Annals of Pure and Applied Mathematics Vol. 24, No. 1, 2021, 11-20 ISSN: 2279-087X (P), 2279-0888(online) Published on 24 July 2021 www.researchmathsci.org DOI: http://dx.doi.org/10.22457/apam.v24n1a02834



# **Certain Notions of Intuitionistic Fuzzy Graphs**

Zahra Sadri Irani<sup>1</sup> and Hossein Rashmanlou<sup>2\*</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Chemistry, Faculty of Science, Falavarjan Branch Islamic Azad University, Iran. Email: <u>sadri@iaufala.ac.ir</u> <sup>2</sup>Adib Mazandaran Institute of Higher Education, Sari, Iran \*Corresponding author. Email: <u>rashmanlou.1987@gmail.com</u>

Received 1 June 2021; accepted 20 July 2021

*Abstract.* Fuzzy graph models enjoy the ubiquity of being in natural and human-made structures, namely dynamic process in physical, biological and social systems. As a result of inconsistent and indeterminate information inherent in real-life problems which are often uncertain, it is highly difficult for an expert to model those problems based on a fuzzy graph. Intuitionistic fuzzy graph (IFG) can deal with the uncertainty associated with the inconsistent and indeterminate information of any real-world problem, where fuzzy graphs may fail to reveal satisfactory results. Likewise, IFG has an important role in neural networks, computer network, and clustering. In the design of a network, it is important to analyze connections by the levels. In this paper, we describe  $d_m$ -regular,  $td_m$ -regular, m-highly irregular and m-highly totally irregular IFGs and prove the necessary and sufficient conditions which under this conditions the  $d_m$ -regular and  $td_m$ -regular IFGs are equivalent. Also, a comparative study between m-highly irregular IFG and m-highly totally irregular IFG are given.

*Keywords:* Intuitionistic fuzzy set,  $d_m$ -degree, intuitionistic fuzzy graph,  $d_m$ -regular intuitionistic fuzzy graph.

AMS Mathematics Subject Classification (2010): 05C99, 03E72

## **1. Introduction**

The concept of a graph is one of the most powerful and widely employed tools for the representation, modelling, analyses, and solution of a multitude of real world problems. An immediate result of a rise of popularity of fuzzy sets theory has been the fuzzification of graph theory which has been initiated by Rosenfeld who has introduced the concept of a fuzzy graph. Basically, a fuzzy graph is a weighted graph in which the weights are from [0, 1] and are defined over a fuzzy set of vertices. In 1965, Zadeh [27] proposed fuzzy theory and introduced fuzzy set theory. The most important feature of a fuzzy set is that it consists of a class of objects that satisfy a certain (or several) property. Fuzzy graph theory is finding an increasing number of applications in modeling real time systems where the level of information inherent in the system varies with different levels of precision. Fuzzy models are becoming useful because of their aim in reducing the differences between the traditional numerical models used in engineering and sciences and the symbolic models used in expert systems. Rosenfeld [18] in (1975), introduced fuzzy graph. It has been

growing fast and has numerous applications in various field. Atanassove, [1, 2, 3] in 1986 proposed the concept of intuitionistic fuzzy set, by replacing the value of an element in a set with a subinterval of [0, 1]. The node degree in the graph is a quick way to get the relation number of nodes, so to analyze a graph it is important to look at the degree of nodes. Nagoor Gani and Latha [15, 16] in (2012), introduced irregular fuzzy graph, total degree and totally irregular fuzzy graph, and regular fuzzy graphs. In a fuzzy set each element is associated with a point value selected from the unit interval [0,1], which is termed the grade of membership in the set. Instead of using point based membership as in fuzzy sets, interval based membership is used in a vague set. Rashmanlou et al. [6, 7, 17] defined new concepts of fuzzy graphs. Akram et al. [4, 5] introduced strong IFG and certain types of vague graphs. Ghorai and Pal [8, 9, 10, 11] introduced several concepts in m-polar fuzzy graphs. Mahapatra and Pal [12, 13] studied fuzzy colouring and applications of edge colouring on fuzzy graphs. Nayeem and Pal [14] described diameter constrained fuzzy minimum spanning tree problem. Recently, some research works have been done by the authors in continuation of previous works related to cubic graphs, vague graphs, bipolar fuzzy graphs, and intuitionistic fuzzy graphs which are mentioned in [19, 20, 21, 22, 23, 24, 25].

IFGs are the generalization of graph structures and extremely useful in the study of some structures, like graphs, colored graphs, signed graphs, and edge-labeled graphs. IFGs are more useful than fuzzy graphs (FGs) because they deal with the uncertainty and ambiguity of many real-word phenomena. Hence, in this paper, we represent  $d_m$ -regular,  $td_m$ -regular, m-highly irregular and m-highly totally irregular intuitionistic fuzzy graphs and some properties of them are discussed. Also, a comparative study between  $d_m$ -regular (m-highly irregular) IFG and  $td_m$ -regular (m-highly totally irregular) IFG are given.

#### 2. Preliminaries

**Definition 2.1.** [1] An intuitionistic fuzzy set A in an ordinary non-empty set X, is a pair  $(\mu_A, \nu_A)$  where  $\mu_A: X \to [0,1]$  and  $\nu_A: X \to [0,1]$  are membership and non-membership functions, respectively such that

 $0 \le \mu_A(x) + \nu_A(x) \le 1, \text{ for any } x \in X.$ 

**Definition 2.2.** [1] Let X and Y be two ordinary non-empty sets. An intuitionistic fuzzy relation of X to Y is a intuitionistic subset of  $X \times Y$ , that is an expression R defined by;

 $R = \{ \langle x, y \rangle, \mu_R \langle x, y \rangle, \nu_R \langle x, y \rangle \}$ where  $\mu_R: X \times Y \longrightarrow [0,1]$  and  $\nu_R: X \times Y \longrightarrow [0,1]$ , which satisfies the condition  $0 \le \mu_R(x, y) + \nu_R(x, y) \le 1$ ; for all  $(x, y) \in X \times Y$ .

**Definition 2.3.** [5] Let  $G^* = (V, E)$  be a graph. A pair G = (A, B) is called an intuitionistic fuzzy graph (IFG) on  $G^*$  or an IFG where  $A = (\mu_A, \nu_A)$  is an intuitionistic fuzzy set on V and  $B = (\mu_B, \nu_B)$  is an intuitionistic fuzzy set on  $E \subseteq V \times V$  such that for each  $uv \in E$ ,

 $\mu_B(uv) \le \min(\mu_A(u), \mu_A(v)) , v_B(uv) \ge \max(v_A(u), v_A(v)).$ An intuitionistic fuzzy graph G = (A, B) is called a complete-IFG if for every  $u, v \in V$ ,  $\mu_B(uv) = \mu_A(u) \land \mu_A(v) , v_B(uv) = v_A(u) \lor v_A(v)$ A complete IFC with  $\mathfrak{n}$  nodes is denoted by K

A complete IFG with n nodes is denoted by  $K_n$ .

**Definition 2.4.** [5] A path  $\rho$  in an IFG G = (A, B) is a sequence of distinct nodes

 $v_0, v_1, \ldots, v_k$  such that;

 $(\mu_B(v_{i-1}v_i), v_B(v_{i-1}v_i)) > 0$ , i = 1, ..., k.

Here k is called the length of the path.

**Definition 2.5.** [5] Let G = (A, B) be an IFG. If u and v are connected by means of a path of length k in G such as  $\rho: u = u_0, u_1, u_2, \dots, u_{k-1}, u_k = v$ , then  $\mu_B^k(uv)$  and  $v_B^k(uv)$  are defined as follows,

$$\mu_B^k(uv) = \sup\{\mu_B(uu_1) \land \mu_B(u_1u_2) \land ... \land \mu_B(u_{k-1}v)\}, \\ \nu_B^k(uv) = \inf\{\nu_B(uu_1) \lor \nu_B(u_1u_2) \lor ... \lor \nu_B(u_{k-1}v)\}.$$

The strength of connectedness between two nodes u and v in IFG G is defined as follows,

 $(\mu_B^{\infty}(uv) , v_B^{\infty}(uv)) = (\sup\{\mu_B^k(uv) \mid k = 1, 2, ...\}, \inf\{v_B^k(uv) \mid k = 1, 2, ...\}).$ 

**Definition 2.6** [5] An IFG G = (A, B) is called connected-IFG if for every nodes  $u, v \in V$ ,  $\mu_B^{\infty}(uv) > 0$  or  $\nu_B^{\infty}(uv) < 1$ .

## 3. d<sub>m</sub>-regular and td<sub>m</sub>-regular intuitionistic fuzzy graphs

In this section, first we define  $d_m$ -degree and  $td_m$ -degree of nodes in an IFG. Then we introduce the notions of  $d_m$ -regular and  $td_m$ -regular IFGs and prove the necessary and sufficient conditions which under this conditions the  $d_m$ -regular and  $td_m$ -regular IFGs are equivalent.

**Definition 3.1.** Let G = (A, B) be an IFG. Then the  $d_m$ -degree of a node u in G is defined by,

$$d_m(u) = (\sum_{u \neq v \in V} \mu_B^m(uv), \sum_{u \neq v \in V} \nu_B^m(uv)),$$

where  $u, u_1, u_2, \dots u_{m-1}, v$  is the shortest path connecting u and v of length m.

**Example 3.2.** Consider the IFG G = (A, B) as follows,



**Figure 1**: Intuitionistic fuzzy graph *G*.

Then the  $d_2$ -degree of nodes in G are as follow,

 $\begin{aligned} &d_2(a) = (0.2 + 0.1, 0.8 + 0.8) = (0.3, 1.6), \\ &d_2(b) = (0.1 + 0.1, 0.7 + 0.8) = (0.2, 1.5), \\ &d_2(c) = (0.1 + 0.2, 0.7 + 0.8) = (0.3, 1.5). \end{aligned}$ 

**Definition 3.3.** Let G = (A, B) be an IFG. Then the  $td_m$ -degree or total  $d_m$ -degree of a node u in G is defined as follows,

 $td_m(u) = (\sum_{u \neq v \in V} \mu_B^m(uv) + \mu_A(u) , \sum_{u \neq v \in V} \nu_B^m(uv) + \nu_A(u)),$ where  $u, u_1, u_2, \dots u_{m-1}, v$  is the shortest path connecting u and v of length m. **Example 3.4.** In the Figure 1,  $td_2$ -degree of nodes in G are as follows:  $td_2(a) = ((0.2 + 0.1) + 0.3, (0.8 + 0.8) + 0.6) = (0.6, 2.2),$ 

 $td_2(b) = ((0.1 + 0.1) + 0.3, (0.7 + 0.8) + 0.5) = (0.5, 2.0),$  $td_2(c) = ((0.1 + 0.2) + 0.4, (0.7 + 0.8) + 0.5) = (0.7, 2.0).$ 

**Definition 3.5.** An IFG G = (A, B) is said to be  $(m, (d_1, d_2))$ -regular IFG or  $d_m$ -regular if for all nodes v in  $G, d_m(v) = (d_1, d_2)$ .

**Example 3.6.** A (2, (0.1,0.3))-regular IFG is given in the Figure 2.



Figure 2: Intuitionistic fuzzy graph G.

Since,

Then G is a (2, (0.1, 0.3))-regular IFG.

**Definition 3.7.** An IFG G = (A, B) is said to be  $(m, (k_1, k_2))$ -totally regular intuitionistic fuzzy graph or  $td_m$ -regular if for all nodes v in G,  $td_m(v) = (k_1, k_2)$ .

**Example 3.8.** A (2, (0.6,2.0))-totally regular IFG is given in the Figure 3.





Since,

 $td_2(a) = ((0.1 + 0.2) + 0.3, (0.8 + 0.8) + 0.4) = (0.6, 2.0),$   $td_2(b) = ((0.1 + 0.1) + 0.4, (0.8 + 0.7) + 0.5) = (0.6, 2.0),$  $td_2(c) = (0.2 + 0.1) + 0.3, (0.8 + 0.7) + 0.5) = (0.6, 2.0).$ 

Then G is a (2, (0.6, 2.0))-totally regular IFG.

**Theorem 3.9.** Let G = (A, B) be an IFG. If  $t_A$  and  $f_A$  are constant functions, then G is a  $d_m$ -regular IFG if and only if G is a  $td_m$ -regular intuitionistic fuzzy graph (m is a positive integer).

**Proof:** Suppose that for every node v in G,  $(\mu_A(v), \nu_A(v)) = (c_1, c_2)$  and  $d_m(v) = (d_1, d_2)$ . Then

 $td_m(v) = d_m(v) + (\mu_A(v), \nu_A(v)) = (d_1 + c_1, d_2 + c_2),$ 

Hence G is a  $td_m$ -regular IFG. If G is a  $td_m$ -regular IFG, then the proof is similar to the previous case.

**Theorem 3.10.** Let G = (A, B) be a  $(m, (d_1, d_2))$ -regular and a  $(m, (k_1, k_2))$ totally regular IFG with n nodes. Then  $\mu_A$  and  $\nu_A$  are constant functions and  $O(G) = n(k_1 - d_1, k_2 - d_2).$ 

**Proof:** If G is a  $(m, (d_1, d_2))$ -regular IFG and a  $(m, (k_1, k_2))$ -totally regular IFG then respectively for all  $v \in V$  we get,

$$d_{m}(v) = (d_{1}, d_{2}) \rightarrow (\sum_{v \neq u \in V} \mu_{B}^{m}(uv), \sum_{v \neq u \in V} \nu_{B}^{m}(uv)) = (d_{1}, d_{2}), \quad (1)$$

and

$$td_{m}(v) = (k_{1}, k_{2}) \rightarrow (\sum_{v \neq u \in V} \mu_{B}^{m}(uv) + \mu_{A}(v), \sum_{v \neq u \in V} \nu_{B}^{m}(uv) + \nu_{A}(v)) = (k_{1}, k_{2}).$$

Therefore,

 $(\sum_{v \neq u \in V} \mu_B^m(uv), \sum_{v \neq u \in V} \nu_B^m(uv)) = (k_1 - \mu_A(v), k_2 - \nu_A(v)).$ Hence by (1),  $(d_1, d_2) = (k_1 - \mu_A(v), k_2 - \nu_A(v))$  and so  $(\mu_A(v), \nu_A(v)) = (k_1 - d_1, k_2 - d_2)$ . Then  $\mu_A$  and  $\nu_A$  are constant functions and since G has n nodes, then we get

$$O(G) = (\sum_{v \in V} \mu_A(v), \sum_{v \in V} \nu_A(v)) = n(k_1 - d_1, k_2 - d_2)$$

**Definition 3.11.** Let G = (A, B) be a connected IFG. Then

(i) G is called an m-highly irregular IFG, if every node of G is adjacent to the other nodes with the distinct  $d_m$ -degree,

(ii) G is said to be an m-highly totally irregular IFG if every node of G is adjacent to the other nodes with distinct  $td_m$ -degree.

**Example 3.12.** *Let G be IFG in the Figure 1. Since for nodes a*, *b and c of G we get;* 

$$d_2(a) \neq d_2(b)$$
,  $d_2(b) \neq d_2(c)$ ,  $d_2(c) \neq d_2(a)$ ,

 $td_2(a) \neq td_2(b) , td_2(b) \neq td_2(c) , td_2(c) \neq td_2(a).$ 

Then G is an 2-highly irregular IFG and is an 2-highly totally irregular IFG.

**Theorem 3.13.** Let G = (A, B) be an IFG. If  $\mu_A$  and  $\nu_A$  are constant function and m is a positive integer. Then G is an m-highly totally irregular IFG if and only if G is an m-highly irregular IFG.

**Proof:** Suppose that G is an m-highly totally irregular IFG. Then  $td_m$ -degree of every pair of adjacent nodes are distinct. Let u and v are a pair of adjacent nodes with distinct  $td_m$ -degree. We get,

$$td_m(u) = \left(\sum_{u \neq w \in V} \mu_B^m(uw) + \mu_A(u), \sum_{u \neq w \in W} \nu_B^m(uw) + \nu_A(u)\right)$$

and

$$td_m(v) = (\sum_{v \neq w \in V} \mu_B^m(vw) + \mu_A(v), \sum_{v \neq w \in V} \nu_B^m(vw) + \nu_A(v)).$$
  
Since  $td_m(v) \neq td_m(v)$ , we have,

$$\sum_{u \neq w \in V} \mu_B^m(uw) + \mu_A(u) \neq \sum_{v \neq w \in V} \mu_B^m(vw) + \mu_A(v)$$

or

Since 
$$\mu_A(u) = \mu_A(v)$$
 and  $\nu_A(u) = \nu_A(v)$ . Hence  
 $\sum_{u \neq w \in V} \mu_B^m(uw) \neq \sum_{v \neq w \in V} \nu_B^m(vw) + \nu_A(v)$ .

or

$$\sum_{u \neq w \in V} v_B^m(uw) \neq \sum_{v \neq w \in V} v_B^m(vw)$$

and so

$$l_m(u) = \left(\sum_{u \neq w \in V} \mu_B^m(uw), \sum_{u \neq w \in V} \nu_B^m(uw)\right) \neq$$

 $(\sum_{v \neq w \in V} \mu_B^m(vw), \sum_{v \neq w \in V} \nu_B^m(vw)) = d_m(v).$ Hence, any pair of adjacent nodes in G have distinct  $d_m$ -degree. Then G is an m-highly irregular IFG.

Conversely, let G is an m-highly irregular IFG. Then the d<sub>m</sub>-degree of every pair of adjacent nodes such as u and v are distinct. This implies that  $d_m(u) \neq d_m(v)$  and since  $\mu_A(u) = \mu_A(v)$  and  $\nu_A(u) = \nu_A(v)$ . Hence

 $td_m(u) = d_m(u) + \mu_A(u) \neq d_m(v) + \mu_A(v) = td_m(v)$ 

and so any two adjacent nodes in  $\,G\,$  have distinct  $\,td_m$ -degree. Therefore  $\,G\,$  is an m-highly totally irregular intuitionistic fuzzy graph.

**Theorem 3.14.** Let G = (A, B) be an IFG on cycle graph  $G^* = (V, E)$  with  $k \ge 3$  nodes and for all i = 1, ..., k - 1 (where  $v_{k+1} = v_1$ ),

 $\mu_B(v_iv_{i+1}) < \mu_B(v_{i+1}v_{i+2}) \text{ or } v_B(v_iv_{i+1}) > v_B(v_{i+1}v_{i+2}).$ Then G is an {1,2,..., [k2]}-highly irregular IFG.

**Proof:** Suppose that  $v_1v_2, v_2v_3, \dots, v_kv_1$  is the arcs of G where,

 $\mu_B(v_1v_2) < \mu_B(v_2v_3) < \ldots < \mu_B(v_iv_{i+1}) < \mu_B(v_{i+1}v_{i+2}) < \ldots < \mu_B(v_kv_1)$  and there exists  $v_iv_{i+1} \in E$  such that for  $1 \le m \le [k2]$ ,  $d_m(v_i) = d_m(v_{i+1})$ . Therefore we get

$$\sum_{v_i \neq v_i \in V} \mu_B^m(v_i v_j) = \mu_B(v_i v_{i+1}) + \mu_B(v_l v_{l+1})$$

and

 $\sum_{\substack{v_{i+1} \neq v_j \in V \\ \mu_B(v_i v_{i+1}) + \mu_B(v_{i+1}v_j) = \mu_B(v_i v_{i+1}) + \mu_B(v_{l+1}v_{l+2}).}$ Since  $d_m(v_i) = d_m(v_{i+1})$  hence,  $\mu_B(v_i v_{i+1}) + \mu_B(v_l v_{l+1}) = \mu_B(v_i v_{i+1}) + \mu_B(v_{l+1}v_{l+2}).$ 

and so,

$$\mu_B(v_l v_{l+1}) = \mu_B(v_{l+1} v_{l+2})$$

that this is a contradiction.

Now, if for every  $v_1v_2, v_2v_3, \dots, v_kv_1 \in E$ ,

 $v_B(v_1v_2) > v_B(v_2v_3) > ... > v_B(v_iv_{i+1}) > v_B(v_{i+1}v_{i+2}) > ... > v_B(v_kv_1)$ then similar to the proof of previous case, the contradiction is obtained. Therefore G is an {1,2,..., [k2]}-highly irregular intuitionistic fuzzy graph.

**Remark 3.15.** Let G = (A, B) be an IFG on cycle graph  $G^* = (V, E)$  with  $k \ge 3$ nodes and for all i = 1, ..., k - 1 (where  $v_{k+1} = v_1$ ),  $\mu_B(v_i v_{i+1}) < \mu_B(v_{i+1} v_{i+2})$  or  $v_B(v_i v_{i+1}) > v_B(v_{i+1} v_{i+2})$ . Then G is not an  $\{1, 2, ..., [k2]\}$ -highly totally irregular IFG, in general.

**Example 3.16.** Consider the IFG G = (A, B) on graph  $G^* = (V, E)$  in the Figure 4,



**Figure 4:** Intuitionistic fuzzy graph *G*.

We see that

$$\mu_B(ab) < \mu_B(bc) < \mu_B(cd) < \mu_B(da)$$

and we get

$$td_2(a) = (0.3 + 0.4, 0.6 + 0.4) = (0.7, 1.0),$$
  

$$td_2(b) = (0.2 + 0.3, 0.6 + 0.6) = (0.5, 1.2),$$
  

$$td_2(c) = (0.3 + 0.4, 0.6 + 0.5) = (0.7, 1.1),$$
  

$$td_2(d) = (0.2 + 0.5, 0.6 + 0.4) = (0.7, 1.0).$$

Since for two nodes a and d that are adjacent,  $td_2(a) = td_2(d)$ . Then G is not an 2-highly totally irregular IFG.

**Theorem 3.17.** Let G = (A, B) be an IFG on path graph  $G^* = (V, E)$  with  $k \ge 3$  nodes and for all i = 1, ..., k - 1 (where  $v_{k+1} = v_1$ ),

 $\mu_B(v_iv_{i+1}) < \mu_B(v_{i+1}v_{i+2}) \text{ or } \nu_B(v_iv_{i+1}) > \nu_B(v_{i+1}v_{i+2}).$ Then G is an {1,2,...,[k2]}-highly irregular IFG.

**Proof:** Suppose that  $v_1v_2, v_2v_3, ..., v_{k-1}v_k$  is the arcs of the path G such that,  $\mu_B(v_1v_2) < \mu_B(v_2v_3) < ... < \mu_B(v_iv_{i+1}) < \mu_B(v_{i+1}v_{i+2}) < ... < \mu_B(v_{k-1}v_k)$ Then for every  $1 \le m < [k2]$  we get,

 $\sum_{v_i \neq v_j \in V} \mu_B^m(v_i v_j) = \\ \begin{cases} \mu_B(v_i v_{i+1}) & \text{if } i = 1, 2, \dots, m \\ \mu_B(v_i v_{i+1}) + \mu_B(v_{i-m} v_{i-m+1}) & \text{if } i = m+1, \dots, k-m \\ \mu_B(v_{i-m} v_{i-m+1}) & \text{if } i = k-m+1, \dots, k \end{cases}$ 

Hence for every two adjacent nodes as  $v_l$  and  $v_{l+1}$  in G, we get  $d_m(v_l) \neq d_m(v_{l+1})$ . Therefore G is an  $\{1, 2, ..., [k2] - 1\}$ -highly irregular IFG. Now, suppose that m = [k2]. If k is even, then we get

$$\sum_{v_i \neq v_j \in V} \mu_B^m(v_i v_j) = \begin{cases} \mu_B(v_i v_{i+1}) & if \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, m \\ \mu_B(v_{i-m} v_{i-m+1}) & if \quad i = m+1, \dots, k-m \end{cases}$$

and if  $\,k\,$  is odd, then we get

$$\sum_{v_i \neq v_j \in V} \mu_B^m(v_i v_j) = \begin{cases} \mu_B(v_i v_{i+1}) & \text{if } i = 1, 2, \dots, m \\ \mu_B(v_1 v_2) + t_B(v_{i-m} v_{i-m+1}) & \text{if } i = m+1 \\ \mu_B(v_{i-m} v_{i-m+1}) & \text{if } i = m+2, \dots, k \end{cases}$$

where in both cases for every two adjacent nodes  $v_l$  and  $v_{l+1}$  in G, we get  $d_m(v_l) \neq d_m(v_{l+1})$  and so G is an [k2]-highly irregular IFG. Similarly, for the non-membership function of each arcs, it is established.

**Definition 3.18.** A star-IFG G = (A, B) is a complete bipartite IFG such that, one partition of V contains only one node.

**Theorem 3.19.** Let G = (A, B) be a star IFG with  $k \ge 4$  nodes, such that the node  $v_1$  is incident to the other nodes. If for all i = 2, ..., k,

 $\mu_B(v_iv_1) < \mu_B(v_{i+1}v_1) \text{ or } v_B(v_iv_1) > v_B(v_{i+1}v_1).$ Then G is an {1,2}-highly irregular IFG.

**Proof:** Suppose that  $v_2v_1, v_3v_1, \dots, v_{k-1}v_1, v_kv_1$  are the arcs in G such that  $\mu_B(v_2v_1) < \mu_B(v_3v_1) < \dots < \mu_B(v_{i+1}v_1) < \mu_B(v_{i+2}v_1) < \dots < \mu_B(v_kv_1).$ 

Then,

$$\sum_{\substack{v_1 \neq v_j \in V \\ i}} \mu_B^1(v_1 v_j) = \sum_{j=2}^k \mu_B(v_1 v_j) \text{ and } \sum_{\substack{v_i \neq v_j \in V \\ i \in V}} \mu_B^1(v_i v_j) = \mu_B(v_1 v_i); i = 2, \dots, k.$$

$$d_1(v_1) \neq d_1(v_2) \neq d_1(v_3) \neq \dots \neq d_1(v_k)$$

Therefore G is an 1-highly irregular IFG. Also we see that

 $d_2(v_1) = (0,1)$  and  $\sum_{v_i \neq v_i \in V} \mu_B^2(v_i v_j) = (k-i)\mu_B(v_1 v_i) + \sum_{j=2}^{i-1} \mu_B(v_1 v_j).$ 

Hence for every i = 2, ..., k,  $d_2(v_i) \neq d_2(v_1)$  and so G is an 2-highly irregular IFG. Similarly, if the non-membership function of each arc is distinct from other arcs, then it is established.

## 4. Conclusion

An intuitionistic fuzzy graph has numerous applications in the modelling of real life systems where the level of information inherited in the system varies with respect to time and have the different level of precision. The IFG is the extension of FG having both membership and non-membership degrees. Intuitionistic fuzzy models are much better than FGs in precision, elasticity, and compatibility for the system. The IFG defines all types of complexity as an FG. In this paper, we introduce  $d_m$ -regular,  $td_m$ -regular, m-highly irregular and m-highly totally irregular intuitionistic fuzzy graphs and some properties of them are discussed. Likewise, a comparative study between  $d_m$ -regular (m-highly irregular) intuitionistic fuzzy graph are given.

*Acknowledgement.* We would like to provide our cordial thanks to the honorable referees for their valuable comments which help us to enrich the quality of the paper.

# REFERENCES

- 1. K.T.Atanassove, Intuitionistic fuzzy sets, Fuzzy Sets and Systems, 20 (1986) 87-96.
- 2. K.T.Atanassove, Intuitionistic Fuzzy Sets, Springer Physica-Verlag, Berlin, (1999).
- 3. K.T.Atanassove, On Intuitionistic Fuzzy Sets Theory, Springer, Berlin, (2012).
- 4. M.Akram, F.Feng, S.Sarwar and Y.B.Jun, Certain types of vague graphs, *U.P.B. Sci. Bull., Series A*, 76 (2014) 141-154.
- 5. M.Akram and B.Davvaz, Strong intuitionistic fuzzy graphs, *Filomat*, 26 (1) (2012) 177-195.
- 6. RA Borzooei, H Rashmanlou, S Samanta and M Pal, Regularity of vague graphs, *Journal of Intelligent & Fuzzy Systems*, 30 (6) (2016) 3681-3689.
- 7. RA Borzooei, H Rashmanlou, S Samanta and M Pal, New concepts of vague competition graphs, *Journal of Intelligent & Fuzzy Systems*, 31(1) (2016) 69-75.
- 8. G.Ghorai and M.Pal, On some operations and density of m-polar fuzzy graphs, *Pacific Science Review A: Natural Science and Engineering*, 17(1) (2015) 14-22.
- 9. G.Ghorai and M.Pal, Faces and dual of m-polar fuzzy planar graphs, *Journal of Intelligent & Fuzzy Systems*, 31(3) (2016) 2043-2049.
- 10. G.Ghorai and M.Pal, Some properties of m-polar fuzzy graphs, *Pacific Science Review A: Natural Science and Engineering*, 18 (1) (2016) 38-46.
- 11. G.Ghorai and M.Pal, Planarity in vague graphs with application, *Acta Mathematica Academiae Paedagogiace Nyregyhziensis*, 33(2) (2017) 1-21.
- 12. T.Mahapatra and M.Pal, Fuzzy colouring of m-polar fuzzy graph and its application, *Journal of Intelligent & Fuzzy Systems*, 35(6) (2018) 6379-6391.
- 13. R.Mahapatra, S.Samanta and M.Pal, Applications of edge colouring of fuzzy graphs, *Informatica*, 31(2) (2020) 313-330.
- 14. SMA.Nayeem and M.Pal, Diameter constrained fuzzy minimum spanning tree problem, *Intern. J. Comput. Intelligence Systems*, 6(6) (2013) 1040-1051.
- 15. A.Nagoorgani and S.R.Latha, On irregular fuzzy graphs, Applied Mathematical

Sciences, 6 (2012) 517-523.

- 16. A.Nagoorgani and K.Radha, On regular fuzzy graphs, J. Phys. Sci, 12 (2008) 33-44.
- H.Rashmanlou, M.Pal, RA.Borzooei, F.Mofidnakhaei and B.Sarkar, Product of interval-valued fuzzy graphs and degree, *Journal of Intelligent & Fuzzy Systems*, 35(6) (2018) 6443-6451.
- 18. A.Rosenfeld, Fuzzy graphs, In;L.A.Zadeh, K.S.Fu,M.shimura,Eds., *Fuzzy Sets and Their Applications, Academic Press*, (1975) 77-95.
- 19. S.Sahoo and M.Pal, Intuitionistic fuzzy tolerance graphs with application, *Journal of Applied Mathematics and Computing*, 55(1) (2017) 495-511.
- 20. S.Sahoo and M.Pal, Product of intuitionistic fuzzy graphs and degree, *Journal of Intelligent & Fuzzy Systems*, 32 (1) (2017) 1059-1067.
- 21. S.Sahoo and M.Pal, Intuitionistic fuzzy labeling graphs, *TWMS Journal of Applied and Engineering Mathematics*, 8(2) (2018) 466-476.
- 22. S.Samanta, M.Pal, Fuzzy k-competition graphs and p-competition fuzzy graphs, *Fuzzy Information and Engineering*, 1-14 (2013).
- 23. N.R.Santhi Maheswari and C.Sekar, Semi neighbourly irregular graphs, *International Journal of Combinatorial Graph Theory and Applications*, 5 (2) (2015) 135-144.
- 24. A.A.Talebi, Janusz Kacprzyk, H.Rashmanlou and S.H.Sadati, A new concept of an intuitionistic fuzzy graph with applications, *J. of Mult.-Valued Logic & Soft Computing*, 35 (2020) 431-454.
- 25. A.A.Talebi, H.Rashmanlou and S.H.Sadati, Interval-valued intuitionistic fuzzy competition graph, *J. of Mult.-Valued Logic & Soft Computing*, 34 (2020) 335-364.
- 26. A.A.Talebi, H.Rashmanlou and S.H.Sadati, New concepts on m-polar interval-valued intuitionistic fuzzy graph, *TWMS J. App. and Eng. Math.*, 10 (3) (2020) 806-818.
- 27. L.A.Zadeh, Fuzzy sets, Information and Control, 8 (1965) 338-353.