)})), f(x_{m(i)-1}, f(x_{m(i)})), f(x_{m(i)-1}, f(x_{m(i)})), f(x_{m(i)}), f(x_{m(i)})), f(x_{m(i)-1}, f(x_{m(i)}), f(x_{m(i)})), f(x_{m(i)-1}, f(x_{m(i)})), f(x_{m(i)-1}, f(x_{m(i)$

$$M(x_{m(i)}, x_{n(i)}, x_{n(i)}) = \max\{G(Tx_{m(i)-1}, Tx_{n(i)-1}, Tx_{n(i)-1}), G(Tx_{m(i)-1}, Tx_{m(i)}, Tx_{m(i)}), G(Tx_{n(i)-1}, Tx_{n(i)}, Tx_{n(i)}), d(Tx_{n(i)-1}, Tx_{n(i)}, Tx_{n(i)})), d(Tx_{n(i)-1}, Tx_{n(i)}, Tx_{n(i)})))$$

Therefore

$$\frac{1}{3}\left\{G\left(Tx_{n(i)-1},Tx_{m(i)},Tx_{m(i)}\right)+G\left(Tx_{m(i)-1},Tx_{n(i)},Tx_{n(i)}\right)\right\}.$$

Taking $i \to \infty$ on both sides in above equation, we obtain

$$\lim_{i \to \infty} M(x_{m(i)}, x_{n(i)}, x_{n(i)}) = \max \{\varepsilon, 0, 0, \le \varepsilon\}$$
$$\lim_{i \to \infty} M(x_{m(i)}, x_{n(i)}, x_{n(i)}) = \varepsilon$$

(19)

Letting $i \to \infty$ in (18) and using (19) in that, then we obtain $\varphi(\varepsilon) \le \varphi(\varepsilon) - \emptyset(\varepsilon)$

which is a contradiction, has $\varepsilon > 0$. Thus $\{Tx_n\}$ is a Cauchy Sequence in TX which in turn implies that $\{fx_n\}$ is also Cauchy Sequence in X. Since TX is complete, $\{Tx_n\}$ converges to some $v \in TX$.

Since $TX \subset fX$ and v = fu for some $u \in X$. thus $\{fx_n\}$ converges to fu. Now

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \varphi(G(Tx_n, Tu, Tu)) \le \lim_{n \to \infty} [\varphi(M(x_n, u, u) - \emptyset(M(x_n, u, u))]$$

where $\lim_{n \to \infty} (M(x_n, u, u) = \lim_{n \to \infty} \max\{G(fx_n, fu, fu), G(fu, Tu, Tu)\} + G(fu, Tu, Tu)$

 $G(fx_n, Tx_n, Tx_n), G(fu, Tu, Tu) G(fu, Tu, Tu), \frac{1}{3} \{G(fu, Tx_n, Tx_n) + G(fx_n, Tu, Tu)\},\$ $\frac{1}{3}\{G(fu,Tu,Tu) + G(fu,Tu,Tu)\}, \frac{1}{3}\{G(fx_n,Tu,Tu) + G(fu,Tx_n,Tx_n)\}\}.$

$$\begin{split} M(x_n, u, u) &= \max \ \{0, 0, G(v, Tu, Tu), G(v, Tu, Tu), \frac{1}{3} \{G(v, v, v) + G(v, Tu, Tu)\}, \\ \frac{1}{3} \{G(v, Tu, Tu) + G(v, Tu, Tu)\}, \frac{1}{3} \{G(v, Tu, Tu) + G(v, v, v)\}\}. \\ M(x_n, u, u) &= \max \ \{0, 0, G(v, Tu, Tu), G(v, Tu, Tu), \frac{1}{3} \{G(v, Tu, Tu)\}, \\ \frac{2}{3} \{G(v, Tu, Tu)\}, \frac{1}{3} \{G(v, Tu, Tu)\}. \end{split}$$

Therefore $M(x_n, u, u) = G(v, Tu, Tu)$. By monotone increasing property of $\varphi \& \emptyset$, we have

 $\varphi(G(v,Tu,Tu)) \le \varphi(G(v,Tu,Tu)) - \varphi(G(v,Tu,Tu))$ which is possible only when G(v, Tu, Tu) = 0.

Thus v = Tu = fu and u is the coincidence point of T and f. Since T and f are weekly compatible, they commute at their coincidence point. Hence Tfu = fTu which implies Tv = fv. (20)

Now

$$\varphi(G(Tu, Tv, Tv) \le \varphi(M(u, v, v)) - \emptyset(M(u, v, v)),$$

where

$$\begin{split} M(u,v,v) &= \max \{ G(fu,fv,fv), G(fu,Tu,Tu), G(fv,Tv,Tv), G(fv,Tv,Tv), \\ &\frac{1}{3} \{ G(fv,Tu,Tu) + G(fu,Tv,Tv) \}, \\ &\frac{1}{3} \{ G(fv,Tv,Tv) + G(fv,Tv,Tv) \}, \\ &\frac{1}{3} \{ G(fu,Tv,Tv) + G(fv,Tu,Tu) \} \}. \end{split}$$

(21)

 $M(u, v, v) = \max \{G(v, Tv, Tv), G(v, v, v), G(Tv, Tv, Tv), G(Tv, Tv), G(Tv, Tv, Tv), G(Tv, Tv), G(Tv, Tv), G(Tv, Tv, Tv), G(Tv, Tv), G(Tv$ $\frac{1}{3}\{G(Tv, v, v) + G(v, Tv, Tv)\}, \quad \frac{1}{3}\{G(Tv, Tv, Tv) + G(Tv, Tv, Tv)\}, \\ \quad \frac{1}{3}\{G(v, Tv, Tv) + G(Tv, v, v)\}\}.$ $M(u, v, v) = \max \{G(v, Tv, Tv), 0, 0, 0, 0, \frac{1}{3} \{G(Tv, v, v) + G(v, Tv, Tv)\}, 0,$ $\frac{1}{3}\{G(v,Tv,Tv)+G(Tv,v,v)\}\}.$

$$\begin{split} & M(u, v, v) = \max \{ G(v, Tv, Tv), \frac{1}{3} \{ G(Tv, v, v) + G(v, Tv, Tv) \} \} \\ & M(u, v, v) = G(v, Tv, Tv). \end{split}$$
(22)
Since $\frac{1}{3} \{ G(v, Tv, Tv) + G(Tv, v, v) \} \leq \frac{1}{3} \{ 2G(v, Tv, Tv) + G(v, Tv, Tv) \} \\ & \frac{1}{3} \{ G(v, Tv, Tv) + G(Tv, v, v) \} \leq G(v, Tv, Tv) \} \\ & M(u, v, v) = \varphi(G(u, Tv, Tv) \leq \varphi(G(v, Tv, Tv)) - \varphi(G(v, Tv, Tv))) \\ & \varphi(G(v, Tv, Tv) = \varphi(G(v, Tv, Tv)) \leq \varphi(G(v, Tv, Tv)) - \varphi(G(v, Tv, Tv))) \\ & \varphi(G(v, Tv, Tv) \leq \varphi(G(v, Tv, Tv)) - \varphi(G(v, Tv, Tv))) \\ & \varphi(G(v, Tv, Tv) \leq \varphi(G(v, Tv, Tv)) - \varphi(G(v, Tv, Tv))) \\ & Where M(v, v) = Tv = fv. (from (20)) \\ & Hence v is the common fixed points of T and f. \\ & Uniqueness: \\ & Let v and w be two fixed points of T and f. \\ & That is v = Tv = fv and w = Tw = fw. \\ & By using inequality (4), we have \\ & \varphi(G(Tv, Tw, Tw) \leq \varphi(M(v, w, w)) - \varphi(M(v, w, w))) \\ & Where \\ & M(v, w, w) = \max \{ G(fv, fw, fw), G(fv, Tv, Tv), G(fw, Tw, Tw), G(fw, Tw, Tw), \\ & \frac{1}{3} \{ G(fv, Tv, Tv) + G(fv, Tw, Tw) \}, \\ & \frac{1}{3} \{ G(fv, Tw, Tw) + G(fw, Tv, Tv) \} \}. \\ & M(v, w, w) = \max \{ G(v, w, w), G(v, v, v), G(w, w, w), G(w, w, w), \frac{1}{3} \{ G(w, v, v) + \\ + G(v, w, w) \}, \\ & \frac{1}{3} \{ G(w, v, w), G(v, v, v), G(v, v, w) + G(v, w, w) \} \}. \\ & M(v, w, w) = \max \{ G(v, w, w), G(v, w, w), \frac{1}{3} \{ G(w, v, v) + G(v, w, w) \} \}. \\ & M(v, w, w) = \max \{ G(v, w, w), \frac{1}{3} \{ G(w, v, v) + G(v, w, w) \}, \\ & \frac{1}{3} \{ G(w, v, v) + G(v, w, w) \}, \\ & \frac{1}{3} \{ G(w, v, v) + G(v, w, w) \} \} = \frac{1}{3} \{ G(w, v, v) + G(v, w, w) \} \}. \\ & M(v, w, w) = \max \{ G(v, w, w), \frac{1}{3} \{ 2G(v, w, w) + G(v, w, w) \} \}. \\ & Hence by using (24) in (23), we get \\ & \varphi(w, w, w) = \varphi(G(Tv, Tw, Tw) \leq \varphi(G(v, w, w)) - \varphi(G(v, w, w)) \} \\ & \frac{1}{3} \{ G(w, v, v) + G(v, w, w) \} \leq \frac{1}{3} \{ 2G(v, w, w) \} = 0 \\ \\ & This is possible only when G(v, w, w) = 0. \\ \\ & Therefore \quad v = w \\ This proves the uniqueness of the common fixed point of T and f. \\ \end{cases}$

Example 3.1. Let X = [0,1] and d(x, y) = |x - y|. Define G(x, y, z) = |x - y| + |y - z| + |z - x|, then (X, G) is a complete *G*-metric space. Consider two self mappings *T* and *f* of *X* by $Tx = \frac{x}{2}$ and fx = x for all $x \in X$.

Let $\varphi: [0, \infty) \to [0, \infty)$ be defined by

$$\varphi(t) = \begin{cases} t + \frac{t^2}{2} & \text{if } 0 \le t \le 1\\ 0 & \text{if } t > 1 \end{cases}$$
(25)

and $\emptyset: [0, \infty) \to [0, \infty)$ defined by

$$\emptyset(t) = \begin{cases} \frac{3t^2}{8} & \text{if } 0 \le t \le 1\\ 0 & \text{if } t > 1 \end{cases}$$
(26)

Now to verify inequality (2), LHS of (2)

$$\varphi(G(Tx, Ty, Tz)) = \varphi(|Tx - Ty| + |Ty - Tz| + |Tz - Tx|)$$

$$\varphi(G(Tx, Ty, Tz)) = \varphi\left(\left|\frac{x}{2} - \frac{y}{2}\right| + \left|\frac{y}{2} - \frac{z}{2}\right| + \left|\frac{z}{2} - \frac{x}{2}\right|\right),$$

$$\varphi(G(Tx, Ty, Tz)) = \varphi\left(\frac{|x-y|}{2} + \frac{|y-z|}{2} + \frac{|z-x|}{2}\right),$$

$$\varphi(G(Tx, Ty, Tz)) = \varphi\left(\frac{|x-y|+|y-z|+|z-x|}{2}\right),$$

$$\varphi(G(Tx, Ty, Tz)) = \varphi\left(\frac{G(x, y, z)}{2}\right),$$

$$\varphi(G(Tx, Ty, Tz)) = \frac{G(x, y, z)}{2} + \frac{G(G(x, y, z))^{2}}{8}.$$

(27)

Now to verify inequality (2), RHS of (2) is $\varphi(M(x, y, z)) - \varphi(M(x, y, z))$, (28) where

 $M(x, y, z) = \max \{ G(fx, fy, fz), G(fx, Tx, Tx), G(fy, Ty, Ty), G(fz, Tz, Tz), \}$

$$\frac{1}{3} \Big(G(fy, Tx, Tx) + G(fx, Ty, Ty) \Big), \frac{1}{3} \Big(G(fz, Ty, Ty) + G(fy, Tz, Tz) \Big), \quad \frac{1}{3} \Big(G(fx, Tz, Tz) + G(fz, Tx, Tx) \Big) \Big\}$$

$$M(x, y, z) = \max \{ G(x, y, z), G\left(x, \frac{x}{2}, \frac{x}{2}\right), G\left(y, \frac{y}{2}, \frac{y}{2}\right), G\left(z, \frac{z}{2}, \frac{z}{2}\right), \quad \frac{1}{3} \Big(G\left(y, \frac{x}{2}, \frac{x}{2}\right) + G\left(x, \frac{y}{2}, \frac{y}{2}\right) \Big)$$

$$\frac{1}{3} \Big(G\left(z, \frac{y}{2}, \frac{y}{2}\right) + G\left(y, \frac{z}{2}, \frac{z}{2}\right) \Big), \quad \frac{1}{3} \Big(G\left(x, \frac{z}{2}, \frac{z}{2}\right) + G\left(z, \frac{x}{2}, \frac{x}{2}\right) \Big) \Big\}.$$

$$M(x, y, z) = \max \{ |x - y| + |y - z| + |z - x|, |x|, |y|, |z|, \frac{2}{3} \Big(|y - \frac{x}{2}| + |x - \frac{y}{2}| \Big), \quad \frac{2}{3} \Big(|z - \frac{y}{2}| + |y - \frac{z}{2}| \Big), \quad \frac{2}{3} \Big(|x - \frac{z}{2}| + |z - \frac{x}{2}| \Big) \Big\}.$$

$$M(x, y, z) = |x - y| + |y - z| + |z - x| \text{ for all } x, y, z \in X.$$

$$M(x, y, z) = G(x, y, z) \text{ for all } x, y, z \in X.$$

$$(29)$$
Substitute (29) in (28), we obtain RHS of (2) is
$$G(x, y, z) - \phi (G(x, y, z)),$$
From (24) and (25), we obtain RHS of (2) is
$$G(x, y, z) + \frac{(G(x, y, z))^2}{2} - \frac{3(G(x, y, z))^2}{8},$$

$$(30)$$
From (26) and (29), we obtain

m(26) and (29),

$$\frac{G(x,y,z)}{2} + \frac{(G(x,y,z))^2}{8} \le G(x,y,z) + \frac{(G(x,y,z))^2}{8},$$

This implies LHS \leq RHS and inequality (2) is verified. Now, it is easy to see that $TX = \left[0, \frac{1}{2}\right] \subset fX = [0,1]$. Moreover, *T* and *f* are weakly compatible in *X*. Hence all the conditions of theorem 3.1 are satisfied. It may be noted that **0** is unique common fixed point of *T* and *f*.

Theorem 3.2. let *T* and *f* be self maps of a *G*-metric space (*X*, *G*) satisfying $\varphi(G(Tx, Ty, Tz)) \le k \varphi(M(x, y, z)) \text{ for all } x, y, z \in X$ (31)

where

$$M(x, y, z) = \max \{ G(fx, fy, fz), G(fx, Tx, Tx), G(fy, Ty, Ty), G(fz, Tz, Tz), \\ \frac{1}{3} (G(fy, Tx, Tx) + G(fx, Ty, Ty)), \frac{1}{3} (G(fz, Ty, Ty) + G(fy, Tz, Tz)), \frac{1}{3} (G(fx, Tz, Tz) + G(fz, Tx, Tx)) \}$$
(32)

and $\varphi:[0,\infty) \to [0,\infty)$ is continuous monotone non-decreasing function with $\varphi(t) = 0$ if and only if t = 0. If TX is complete metric space and $TX \subset fX$, then T and f have coincidence point in X. Further, if T and f are weakly compatible, then they have a unique common fixed point in X.

Proof: By taking $\phi(t) = (1 - k) \phi(t)$ in theorem 3.1 then condition (2) reduced to the condition (32), and the proof follows the theorem (3.1).

Theorem 3.3. let T and f be self maps of a G-metric space (X, G) satisfying

 $G(Tx, Ty, Tz) \leq G(fx, fy, fz) - \emptyset(G(fx, fy, fz))$ for all $x, y, z \in X$ (33) and $\emptyset:[0, \infty) \to [0, \infty)$ is continuous monotone non-decreasing function with $\emptyset(t) = 0$ if and only if t = 0. If TX is complete metric space and $TX \subset fX$, then T and f have coincidence point in X. Further, if T and f are weakly compatible, then they have a unique common fixed point in X.

Proof: By taking $\varphi(t) = t$ and M(x, y, z) = G(fx, fy, fz) in theorem 3.1, then condition (2) reduced to the condition (33), and the proof follows the theorem (3.1).

Theorem 3.4. Let (X, G) be a complete G- metric space and $T: X \to X$ be a mapping satisfying

$$G(Tx, Ty, Tz) \le G(x, y, z) - \emptyset(G(x, y, z)), \tag{34}$$

for all $x, y, z \in X$. If $\emptyset : [0, \infty) \to [0, \infty)$ is a continuous and non decreasing function with $\emptyset(t) = 0$ if and only if t = 0, then *T* has a unique fixed point in *X*.

Proof: By taking $\varphi(t) = t$, M(x, y, z) = G(fx, fy, fz) and f = I,(the identity function) in theorem 3.1, then condition (2) reduced to the condition (34), and the proof follows the theorem (3.1).

REFERENCES

- 1. S.Gahler, 2-metriche raume und ihre topologische strukture, *Math. Nachr.*, 26 (1963) 115-148.
- 2. S. Gahler, Zur geometric 2-metriche raume, *Revue Roumaine de Math. Pures et Appl.* 11(1996) 664-669.

- 3. B.C.Dhage Generalized metric space and mapping with fixed point, *Bull. Cal. Math.* Soc., 84(1992) 329-336.
- 4. B.C.Dhage, Generalized metric space and topological structure I, An. Stint. Univ. Al.I. *Cuza Iasi. Math* (N.S), 46 (2000) 3-24.
- 5. Z.Mustafa and B.Sims, A new approach to generalized metric spaces, *Journal of Nonlinear and Convex Analysis*, 7(2) (2006) 289–297.
- 6. Z.Mustafa, H.Obiedat and F.Awawdeh, Some *fixed point theorem for mapping on complete G-metric spaces*, Fixed Point Theory Appl. Volume 2008, Article ID 189870, 12 pages, 2008.
- 7. Z.Mustafa and B.Sims, some remarks concerning D-metric spaces, in proceedings of the *International Conference on Fixed Point Theory and Applications*, pp.189-198, Yokahama, Japan, 2004.
- 8. Z.Mustafa and B.Sims, Fixed point theorems for contractive mappings in complete g-metric spaces, *Fixed Point Theory Appl.*, Vol. 2009, Article ID 917175, 2009.
- Z.Mustafa, W.Shatanawi and M.Bataineh, Existence of fixed point results in Gmetric spaces, *International J. Math. Math. Sciences*, vol. 2009, Article ID 283028, 2009.
- 10. M.S.Khan, M.Swaleh and S.Sessa, Fixed point theorem for altering distances between the points, *Bull. Aust. Math. Soc.*, 30(1) (1984) 1-9.
- 11. C.T.Aage and J.N.Salunke, Fixed points for weak contractions in *G*-metric spaces, *Applied Mathematics E-Notes*, 12 (2012) 23-28.
- 12. R.Sumitra, V.Rhymend Uthariaraj and R.Hemavathi, Common fixed point and invariant approximation theorems for mappings satisfying generalized contraction principle, *Journal of Mathematics Research*, 2(2) (2010) 1.