

The Existence of Positive Solutions for Third-Order Three-Point Nonhomogeneous Boundary Value Problems

Jiali Da

Department of Mathematics
Zhixing College of Northwest Normal University
GanSu Lanzhou, 730070, China.
Email: 335855313@qq.com.

Received 2 March 2021; accepted 13 April 2021

Abstract. In this paper, we investigate the existence of positive solutions for third-order three-point nonhomogeneous boundary value problems. By using Leray-Schauder fix point theorem, some sufficient conditions for the existence of positive solutions are obtained, which improve the previous results.

Keywords: Nonhomogeneous boundary value problems, Positive solution, Leray-Schauder equation

AMS Mathematics Subject Classification (2010): 30E25, 34B15

1. Introduction

In latest years, third-order differential equations appear in various fields of applied mathematics and physics, and third-order three-point boundary value problems have always been the focus of attention. One may see Anderson [1,2], Anderson and Davis [3], Bai [4], Boucherif and Al-Malki [5]. Since then, Tariboon and Sitthiwirattam [6] studied the three-point integral boundary value problem. Recently, all sorts of three-point boundary value problems for nonlinear differential equations have been studied by many authors. We refer the readers to [7,8,9,10,11].

In 2009, Sun [7] studied the existence of positive solutions of the following third-order three-point inhomogeneous boundary value problem

$$\begin{cases} u'''(t) + a(t)f(u(t)) = 0, & t \in (0,1) \end{cases} \quad (1.1)$$

$$\begin{cases} u(0) = u'(0) = 0, & u'(1) - \alpha u'(\eta) = \lambda \end{cases} \quad (1.2)$$

where $\eta \in (0,1)$, $\alpha \in [0, \frac{1}{\eta})$ are constants and $\lambda \in (0, \infty)$ is a parameter.

Assume that:

$$(A_1) \quad f \in C([0, \infty), [0, \infty));$$

$$(A_2) \quad a \in C([0, 1], [0, \infty)), \quad \text{and} \quad 0 < \int_0^1 (1-s)sa(s)ds < \infty.$$

Let

$$f_0 = \lim_{u^+ \rightarrow \infty} \frac{f(u)}{u}, \quad f_\infty = \lim_{u \rightarrow \infty} \frac{f(u)}{u}$$

In the article [12] studied the existence of positive solutions of boundary value problems (1.1)-(1.2), by using Krasnoselskii fixed point theorem, the obtained the following results:

Theorem 1.1. If f satisfies the superlinear condition: then the boundary value problem (1.1)-(1.2) has at least one positive solution when it is sufficiently small and there is no positive solution when it is sufficiently large.

Theorem 1.2. If f satisfies the sublinear condition: then the boundary value problem (1.1)-(1.2) must have at least one positive solution.

In this article, we discuss the existence of positive solutions for boundary value problems (1.1)-(1.2). Using the Leary-Schauder fixed point theorem, our results are better than the conditions of Theorem 1.1 and Theorem 1.2.

The rest of this paper is organized as follows. In section 2, we present some preliminaries that will be used in Section 3. The main results and proofs will be given in Section 3. Finally, the conclusion and future work are given in Section 4.

2. Preliminaries

Consider the boundary value problem

$$\begin{cases} u'''(t) + p(t) = 0, & t \in (0, 1) \\ u(0) = u'(0) = 0, & u'(1) - \alpha u'(\eta) = \lambda \end{cases} \quad (2.1)$$

Lemma 2.1. [12] Let $x \in C^+[0, 1] := \{x \in C[0, 1], x(t) \geq 0, t \in [0, 1]\}$. Then the problem (2.1)-(2.2) has a unique solution

$$u(t) = \int_0^1 G(t, s)p(s)ds + \frac{\alpha^2}{2(1-\alpha\eta)} \int_0^1 G_1(\eta, s)p(s)ds + \frac{\lambda t^2}{2(1-\alpha\eta)}$$

The Existence of Positive Solutions for Third-Order Three-Point Nonhomogeneous
Boundary Value Problems

where
$$G(t, s) = \frac{1}{2} \begin{cases} (2t - t^2 - s)s, & s \leq t \\ (1-s)t^2, & t \leq s \end{cases}$$

and
$$G_1(t, s) = \frac{\partial G(t, s)}{\partial t} = \begin{cases} (1-t)s, & s \leq t \\ (1-s)t, & t \leq s \end{cases}$$

Lemma 2.2. [12] Let $(t, s) \in [0,1] \times [0,1]$, then $0 \leq G_1(t, s) \leq (1-s)s$.

Lemma 2.3. [12] Let $(t, s) \in [0,1] \times [0,1]$,

then
$$\gamma G(1, s) \leq G(t, s) \leq G(1, s) = \frac{1}{2}(1-s)s,$$

where $\gamma = \tau^2$, and satisfies $\int_{\tau}^1 (1-s)sa(s)ds > 0$.

Lemma 2.4. [12] If $x \in C^+[0,1]$ then the only solution of problems (2.1)-(2.2) is non-negative and satisfies

$$\min_{t \in [\tau, 1]} u(t) \geq \gamma \|u\|$$

For any $y(t) \in C[0,1]$, Consider the problem

$$\begin{cases} u'''(t) + a(t)f(u(t)) = 0, & t \in (0,1) \\ u(0) = u'(0) = 0, & u'(1) - \alpha u'(\eta) = \lambda \end{cases}$$

From Lemma 2.1, (1.1)-(1.2) has a unique solution

$$u(t) = \int_0^1 G(t, s)a(s)f(y(s))ds + \frac{\alpha t^2}{2(1-\alpha\eta)} \int_0^1 G_1(\eta, s)a(s)f(y(s))ds + \frac{\lambda t^2}{2(1-\alpha\eta)}.$$

Definition operator

$$Ty(t) = \int_0^1 G(t, s)a(s)f(y(s))ds + \frac{\alpha t^2}{2(1-\alpha\eta)} \int_0^1 G_1(\eta, s)a(s)f(y(s))ds + \frac{\lambda t^2}{2(1-\alpha\eta)}.$$

Obviously, $y(t)$ is the solution of the boundary value problem (1.1)-(1.2) if and only if $y(t)$ is the fixed point of the operator T .

Lemma 2.5. [12] (Leray-Schauder) Let Ω be the convex subset of Banach space X , $0 \in \Omega$, $\phi: \Omega \rightarrow \Omega$ be complete continuous operator, then

(1) ϕ has at least one fixed point in Ω ;

Jiali Da

(2) $\{x \in C | x = \lambda \Phi x, 0 < \lambda < 1\}$ is unbounded.

3. Main results

Let $X = C^+[0,1]$, $\beta = \int_0^1 (1-s)sa(s)ds$.

Theorem 3.1. Assume (H) hold, if $f_0 = 0$, when $\lambda \in (0, B(1-\alpha\eta))$, then the boundary value problem (1.1)-(1.2) has at least one positive solution.

Proof: Choose $\varepsilon > 0$ and $\varepsilon \leq \frac{1-\alpha\eta}{(1-\alpha\eta+\alpha)\beta}$, By $f_0 = 0$, we know there exists

constant $B > 0$, such that, $f(y) \leq \varepsilon y$, for $0 < y \leq B$

Let

$$\Omega = \{y | y \in C^+[0,1], y \geq 0, \|y\| \leq B, \min_{t \in [r,1]} y(t) \geq \gamma \|y\|\},$$

Then Ω is the convex subset of X . For $y \in \Omega$, by Lemmas 2.1 and 2.4, we know

$$Ty(t) \geq 0 \text{ and } \min_{t \in [r,1]} y(t) \geq \gamma \|Ty\|,$$

On the other hand,

$$\begin{aligned} Ty(t) &\leq \int_0^1 \frac{1}{2}(1-s)a(s)f(y(s))ds + \frac{\alpha}{2(1-\alpha\eta)} \int_0^1 (1-s)sa(s)f(y(s))ds + \frac{\lambda}{2(1-\alpha\eta)} \\ &\leq \frac{1-\alpha\eta+\alpha}{2(1-\alpha\eta)} \int_0^1 (1-s)sa(s)f(y(s))ds + \frac{\lambda}{2(1-\alpha\eta)} \\ &\leq \frac{\|y\|}{2} + \frac{\lambda}{2(1-\alpha\eta)} \leq \frac{B}{2} + \frac{B}{2} = B \end{aligned}$$

Thus, $\|Ty\| \leq B$. Hence, $T\Omega \subset \Omega$, $T : \Omega \rightarrow \Omega$ is completely continuous.

For $y \in \Omega$ and $y = \lambda Ty$, $0 < \lambda < 1$, we have $y(t) = \lambda Ty(t) < Ty(t) \leq B$, which implies $\|y\| \leq B$.

$\{y \in \Omega | y = \lambda Ty, 0 < \lambda < 1\}$ is bounded. By Lemma 2.5, we know the operator T has at least one fixed point in Ω . Thus the boundary value problem (1.1)-(1.2) has at least one positive solution. The proof is complete.

The Existence of Positive Solutions for Third-Order Three-Point Nonhomogeneous
Boundary Value Problems

Theorem 3.2. If $f_\infty = 0$, when $\lambda \in (0, \frac{2B(1-\alpha\eta)}{3})$, then the boundary value problem (1.1)-(1.2) has at least one positive solution.

Proof: $\varepsilon > 0$ and $\varepsilon \leq \frac{2(1-\alpha\eta)}{3\beta(1-\alpha\eta+\alpha)}$. By $f_\infty = 0$, we know there exists constant $N > 0$,

such that, $f(y) \leq \varepsilon y$, for $y > N$

Choose

$$B \geq N + 1 + \frac{\beta(1-\alpha\eta+\alpha)}{6(1-\alpha\eta)} \max_{0 \leq y \leq N} f(y)$$

Let

$$\Omega = \{y | y \in C^+[0,1], y \geq 0, \|y\| \leq B, \min_{t \in [r,1]} y(t) \geq \gamma \|y\|\},$$

then Ω is the convex subset of X . For $y \in \Omega$, by Lemmas 2.1 and 24, we know

$$Ty(t) \geq 0 \text{ and } \min_{t \in [r,1]} y(t) \geq \gamma \|Ty\|.$$

On the other hand,

$$\begin{aligned} Ty(t) &\leq \frac{1-\alpha\eta+\alpha}{2(1-\alpha\eta)} \int_0^1 (1-s)sa(s)f(y(s))ds + \frac{\lambda}{2(1-\alpha\eta)} \\ &= \frac{1-\alpha\eta+\alpha}{2(1-\alpha\eta)} \left(\int_{J_1=\{s \in [0,1], y(s) > N\}} (1-s)sa(s)f(y(s))ds \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \int_{J_2=\{s \in [0,1], y(s) \leq N\}} (1-s)sa(s)f(y(s))ds \right) + \frac{\lambda}{2(1-\alpha\eta)} \\ &\leq \frac{1-\alpha\eta+\alpha}{2(1-\alpha\eta)} \int_0^1 (1-s)sa(s)\varepsilon y(s)ds + \frac{1-\alpha\eta+\alpha}{2(1-\alpha\eta)} \int_0^1 (1-s)sa(s)ds \max_{0 \leq y \leq N} f(y) + \frac{\lambda}{2(1-\alpha\eta)} \\ &\leq \frac{B}{3} + \frac{B}{3} + \frac{B}{3} = B. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, $\|Ty\| \leq B$. Hence, $T\Omega \subset \Omega$, $T : \Omega \rightarrow \Omega$ is completely continuous.

For $y \in \Omega$ and $y = \lambda Ty$, $0 < \lambda < 1$, we have $y(t) = \lambda Ty(t) < Ty(t) \leq B$, which implies $\|y\| \leq B$.

$\{y \in \Omega | y = \lambda Ty, 0 < \lambda < 1\}$ is bounded. By Lemma 2.5, we know the operator T has at least one fixed point in Ω , Then the boundary value problem (1.1)-(1.2) has at least one

Jiali Da

positive solution.

Theorem 3.3. Assume (H) hold, if there exists constant $\rho_1 > 0$, when

$\lambda \in (0, \rho_1(1 - \alpha\eta))$, such that, $f(y) \leq \frac{(1 - \alpha\eta)\rho_1}{(1 - \alpha\eta + \alpha)\beta}$, for $0 < y \leq \rho_1$, then the

boundary value problem (1.1)-(1.2) has at least one positive solution.

Proof: Let

$$\Omega = \{y | y \in C^+[0,1], y \geq 0, \|y\| \leq \rho_1, \min_{t \in [r,1]} y(t) \geq \gamma \|y\|\},$$

then Ω is the convex subset of X, for $y \in \Omega$, by Lemmas 2.1 and 2.4, we know

$$Ty(t) \geq 0 \text{ and } \min_{t \in [r,1]} Ty(t) \geq \gamma \|Ty\|.$$

On the other hand,

$$Ty(t) \leq \frac{1 - \alpha\eta + \alpha}{2(1 - \alpha\eta)} \int_0^1 (1-s)sa(s)f(y(s))ds + \frac{\lambda}{2(1 - \alpha\eta)} \leq \frac{\rho_1}{2} + \frac{\rho_1}{2} = \rho_1.$$

Thus, $\|Ty\| \leq \rho_1$. Hence, $T\Omega \subset \Omega$, $T : \Omega \rightarrow \Omega$ is completely continuous.

For $y \in \Omega$ and $y = \lambda Ty$, $0 < \lambda < 1$, we have $y(t) = \lambda Ty(t) < Ty(t) \leq \rho_1$, $\|y\| \leq \rho_1$.

So, $\{y \in \Omega | y = \lambda Ty, 0 < \lambda < 1\}$ is bounded. By Lemma 2.5, we know the operator T has at least one fixed point in Ω . Thus the boundary value problem (1.1)-(1.2) has at least one positive solution.

Theorem 3.4. Assume (H) hold. If there exists constant $\rho_2 > 0$, such that

$$f(y) \leq \frac{(2 - \alpha\eta^2)\rho_2}{2\beta}, \text{ for } y \geq \rho_2,$$

then the boundary value problem (1.1)-(1.2) has at least one positive solution.

Proof: Choose

$$d \geq 1 + \rho_2 + \frac{\beta(1 - \alpha\eta + \alpha)}{2(1 - \alpha\eta)} \max_{0 \leq y \leq \rho_2} f(y) + \frac{\lambda}{2(1 - \alpha\eta)}$$

Let

The Existence of Positive Solutions for Third-Order Three-Point Nonhomogeneous
Boundary Value Problems

$$\Omega = \{y | y \in C^+[0,1], y \geq 0, \|y\| \leq d, \min_{t \in [r,1]} y(t) \geq \gamma \|y\|\},$$

then Ω is the convex subset of X . For $y \in \Omega$, by Lemmas 2.1 and 2.4, we know

$$Ty(t) \geq 0 \text{ and } \min_{t \in [r,1]} Ty(t) \geq \gamma \|Ty\|.$$

On the other hand,

$$\begin{aligned} Ty(t) &\leq \frac{1-\alpha\eta+\alpha}{2(1-\alpha\eta)} \int_0^1 (1-s)sa(s)f(y(s))ds + \frac{\lambda}{2(1-\alpha\eta)} \\ &= \frac{1-\alpha\eta+\alpha}{2(1-\alpha\eta)} \left(\int_{J_1=\{s \in [0,1], y(s) > \rho_2\}} (1-s)sa(s)f(y(s))ds \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \int_{J_2=\{s \in [0,1], y(s) \leq \rho_2\}} (1-s)sa(s)f(y(s))ds \right) + \frac{\lambda}{2(1-\alpha\eta)} \\ &\leq \frac{1-\alpha\eta+\alpha}{2(1-\alpha\eta)} \int_0^1 (1-s)sa(s) \frac{2(1-\alpha\eta)\rho_2}{\beta(1-\alpha\eta+\alpha)} ds \\ &\quad + \frac{1-\alpha\eta+\alpha}{2(1-\alpha\eta)} \int_0^1 (1-s)sa(s) ds \max_{0 \leq y \leq \rho_2} f(y) + \frac{\lambda}{2(1-\alpha\eta)} \\ &= \rho_2 + \frac{1-\alpha\eta+\alpha}{2(1-\alpha\eta)} \beta \max_{0 \leq y \leq \rho_2} f(y) + \frac{\lambda}{2(1-\alpha\eta)} < d. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, $\|Ty\| \leq d$. Hence, $T\Omega \subset \Omega$, $T : \Omega \rightarrow \Omega$ is completely continuous.

For $y \in \Omega$ and $y = \lambda Ty$, $0 < \lambda < 1$, we have $y(t) = \lambda Ty(t) < Ty(t) \leq d$, $\|y\| \leq d$. So, $\{y \in \Omega | y = \lambda Ty, 0 < \lambda < 1\}$ is bounded. By Lemma 2.5, we know the operator T has at least one fixed point in Ω , Thus the boundary value problem (1.1)-(1.2) has at least one positive solution.

5. Conclusion and future work

The main purpose of this paper was to present new result of positive solutions for third-order three-point nonhomogeneous boundary value problems with Leray-Schauder fixed point theorem, highlighting the method which has been used by Sun [12] to some results.

In the future research, the third-order boundary value problem of the scientific research award was raised to the n -order boundary value problem, and its different boundary conditions were changed to obtain the existence of its positive solution, and the existence of multiple solutions can even be considered. These suggestions will be treated in the future.

Jiali Da

Acknowledgement. The author is very grateful to referees for the valuable remarks and comments that improved this paper.

Fund project: The 2019 university-level scientific research project of Zhixing College of Northwest Normal University (2019002KA; Scientific research projects of colleges and universities in gansu province(2020B-268; National Natural Science Foundation of China (11561063).

REFERENCES

1. D.Anderson, Multiple positive solutions for a three-point boundary value problem, *Math. Comput. Modelling*, 27 (1998) 49-57.
2. D.Anderson, Green's function for a third-order generalized tight focal problem, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, 288 (2003) 1-14.
3. D.Anderson and J.M.Dacis, Multiple solutions and eigenvalues for third-order right focal boundary value problems, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, 267 (2002) 135-157.
4. Z.Bai and X.Fei, Existence of triple positive solutions for a third order generalized right focal problem, *Math. Inequal. Appl.*, 9 (2006) 437-444.
5. A.Boucherif and N.Al-Malki, Nonlinear three-point third order boundary value problems, *Appl. Math. Comput.*, 190 (2007) 1168-1177.
6. J.Tariboon and T.Sitthiwiraththam, Positive solutions of a nonlinear three-point integral boundary value problem, *Boundary Value Problems*, 2010 (2010)1-11.
7. J.R.L.Webb, Positive solutions of some three-point boundary value problems via fixed point index theory, *Nonlinear Anal.*, 47 (2001) 4319-4332.
8. Y. M. Zhou and Y. Xu, Positive solutions of three-point boundary value problems for systems of nonlinear second-order ordinary differential equations, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, 320 (2006) 578-590.
9. M.S.Abdol and S.K.Panchal, Some new uniqueness results of solutions to nonlinear fractional integro-differential equations, *Annals of Pure and Applied Mathematics*, 16(2) (2018) 345-352.
10. M.Arunkumar, P. Agilan and S. Ramamoorthy, Solution and generalized ulam-hyers stability of one dimensional additive functional equation in banach space and banach algebra: direct and fixed point methods, *Annals of Pure and Applied Mathematics*, 15(1) (2017) 25-40.
11. J.T.Ding and B.Z.Guo, Blow-up and global existence for nonlinear parabolic equations with Neumann boundary conditions, *Computers & Mathematics with Applications*, 60 (2010) 670-679.
12. Y.Sun, Positive solutions for third-order three-point nonhomogeneous boundary value problems, *Appl. Math. Lett.*, 22 (2009) 45-51.
13. Z.Yao, New results of positive solutions for second-order nonlinear three-point integral boundary value problems, *J. Nonlinear Sci. Appl.*, 8 (2015) 93-98.