Annals of Pure and Applied Mathematics Vol. 25, No. 2, 2022, 67-75 ISSN: 2279-087X (P), 2279-0888(online) Published on 25 April 2022 www.researchmathsci.org DOI: http://dx.doi.org/10.22457/apam.v25n2a02861

Annals of Pure and Applied <u>Mathematics</u>

Accurate and Connected Accurate Domination Polynomials of Graphs

Sridhara K.R¹ and Mallikarjun Basanna Kattimani²

 ¹Department of Mathematics, A.P.S. College of Engineering Bangalore – 560 082, India. Email: <u>sridharwi@gmail.com</u>
 ²Department of Mathematics, The Oxford College of Engineering Bangalore – 560 068, India. Email: <u>mallikarjunbk64@gmail.com</u>

Received 5 March 2022; accepted 22 April 2022

Abstract. Representation of a graph through polynomial equations has been done using different domination parameters. In this article, we introduce two domination polynomials called accurate domination polynomial (*ADP*) and connected accurate domination polynomial (*CADP*) of a graph G of order n. We denote *ADP* by $D_A(G, x)$ and *CADP* by $D_{CA}(G, x)$. We obtain *ADP* and *CADP* of some standard graphs.

Keywords: Domination, Accurate Domination, connected accurate domination, Accurate domination polynomial, connected accurate domination polynomial.

AMS Mathematics Subject Classification (2010): 05C30

1. Introduction

Graphs which are finite, non-trivial, undirected with neither loops nor multiple edges are taken into account in this paper. A Set *D* of a graph G = (V, E) is a dominating set of *G* if every vertex in V - D is adjacent to some vertex in *D*. The domination number $\gamma(G)$ is the minimum cardinality of a dominating set. For a survey on domination number $\gamma(G)$, we refer [1]. Accurate Dominating Set (*ADS*) is a dominating set such that V - D has no dominating set of cardinality |D|. The accurate domination number $\gamma_a(G)$ is the minimum cardinality of an *ADS*. An *ADS* is said to be a Connected Accurate Dominating Set (*CADS*) if the induced sub graph $\langle D \rangle$ is connected. The connected accurate domination number $\gamma_{ca}(G)$ is the minimum cardinality of a *CADS*. Both the domination parameters used in this article are introduced by Kulli and Kattimani [2,3].

A graph *G* is a complete graph with *n* vertices if there is an edge between every pair of vertices. We denote a complete graph by K_n . A bigraph (or bipartite graph) *G* is a graph whose vertex set *V* can be partitioned into two subsets V_1 and V_2 such that every edge of *G* joins a vertex in V_1 with a vertex in V_2 . If there is an edge between every vertex in V_1 with every vertex in V_2 , then the bipartite graph is called a complete bipartite graph and is usually denoted by $K_{m,n}$ with $|V_1| = m$ and $|V_2| = m$. A star is a complete bipartite graph $K_{1,n}$. For $n \ge 4$, the wheel graph W_n is defined to be the graph $K_1 + C_{n-1}$, where C_{n-1} is a cycle with n - 1 vertices. A bi-star is a tree obtained from the graph K_2 with end

vertices u and v by attaching m pendant edges to u and n pendant edges to v. A bi-star is denoted by B(m, n). For terminologies and notations, we refer [5].

Domination polynomial was initiated by Arocha et al. [3] and was developed later by Alikhani and Yee-hock Peng [4]. Inspired by the work in [4], we introduce accurate domination polynomial and connected accurate domination polynomial of a graph.

2. ADP and CADP of a graph

In this section, we introduce two new polynomials called Accurate Domination Polynomial and Connected Accurate Domination Polynomial as follows:

Definition 2.1. Let $d_A(G, i)$ be the total number of *ADS* of cardinality *i* of a simple connected graph *G*. Then *ADP*, $D_A(G, x)$ of a graph *G* is defined as follows:

$$D_A(G, x) = \sum_{i=\gamma_a(G)}^n d_A(G, i) x^i$$

The roots of the ADP are called accurate domination roots and we denote them by $Z(D_A(G, x))$.

Definition 2.2. Let $d_{CA}(G, i)$ be the total number *CADS* of cardinality *i* of a simple connected graph *G*. Then *CADP*, $D_{CA}(G, x)$ of a graph *G* is defined as follows:

$$D_{CA}(G,x) = \sum_{i=\gamma_{CA}(G)}^{n} d_{CA}(G,i) x^{i}$$

The roots of the *CADP* are called accurate domination roots and we denote them by $Z(D_{CA}(G, x))$.

Example 2.3. consider a graph, path P_5 with 5 vertices as in Figure 1.



Figure 1

The accurate domination number of the above graph is 3. But P_5 has 8 ADS with 3 vertices, 5 ADS with 4 vertices and 1 ADS with 5 elements. Thus $D_A(P_5, x) = 8x^3 + 5x^4 + x^5$. The accurate dominating roots are $Z(D_A(G, x)) = 0,0,0,-2.5 \pm 1.3228757 i$.

We note that $\gamma_{ca}(P_5) = 3$. But P_5 has 1 *CADS* with 3vertices, 2 *CADS* with 4 vertices and 1 *ADS* with 5 elements. Thus $D_{CA}(P_5, x) = x^3 + 2x^4 + x^5$. The accurate dominating roots are $Z(D_{CA}(G, x)) = 0,0,0,-1,-1$.

3. Main results

Theorem 3.1. *ADP* of a complete graph K_n is

$$D_A(K_n, x) = x^n + nC_{n-1}x^{n-1} + nC_{n-2}x^{n-2} + \dots + nC_{\gamma_a(K_n)}x^{\gamma_a(K_n)}$$

Proof: As $\gamma_a(G) = [n \div 2] + 1$, any set of vertices with $\langle \gamma_a(G) \rangle$ elements will not be an *ADS*. Thus the minimum power of x in *ADP* will be $\gamma_a(G)$. Further we can select

 nC_{n-1} number of ADS with (n-1) number of vertices, nC_{n-2} number of ADS with (n-2) number of vertices, and so on. The only ADS with n elements will be the vertex set of K_n itself.

Thus,

$$D_A(K_n, x) = x^n + nC_{n-1}x^{n-1} + nC_{n-2}x^{n-2} + \dots + nC_{\gamma_a(K_n)}x^{\gamma_a(K_n)}$$

Theorem 3.2. *CADP* of a complete graph K_n is

$$D_{CA}(K_n, x) = x^n + nC_{n-1}x^{n-1} + nC_{n-2}x^{n-2} + \dots + nC_{\gamma_{Ca}(K_n)}x^{\gamma_{Ca}(K_n)}.$$

Proof: As $\gamma_a(G) = \gamma_{ca}(G) = [n \div 2] + 1$ and every *ADS* is a *CADS* also, proof is similar to the above.

Theorem 3.3. For a path P_p with ≥ 5 , $D_{CA}(P_p, x) = x^p + 2x^{p-1} + x^{p-2}$.

Proof: Let P_p be a path with the vertex set $\{v_1, v_2, v_3, \dots, v_{p-1}, v_p\}$. We know

 $\gamma_{ca}(P_p) = p - 2$. The only *CADS* with (p - 2) vertices is $\{v_2, v_3, \dots, v_{p-1}\}$. The only two *CADS* of cardinality (p - 1) are $\{v_1, v_2, v_3, \dots, v_{p-1}\}$ and $\{v_2, v_3, \dots, v_{p-1}, v_p\}$. Further the only *CADS* of cardinality p is the vertex set itself. Thus,

$$D_{CA}(P_p, x) = x^p + 2x^{p-1} + x^{p-2}$$

Theorem 3.4. For a cycle C_n , *CADP* is $D_{CA}(C_n, x) = x^{n-2} + nx^{n-1} + nx^{n-2}$.

Proof: As every connected dominating set is a CADS also, result is valid.

Theorem 3.5. *ADP* of $K_{m,n}$ is

1)
$$D_A(K_{m,n}, x) = x^{2n} + 2nC_{2n-1}x^{2n-1} + 2nC_{2n-2}x^{2n-2} + \dots + 2nC_{n+1}x^{n+1}$$

if $m = n$.
2) $D_A(K_{m,n}, x) = x^m + (m+n)C_{m+1}x^{m+1} + (m+n)C_{m+2}x^{m+2} + \dots + x^{m+n}$
if $m < n$ and $n = m + 1$.
3) $D_A(K_{m,n}, x) = x^m + \{nC_1x^{m+1} + nC_2x^{m+2} + nC_3x^{m+3} + \dots + nC_{p-1}x^{m+p-1}\}$
 $+ (m+n)C_{m+p}x^{m+p} + \dots + x^{m+n}$

ifm < n, n = m + p and p > 1.

Proof: We consider the following 3 cases:

1) If m = n, then $\gamma_a(K_{m,n}) = m + 1$. Thus no vertex set with < (m + 1) vertices will be an *ADS*. We can select $2nC_{n+1}$ *ADS* with (n + 1) vertices, $2nC_{2n-1}$ *ADS* with (2n - 1) vertices, $2nC_{2n-2}$ *ADS* with (2n - 2) vertices and so on. Thus,

$$D_A(K_{m,n}, x) = x^{2n} + 2nC_{2n-1}x^{2n-1} + 2nC_{2n-2}x^{2n-2} + \dots + 2nC_{n+1}x^{n+1}.$$

2) If m < n, then $\gamma_a(K_{m,n}) = m$. Here we consider n = m + 1. We can select *m* vertices and one out of *n* vertices to have *ADS* of cardinality (m + 1), We

can select *m* vertices and two out of *n* vertices to have *ADS* of cardinality (m + 2) and so on. Thus

$$D_A(K_{m,n}, x) = x^m + (m+n)C_{m+1}x^{m+1} + (m+n)C_{m+2}x^{m+2} + \cdots + x^{m+n}$$

If m < n, then γ_a(K_{m,n}) = m. Here we consider n = m + p where p > 1. We can select m vertices and one out of n vertices to have ADS of cardinality (m + 1), We can select m vertices and two out of n vertices to have ADS of cardinality (m + 2) and so on. Further we can select n = m + p number of ADS in (m + n)C_{m+p} ways and so on. Thus,

$$D_A(K_{m,n}, x) = x^m + \{nC_1x^{m+1} + nC_2x^{m+2} + nC_3x^{m+3} + \dots + nC_{p-1}x^{m+p-1}\} + (m+n)C_{m+n}x^{m+p} + \dots + x^{m+n}$$

Corollary 3.6. For the star $K_{1,2}$, $D_A(K_{1,2}, x) = x + 3x^2 + x^3$.

Corollary 3.7. For a star $K_{1,n}$, where $n \ge 3$, and n = 1 + p,

$$D_A(K_{1,n}, x) = x + nC_1 x^2 + nC_2 x^3 + \dots + nC_{p-1} x^p + (n+1)C_{p+1} x^{p+1} + \dots + x^{n+1}.$$

Theorem 3.8. *CADP* of $K_{m,n}$, $2 \le m \le n$, is

$$D_{CA}(K_{m,n}, x) = nC_1 x^{m+1} + nC_2 x^{m+2} + nC_3 x^{m+3} + \dots + x^{m+n}$$

As $\gamma_{ca}(K_{m,n}) = m + 1$, minimum cardinality of a *CADS* is (m + 1). To get this *CADS*, we need to include all m vertices and one out of n vertices. Similarly to get a *CADS* of cardinality (m + 2), we need to include all m vertices and two out of n vertices and so on. The only *CADS* of cardinality (m + n) is the vertex set itself. Thus $D_{CA}(K_{m,n}, x) = {n \choose 1}_1 x^{m+1} + nC_2 x^{m+2} + nC_3 x^{m+3} + \dots + x^{m+n}$.

Corollary 3.9. For a star $K_{1,n}$, where ≥ 3 ,

$$D_{CA}(K_{1,n}, x) = nC_1 x^2 + nC_2 x^3 + \dots + nC_{n-1} x^n + x^{1+n}.$$

Lemma 3.10. For any bi-star B(m, n), $\gamma_a(B(m, n)) = 2$.

Theorem 3.11. For any bi- star $B(m, n) D_A(B(m, n), x) = x^2(1 + x)^{m+n}$.

Proof: Consider a bi-star B(m, n) as shown in Figure 2.



Figure 2

Here $\gamma_a(B(m, n))$ is 2 by Lemma 3.6. Hence the least power of x in accurate domination polynomial is x^2 . Any accurate dominating set of cardinality 3 will obviously include the vertices u and v and the third vertex should be selected out on m + n vertices. Thus the bistar has $(m + n)C_1$ number of accurate dominating sets of cardinality three. Similarly, we can select $(m + n)C_2$ number of accurate dominating sets of cardinality four and so on. Hence the *ADP* of a bi-star is

$$D_{a}(B(m,n),x) = x^{2} + {\binom{m+n}{1}}x^{3} + {\binom{m+n}{2}}x^{4} + \dots + x^{m+n+2}$$

= $x^{2}\left({\binom{m+n}{1}}x + {\binom{m+n}{2}}x^{2} + \dots + x^{m+n}\right)$
= $x^{2}(1+x)^{m+n}$.

Theorem 3.12. For any bi- star $B(m, n) D_{CA}(B(m, n), x) = x^2(1 + x)^{m+n}$.

Proof: As every *ADS* of a bi-star is a *CADS* also, result is obvious.

Theorem 3.13. Two isomorphic graphs will have same ADPs.

Proof: When two graphs are isomorphic, there will be a one- one correspondence between the vertices and edges of both the graphs and hence the result is obvious.

Remark 3.14. We shall illustrate, with two graphs G_1 and G_2 , as shown in the following figures 3 and 4, that the converse of the Theorem 3.9 is not always true. For both the graphs G_1 and G_2 , ADP is $D_A(G_1, x) = D_A(G_2, x) = x^5 + 5x^4 + 10x^3$. But graphs are not isomorphic as a vertex of degree 4 exists in one graph, not in the other.



Theorem 3.15. Let *G* be a healthy spider graph with 2m + 1 vertices which is constructed by the sub-division of each of the edges present in the star graph $K_{1,m}$ with $m \ge 3$. Then

$$D_A(G, x) = x^m + \binom{m+1}{1} x^{m+1} + \binom{m+1}{2} x^{m+2} + \dots + \binom{m+1}{m-1} x^{2m-1} + \binom{m+1}{1} x^{2m-1} x^{2m-1}$$

Proof: Consider a healthy spider with 2m + 1 number of vertices as shown in Figure 5.



Figure 5: Healthy spider graph

Let $A = \{v_1, v_2, v_3, \dots, v_{m-1}, v_m\}$ and $B = \{u_1, u_2, u_3, \dots, u_{m-1}, u_m\}$. We note that the graph has only one *ADS* namely *A* of cardinality *m*. Any *ADS* of cardinality (m + 1) will have all elements of set *A* and one element selected out of vertex set of $G - A = B + \{v\}$. Similar selection of vertices can get us *ADS* of cardinalities $(m + 2), (m + 3) \dots (2m - 1)$. *ADS* of cardinality 2m can be obtained in the following two ways:

- a) Select all vertices $\{v_1, v_2, v_3, \dots, v_{m-1}, v_m, u_1, u_2, u_3, \dots, u_{m-1}, u_m\}$ only one way of selection.
- b) Select all vertices of the set A, vertex v and (m-1) vertices selected out of set B. Selection can be done in $\binom{m}{m-1}$ ways.

Obviously, there is only one ADS of cardinality (2m + 1). Thus the ADP of a healthy spider G is:

$$D_A(G, x) = x^m + {\binom{m+1}{1}} x^{m+1} + {\binom{m+1}{2}} x^{m+2} + \dots + {\binom{m+1}{m-1}} x^{2m-1} + {\binom{m+1}{m-1}} x^{2m} + x^{2m+1}$$

Theorem 3.16. For a healthy spider *G*,

$$D_{CA}(G,x) = x^{m+1} + {\binom{m}{1}}x^{m+2} + {\binom{m}{2}}x^{m+3} + \dots + {\binom{m}{m-1}}x^{2m} + x^{2m+1}$$

Proof: We shall consider the healthy spider as shown in Figure 5. As usual, we shall take $A = \{v_1, v_2, v_3, \dots, v_{m-1}, v_m\}$ and $B = \{u_1, u_2, u_3, \dots, u_{m-1}, u_m\}$. *CADS* of minimum cardinality is (m + 1) and only such *CADS* is the set $C = \{v_1, v_2, v_3, \dots, v_{m-1}, v_m, v\}$. Any *CADS* of cardinality $\geq (m + 2)$ will have (m + 1) vertices of *C* and remaining vertices taken out of *B*. Thus,

$$D_{CA}(G,x) = x^{m+1} + \binom{m}{1} x^{m+2} + \binom{m}{2} x^{m+3} + \dots + \binom{m}{m-1} x^{2m} + x^{2m+1}.$$

Theorem 3.17. Let *G* be a wounded spider graphs having m + s + 1 vertices, which is constructed by the sub-division of *s* out of *m* edges present in the star graph $K_{1,m}$ with $m \ge 3$. Then

$$D_A(G, x) = x^m + {\binom{s+1}{1}} x^{m+1} + {\binom{s+1}{2}} x^{m+2} + \dots + {\binom{s+1}{s-1}} x^{m+s-1}$$

$$+\left(1+\binom{s}{s-1}\right)x^{m+s}+x^{m+s+1}$$

Proof: Consider a wounded spider as required in the statement of the theorem as shown in the Figure 6.



Figure 6: Wounded spider graph

Let $A = \{V_1, V_2, V_3 \dots V_s, V_{s+1}, V_{s+2}, \dots V_m\}, B = \{U_1, U_2, U_3 \dots U_s\}.$ We note that the graph has only one ADS namely set A of cardinality m. Any ADS of cardinality (m + 1) will have all elements of set A and one element selected out of vertex set of $G - A = B + \{v\}$. Similar selection of vertices can get us ADS of cardinalities $(m+2), (m+3) \dots (m+s-2)$. ADS of cardinality m+s can be obtained in the following two ways:

- Select all vertices $\{V_1, V_2, V_3 \dots V_s, V_{s+1}, V_{s+2}, \dots V_m, U_1, U_2, U_3 \dots U_s\}$ only one a) way of selection.
- Select all vertices of the set A, vertex v and (s 1) vertices selected out of set b) B. Selection can be done in $\binom{s}{s-1}$ ways.

Obviously, there is only one ADS of cardinality (m + s + 1). Thus the ADP of a wounded spider G is:

$$D_A(G, x) = x^m + {\binom{s+1}{1}} x^{m+1} + {\binom{s+1}{2}} x^{m+2} + \dots + {\binom{s+1}{s-1}} x^{m+s-1} + {\binom{1+{\binom{s}{s-1}}} x^{m+s} + x^{m+s+1}}.$$

Theorem 3.18. For a wounded spider *G* $D_{CA}(G, x) = x^{m+1} + {S \choose 1} x^{m+2} + {S \choose 2} x^{m+3} + \dots + {S \choose s-1} x^{m+s} + x^{m+s+1}.$ Proof: We shall consider a wounded spider as shown in Figure 6. As usual, we shall take $A = \{V_1, V_2, V_3 \dots V_s, V_{s+1}, V_{s+2}, \dots V_m\}, \quad B = \{U_1, U_2, U_3 \dots U_s\}. CADS$ of minimum cardinality is (m+1) and only such CADS is the set C = $\{V_1, V_2, V_3 \dots V_s, V_{s+1}, V_{s+2}, \dots V_m, V\}$. Any *CADS* of cardinality $\ge (m + 2)$ will have (m + 1) vertices of *C* and remaining vertices taken out of *B*. Thus

$$D_{CA}(G,x) = x^{m+1} + {\binom{s}{1}} x^{m+2} + {\binom{s}{2}} x^{m+3} + \dots + {\binom{s}{s-1}} x^{m+s} + x^{m+s+1}.$$

Theorem 3.19. For a connected graph of order *n*

i) $d_A(G,n) = 1$ *ii*) $d_A(G,i) = 0$ if $i < \gamma_a(G)$ or i > n. *iii*) $D_A(G,x)$ will not have a constant term. That is zero is a root of ADP.

Theorem 3.20. For a connected graph of order *n*

i) $d_{CA}(G,n) = 1$

ii) $d_{CA}(G,i) = 0$ *if* $i < \gamma_{ca}(G)$ or i > n.

iii) $D_{CA}(G, x)$ will not have a constant term. That is zero is a root of ADP.

4. Conclusion

ADP and *CADP* represent two new way in which we can represent a graph algebraically. We can find *ADP* and *CADP* of more standard graphs. We can also compare these two new polynomials obtained with other existing domination polynomials of the graph.

Acknowledgement. The authors are thankful to the reviewer for their comments and suggestions for improving the quality of this paper.

Conflict of interest. The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest.

Authors' Contributions. All the authors contributed equally to this work.

REFERENCES

- 1. V.R.Kulli, *Theory of Domination in graphs*, Vishwa International publications, Gulbarga, India (2010).
- 2. V.R.Kulli and M.B.Kattimani, *The accuarate domination in graphs* in V.R.Kulli, ed., Advances in Domination I, Vishwa International Publications, Gulbarga, India (2012),1-8.
- 3. V.R.Kulli and M.B.Kattimani, Connected accuarate domination in graphs, *Journal of Computer and Mathematical Sciences*, 6(12) (2015) 682-687.
- 4. S.Alikhani and Y.H.Peng, Introduction to domination polynomial of a graphs, *Ars Combinatoria*, 114 (2014) 257-266.
- 5. F.Haray, Graph Theory, Addison-Wesley, Reading- Mass (1969).
- 6. J.L.Arocha and B.Llano, Mean value for the matching and dominating polynomial, *Discuss. Math. Graph Theory*, 20(1) (2000) 57-69.
- 7. Dhananjaya Murthy B. V., N.D.Soner, Deepak G., Connected domination polynomial of a graph, *International Journal of Mathematical Archive*, 4(11) (2013) 90-96.
- P.M.Shivaswamy, N.D.Soner and A.Alwardi, Independent dominating polynomial in graphs, *International Journal of Scientific and Innovative Mathematical research*, 2(9) (2014) 757-763.
- 9. M.B.Kattimani and Sridhara K.R., Transitive domination polynomial of a graph, *Studies in Indian Place Names*, 70 (2020) 440-447.
- M.B.Kattimani and Sridhara K.R., Vertex polynomial of middle, line and total graphs of some standard graphs, *International Journal of Management and Humanities*, 4(8) (2020) 86-89.
- 11. D.K.Thakkar and N.P.Jamvecha, About ve-domination in graphs, *Annals of Pure and Applied Mathematics*, 14(2) (2017) 245-250.

- 12. P.S.Rani and R.Poovazhaki, Line set dominating set with reference to degree, *Annals of Pure and Applied Mathematics*, 14(1) (2017) 39-44.
- 13. K.S.P.Sowndarya and Y.I.Naidu, Perfect domination for bishops, kings and rooks graphs on square chessboard, *Annals of Pure and Applied Mathematics*, 18(1) (2018) 59-64.