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Abstract. In this paper we introduce relatively prime split geodetic set of a graph G. A set $S \subseteq V(G)$ is said to be relatively prime split geodetic set in $G$ if $S$ is a relatively prime geodetic set and $\langle V(G)-S>$ is disconnected. The relatively prime split geodetic set is denoted by $g_{r p s}(G)$ - set. The minimum cardinality of relatively prime split geodetic set is the relatively prime split geodetic number and it is denoted by $g_{r p s}(G)$.

Keywords: Geodetic set, geodetic number, prime split geodetic set, relatively prime, line graph.

AMS Mathematics Subject Classification (2010): 05C12

## 1. Introduction

By a graph $G=(V, E)$ we mean a finite, connected, undirected graph with neither loops nor multiple edges. The order $|V|$ and size $|E|$ of G are denoted by $p$ and $q$ respectively. For graph theoretic terminology we refer to West [7].

In a connected graph $G$, the distance between two vertices $x$ and $y$ is denoted by $d(x, y)$ and is defined as the length of a shortest $x-y$ path in $G$. If $e=\{u, v\}$ is an edge of a graph $G$ with $\operatorname{deg}(u)=1$ and $\operatorname{deg}(v)>1$, then we call $e$ a pendant edge, $u$ a pendent vertex and $v$ a support vertex. A set of vertices is said to be independent if no two vertices in it are adjacent. A vertex $v$ of $G$ is said to be an extreme vertex if the subgraph induced by its neighborhood is complete. For any set $S$ of points of $G$, the induced sub graph $<$ $S>$ is the maximal subgraph of $G$ with point set $S$. Thus two points of $S$ are adjacent in $<S>$ if and only if they are adjacent in $G$. An acyclic connected graph is called a tree. An $x-y$ path of length $d(x, y)$ is called geodesic. A vertex $v$ is said to lie on a geodesic $P$ if $v$ is an internal vertex of $P$. The closed interval $I[x, y]$, consists of $x, y$ and all vertices lying on some $x-y$ geodesic of $G$ and for a non empty $\operatorname{set} S \subseteq V(G), I[S]=$ $\cup_{x, y \in S} I[x, y]$.

A set $S \subseteq V(G)$ in a connected graph is a geodetic set of $G$ if $I[S]=V(G)$. The geodetic number of $G$ denoted by $g(G)$, is the minimum cardinality of a geodetic set of $G$. The
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geodetic number of a disconnected graph is the sum of the geodetic number of its components. A geodetic set of cardinality $g(G)$ is called $g(G)$ - set. Various concepts inspired by geodetic set are introduced in [1, 4]. The concept relatively prime domination was introduced by C. Jayasekaran et. al [5]. The relatively prime geodetic number of a graphs was introduced by C. Jayasekaran et. al [6]. In [7, 8], r- Relatively Prime sets were studied. In this paper we define relatively prime split geodetic number of graphs.

Definition 1.1. [3] The line graph $L(G)$ of a graph $G$ is the graph whose vertices are the edges of $G$ and two vertices in $L(G)$ are adjacent if the corresponding edges of $G$ are adjacent.

Definition 1.2. [5] A set $S \subseteq V(G)$ is said to be relatively prime dominating set of a graph $G$ if it is a dominating set of $G$ with at least two elements and for every pair of vertices $u$ and $v$ in $S$ such that $(\operatorname{degu}, \operatorname{deg} v)=1$. The minimum cardinatility of a relatively prime dominating set of $G$ is called relatively prime domination number of $G$ and it is denoted by $\gamma_{r p d}(G)$.

Definition 1.3. [8] A geodetic set $S$ of a graph $G=(V, E)$ is a split geodetic set if the induced subgraph $<V(G)-S>$ is disconnected. The split geodetic number $g_{s}(G)$ of $G$ is the minimum cardinality of a split geodetic set.

Definition 1.4. [2] The jewel graph $J_{n}$ is a graph with vertex set $V\left(J_{n}\right)=$ $\left\{u, x, v, y, v_{i} / 1 \leq i \leq n\right\}$ and $E\left(J_{n}\right)=\left\{u x, v x, u y, v y, x y, u v_{i}, v v_{i} / 1 \leq i \leq n\right\}$.

Definition 1.5. [9] The double fan $D F_{n}$ consists of two fan graph that have a common path. In other words $D F_{n}=P_{n}+\bar{K}_{2}$.

Definition 1.6. [2] The n-pan graph is the graph obtained by joining a cycle $C_{n}$ to a singleton graph $K_{1}$ with a bridge. It is denoted by $P_{n_{n}}$.
2. Some basic result

In this section we cite some results to be used in the sequel.
Theorem 2.1. [5] Each end vertices of a graph $G$ belongs to relatively prime geodetic set of G.

Theorem 2.2. [5] Each relatively prime geodetic set of a graph contains its extreme vertices.

Theorem 2.3. [5] For a star graph $K_{1, n}, g_{r p}\left(K_{1, n}\right)=\left\{\begin{array}{l}3 \text { for } n=2 \\ 0 \text { for } n \geq 2\end{array}\right.$.
Theorem 2.4. [5] For a bistar graph $B_{m, n}, g_{r p}\left(B_{m, n}\right)=\left\{\begin{array}{l}3 \text { for } m=n=1 \\ 0 \text { otherwise }\end{array}\right.$.
Theorem 2.5. [5] For a connected graph $G$ of order $n$ if $g_{r p}(G)$ exists, then $g(G) \leq$ $g_{r p}(G) \leq n$.
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Theorem 2.6. [5] For a wheel graph $W_{n}(n \geq 4), g_{r p}\left(W_{n}\right)=\left\{\begin{array}{l}4 \text { if } n=4 \\ 3 \text { if } n=5 \\ 0 \text { otherwise }\end{array}\right.$.

## 3. Relatively prime split geodetic number of a graph

Definition 3.1. A set $S \subseteq V(G)$ is said to be relatively prime split geodetic set in $G$ if $S$ is a relatively prime geodetic set and $\langle V(G)-S>$ is disconnected. The relatively prime split geodetic set is denoted by $g_{r p s}(G)$-set. The minimum cardinality of relatively prime split geodetic set is the relatively prime split geodetic number and it is denoted by $g_{r p s}(G)$.

Example 3.2. Consider the graph in figure 1. The set $S=\left\{v_{1}, v_{7}\right\}$ is a mini mum geodetic set and $S^{\prime}=\left\{v_{1}, v_{5}, v_{7}\right\}$ is a minimum relatively prime geodetic set. But $<V(G)-S^{\prime}>$ $=P_{4}$ is connected and hence $S^{\prime}$ cannot be a relatively prime split geodetic number. Now consider $S^{\prime \prime}=\left\{v_{1}, v_{4}, v_{5}, v_{7}\right\}$. Then $S^{\prime \prime}$ is a minimum relatively prime geodetic set and $<V(G)-S^{\prime \prime}>$ is disconnected. Here $S^{\prime \prime}$ is a relatively prime split geodetic set of $G$. Moreover it has the minimum cardinality with this property and hence $g_{r p s}(G)=4$.


Figure 1: $G$
Theorem 3.3. Let $G$ be a connected graph of order $n$. Then
(i) Each relatively prime split geodetic set of $G$ contains its extreme vertices.
(ii) Each end vertex of $G$ belongs to relatively prime spilt geodetic set of $G$.

Proof: Let $G$ be a connected graph of order $n$. By definition, each relatively prime split geodetic set is a relatively prime geodetic set.
(i) Hence by Theorem 2.1, each relatively prime split geodetic set of $G$ contains its extreme vertices.
(ii) Further by Theorem 2.2, each end vertex of $G$ belongs to relatively prime split geodetic set of $G$.

Theorem 3.4. For a connected graph $G$ of order $n$, if $g_{r p s}(G)$ exists, then $g(G) \leq$ $g_{r p}(G) \leq g_{r p s}(G)$.
Proof: Let $G$ be a connected graph of order $n$, such that $g_{r p s}(G)$ exists. Since every relatively prime split geodetic set is a relatively prime geodetic set, $g_{r p}(G) \leq g_{r p s}(G)$. By Theorem 2.5, $g(G) \leq g_{r p}(G)$. Thus, $g(G) \leq g_{r p}(G) \leq g_{r p s}(G)$.
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Remark 3.5. For the cycle graph $C_{n}$ of odd order $n$, $(n \geq 5), g\left(C_{n}\right)=g_{r p}\left(C_{n}\right)=$ $g_{\text {rps }}\left(C_{n}\right)=3$. Hence all the inequalities in Theorem 3.4 become sharp. Now consider the graph $G$ given in Figure 1. Here $S=\left\{v_{1}, v_{7}\right\}$ is a geodetic set of $G$ and of minimum order and so $g(G)=2 . S^{\prime}=\left\{v_{1}, v_{6}, v_{7}\right\}$ is a minimum relatively prime geodetic set of $G$ and so $g_{r p}(G)=3$. The set $S^{\prime \prime}=\left\{v_{1}, v_{4}, v_{6}, v_{7}\right\}$ is a minimum relatively prime split geodetic set of $G$ and so $g_{r p s}(G)=4$. Thus $g(G)<g_{r p}(G)<g_{r p s}(G)$ and hence all the inequalities in Theorem 3.4 become strict.

Theorem 3.6. For cycle $C_{n}$ of even order $n \geq 6, g_{r p s}\left(C_{n}\right)=3$.
Proof: Let $v_{1} v_{2} \ldots v_{n} v_{1}$ be the cycle $C_{n}$ of order $n$. Clearly $S=\left\{v_{i}, v_{i+\frac{n}{2}}\right\}$ where the suffices modulo $n$, is a minimum geodetic set of $C_{n}$ and hence $g\left(C_{n}\right)=2$. By definition, any relatively prime split geodetic set of $C_{n}$, must contain at least 3 vertices of $C_{n}$. Let $S^{\prime}=\left\{v_{i}, v_{i+1}, v_{i+\frac{n}{2}}\right\}$ where the suffices modulo $n$. Then $S^{\prime}$ is a geodetic set. Now $d\left(v_{i}, v_{i+1}\right)=1, d\left(v_{i}, v_{i+\frac{n}{2}}\right)=\frac{n}{2}$ and $d\left(v_{i+1}, v_{i+\frac{n}{2}}\right)=\frac{n}{2}-1$. Clearly $\left(1, \frac{n}{2}\right)=\left(1, \frac{n}{2}-\right.$ 1) $=\left(\frac{n}{2}, \frac{n}{2}-1\right)=1$. Also $<V(G)-S^{\prime}>=P_{\frac{n}{2}-2} \cup P_{\frac{n}{2}-1}$ which is disconnected. Therefore, $S^{\prime}$ is a minimum relatively prime split geodetic set of $C_{n}$ and hence, $g_{r p s}\left(C_{n}\right)=3$.

Note 3.7. For $n=4, g_{r p s}\left(C_{n}\right)=0$.
Theorem 3.8. For path graph $P_{n}$ of order $n, g_{r p s}(G)=3$ for $n \geq 6$ and $n \neq 7$.
Proof: Let $v_{1}, v_{2}, \ldots, v_{n}$ be a path $P_{n}$. Let $S$ be a minimum relatively prime geodetic set of $P_{n}$. By Theorem 2.1, the end vertices $v_{1}$ and $v_{n}$ must be in any relatively prime geodetic set and hence $v_{1}, v_{n} \in S$.

Case 1. $n$ is even
Subcase 1.1. $n=4$
To get relatively prime split geodetic set, we must add one more vertex to $S$. Then $S$ is either $\left\{v_{1}, v_{2}, v_{4}\right\}$ or $\left\{v_{1}, v_{3}, v_{4}\right\}$ and $\langle V(G)-S\rangle=K_{1}$ which is connected. Thus $g_{r p s}\left(P_{n}\right)=0$.
Subcase 1.2. $n \geq 6$
Clearly $S=\left\{v_{1}, v_{3}, v_{n}\right\}$ is a geodetic set and $d\left(v_{1}, v_{3}\right)=2, d\left(v_{1}, v_{n}\right)=n-1$ and $d\left(v_{3}, v_{n}\right)=n-3$. Since $n$ is even, both $n-1$ and $n-3$ are odd and hence $(2, n-1)=$ $(2, n-3)=(n-1, n-3)=1$. Also $\quad<V(G)-S\rangle=K_{1} \cup P_{n-4}$ which is disconnected. Therefore $S$ is a minimum relatively prime split geodetic set of $P_{n}$ and hence $g_{r p s}\left(P_{n}\right)=3$.

Case 2. $n$ is odd
Subcase 2.1. $n=3$
Clearly $S=\left\{v_{1}, v_{2}, v_{3}\right\}$ is the only relatively prime geodetic set and $V\left(P_{n}\right)-S=\phi$. Thus $g_{r p s}\left(P_{n}\right)=0$.
Subcase 2.2. $n=5$
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To get relatively prime split geodetic set, we must add one more vertex to $S$. Then $S=$ $\left\{v_{1}, v_{3}, v_{5}\right\}$ and $<V(G)-S>=K_{1} \cup K_{1}$ which is disconnected. Hence $S$ is a split geodetic set. For $v_{i}, v_{j} \in S$, we have $d\left(v_{i}, v_{j}\right)=2$ and therefore any two shortest distance between are not relatively prime. Hence it follows that $g_{r p s}\left(P_{n}\right)=0$.
Subcase 2.3. $n \geq 7$
Clearly $S=\left\{v_{1}, v_{i}, v_{n}\right\}$ where $i \equiv 0(\bmod 2)$ is a geodetic set and $d\left(v_{1}, v_{n}\right)=n-$ $1, d\left(v_{1}, v_{i}\right)=i-1, d\left(v_{i}, v_{n}\right)=n-i$. Since $n$ is odd, $n-1$ is even and also $i$ is even implies that both $i-1$ and $n-i$ are odd. This implies that $(n-1, i-1)=(n-$ $1, n-i)=(i-1, n-i)=1$. Also $<V(G)-S>=K_{i-2} \cup P_{n-i-1}$, which is disconnected. Therefore $S$ is a minimum relatively prime split geodetic set of $P_{n}$ and hence $g_{r p s}\left(P_{n}\right)=3$.

Theorem 3.9. For a connected graph $G$, if $g_{r p s}(G)$ exists, then $g_{r p s}(G) \geq 3$.
Proof: Let $S \subseteq V(G)$ be a minimum relatively prime split geodetic set of $G$. Then $S$ is a relatively prime geodetic set and hence by the definition $|S| \geq 3$. Hence $g_{r p s}(G) \geq 3$.

Theorem 3.10. For the jewel graph $J_{n}, g_{r p s}\left(J_{n}\right)=3$.
Proof: Consider the 4 cycle $u_{1} u_{2} u_{3} u_{4} u_{1}$. Join $u_{2}$ and $u_{4}$, new vertices $v_{i}, 1 \leq i \leq n$ and join $v_{i}$ to both $u_{1}$ and $u_{3}$. The resulting graph $G$ is the jewel graph $J_{n}$ with vertex set $V(G)=\left\{u_{1}, u_{2}, u_{3}, u_{4}, v_{i} / 1 \leq i \leq n\right\}$ and edge set
$E(G)=\left\{u_{1} u_{2}, u_{1} u_{3}, u_{1} u_{4}, u_{2} u_{3}, u_{2} u_{4}, u_{3} u_{4}, u_{1} v_{i}, u_{3} v_{i} / 1 \leq i \leq n\right\}$.
Clearly $S=\left\{u_{1}, u_{3}\right\}$ is a minimum geodetic set. By definition, any relatively prime split geodetic set must contain at least three vertices. Let $S^{\prime}=\left\{u_{1}, u_{3}, v_{i} / 1 \leq i \leq n\right\}$. Clearly $S^{\prime}$ is a geodetic set and $d\left(u_{1}, u_{3}\right)=2, d\left(u_{1}, v_{i}\right)=1, d\left(u_{3}, v_{i}\right)=1$ and $(2,1)=$ $(1,1)=1$. Also $<V(G)-S>=\bar{K}_{n}$ which is disconnected and hence $S^{\prime}$ is a minimum relatively prime split geodetic set of $J_{n}$. Thus $g_{r p s}\left(J_{n}\right)=3$.

Theorem 3.11. If $G$ is either complete graph $K_{n}$ or star graph $K_{1, n}$ or bistar graph $B_{m, n}$, then $g_{r p s}(G)=0$.
Proof: (i) We have $d(u, v)=1$ for any two vertices $u$ and $v$ in $K_{n},(n \geq 3)$. Let $S=$ $V\left(K_{n}\right)$. Clearly $S$ is the minimum relatively prime geodetic set of $K_{n}$ and hence $g_{r p}\left(K_{n}\right)=n$. Since $V\left(K_{n}\right)-S=\phi$, there is no relatively prime split geodetic set of $K_{n}$ and hence $g_{r p s}\left(K_{n}\right)=0$.
(ii) Let $v$ be the central vertex and $u_{i}, 1 \leq i \leq n$ be the vertices of $K_{1, n},(n \geq 2)$. Let $S$ be a minimum relatively prime geodetic set of $K_{1, n}$. By Theorem 2.3, $|S|=3$ for $n=2$. In this case $K_{1,2}=P_{3}$ and $V\left(K_{1,2}\right)-S=\phi$. This implies that $K_{1, n}$ has no relatively prime split geodetic set and hence $g_{r p s}\left(K_{1, n}\right)=0$.
(iii) Let $u_{0}$ and $v_{0}$ be the vertices of $P_{2}$. Let $u_{1}, u_{2}, \ldots, u_{m}$ be the vertices attached with $u_{0}$ and let $v_{1}, v_{2}, \ldots, v_{n}$ be the vertices attached with $v_{0}$. The resultant graph is a bistar graph $B_{m, n},(m, n \geq 1)$ with $V\left(B_{m, n}\right)=\left\{u_{0}, v_{0}, u_{i}, v_{i}, 1 \leq i \leq m, 1 \leq j \leq n\right\}$ and $E\left(B_{m, n}\right)=\left\{u_{0} v_{0}, u_{0} u_{i}, v_{0} v_{j}, 1 \leq i \leq m, 1 \leq j \leq n\right\}$. Let $S$ be a minimum relatively prime geodetic set of $B_{m, n}$. By Theorem 2.4, $|S|=3$ for $m=n=1$. In this case $B_{1,1}=$ $P_{4}$ and $<V\left(B_{m, n}\right)-S>=K_{1}$ which is connected and hence $B_{m, n}$ has no relatively prime split geodetic set. Thus $g_{r p s}\left(B_{m, n}\right)=0$.
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Theorem 3.12. For a wheel $W_{n}=K_{1}+C_{n-1}(n \geq 4)$,

$$
g_{r p s}\left(W_{n}\right)=\left\{\begin{array}{c}
3 \text { if } n=5 \\
0 \text { otherwise }
\end{array}\right.
$$

Proof: Let $v_{1} v_{2} \ldots v_{n-1} v_{1}$ be the outer cycle $C_{n-1}$ and $v$ be the central vertex of $W_{n}$. Then $d\left(v_{i}, v_{j}\right)=2$ for $1 \leq i \neq j \leq n-1$ and $\{i, j\} \neq\{1, n-1\}$. We consider the following cases.
Case 1. $n=4$
Clearly, $W_{4}=K_{4}$. By Theorem 3.11, $g_{r p s}\left(W_{4}\right)=0$.

## Case 2. $n=5$

Clearly $S=\left\{v_{1}, v_{3}\right\}$ is a minimum geodetic set. By definition, any relatively prime split geodetic set must contain at least three vertices. Let $S^{\prime}=\left\{v_{1}, v_{3}, v\right\}$. Then $S^{\prime}$ is a geodetic set. Now $d\left(v_{1}, v_{3}\right)=2, d\left(v_{1}, v\right)=1, d\left(v_{3}, v\right)=1$ and $(2,1)=(1,1)=1$. Also $<V\left(W_{n}\right)-S^{\prime}>=\bar{K}_{2}$ which is diconnected and hence $S^{\prime}$ is a minimum relatively prime split geodetic set. Therefore $g_{r p s}\left(W_{n}\right)=3$.
Case 3. $n \geq 6$
Any minimum geodetic set of $W_{n}$ is $S_{i}=\left\{v_{i}, v_{i+2}, v_{i+4}, \ldots, v_{i+\left(\left[\frac{n}{2}\right]-1\right) 2}\right\}$ and the subgraph $<V\left(W_{n}\right)-S_{i}>=K_{1,\left\lfloor\left.\frac{n+1}{2} \right\rvert\,\right.}$ which is connected. Let $S_{i}^{\prime}=$ $\left\{v_{i}, v_{i+2}, v_{i+4}, \ldots, v_{i+\left(\left[\frac{n}{2}\right]-1\right) 2}, v\right\}$. Then $S_{i}^{\prime}$ is a geodetic set and $<V\left(W_{n}\right)-S_{i}^{\prime}>=$ $\bar{K}_{\left[\frac{n+1}{2}\right]}$ which is disconnected. Now $d\left(v_{i}, v_{i+2}\right)=d\left(v_{i+2}, v_{i+4}\right)=\ldots=2$ and hence any two of these shortest distances are not relatively prime. This implies that $g_{r p s}\left(W_{n}\right)=0$. The result follows from cases 1, 2 and 3 .

Theorem 3.13. For a double fan graph $D F_{n}, g_{r p n s}\left(D F_{n}\right)=3$ if $n \geq 3$.
Proof: Let $v_{1} v_{2} \ldots v_{n}$ be a path. Add two vertices $u_{1}$ and $u_{2}$ which are adjacent to each $v_{i}, 1 \leq i \leq n$. The resultant graph is the double fan $D F_{n}$. Clearly
$V\left(D F_{n}\right)=\left\{v_{1}, v_{2}, \ldots, v_{n}, u_{1}, u_{2} \frac{-1}{1} \leq i \leq n\right\}, E\left(D F_{n}\right)=\left\{v_{i} v_{i+1} / 1 \leq i \leq n-1\right\} \cup$ $\left\{u_{1} v_{i}, u_{2} v_{i} / 1 \leq i \leq n\right\}$ and
$\left|V\left(D F_{n}\right)\right|=n+2,\left|E\left(D F_{n}\right)\right|=3 n-1$. In $D F_{n}, S=\left\{u_{1}, u_{2}\right\}$ is a minimum geodetic set. To get relatively prime split geodetic set we add one more vertices to $S$. Let $S^{\prime}=\left\{u_{1}, u_{2}, v_{i}\right\}$ where $2 \leq i \leq n-1$. Clearly $S^{\prime}$ is a geodetic set and $<V(G)-S^{\prime}>$ $=P_{n-i} \cup P_{i-1}$, which is disconnected. Now $d\left(u_{1}, u_{2}\right)=2, d\left(u_{1}, v_{1}\right)=$ $1, d\left(u_{2}, v_{1}\right)=1$ and $(1,2)=(1,1)=1$. Hence $S^{\prime}$ is a minimum relatively prime split geodetic set of $D F_{n}$. Thus $g_{r p n s}\left(D F_{n}\right)=3$.

Theorem 3.14. For a 1 - pan graph $P_{n_{1}}$ of even order $n \geq 4, g_{r p s}\left(P_{n_{1}}\right)=3$.
Proof: Let $v_{1} v_{2} \ldots v_{n} v_{1}$ be a cycle $C_{n}$ and let $K_{1}$ be the vertex $v$. Join $u$ with $v_{1}$, we get a 1-pan graph $P_{n_{1}}$. Clearly $V\left(P_{n_{1}}\right)=\left\{u, v_{1}\right\}$ and $E\left(P_{n_{1}}\right)=\left\{u v_{1}, v_{j} v_{j+1} / 1 \leq j \leq n\right\}$ where the suffices modulo $n$. In $P_{n_{1}}, S=\left\{u, v_{1+\frac{n}{2}}\right\}$ is a minimum geodetic set. To get relatively prime split geodetic set we add one more vertices to $S$. Let $S^{\prime}=\left\{u, v_{1}, v_{1+\frac{n}{2}}\right\}$. Clearly $S^{\prime}$ is a geodetic set and $<V(G)-S^{\prime}>=P_{\frac{n-2}{2}} \cup P_{\frac{n-2}{2}}$ which is disconnected.
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Now $\quad d\left(u, v_{1}\right)=1, d\left(u, v_{1+\frac{n}{2}}\right)=\frac{n}{2}+1, d\left(v_{1}, v_{1+\frac{n}{2}}\right)=\frac{n}{2}$ and $\left(1, \frac{n}{2}+1\right)=\left(1, \frac{n}{2}\right)=$ $\left(\frac{n}{2}+1, \frac{n}{2}\right)=1$. Hence $S^{\prime}$ is a minimum relatively prime split geodetic set of $P_{n_{1}}$. Thus $g_{r p s}\left(P_{n_{1}}\right)=3$.

Theorem 3.15. For a Dumbbell graph $D b_{n}, g_{r p s}\left(D b_{n}\right)=\left\{\begin{array}{c}3 \text { if } n \text { is even } \\ 0 \text { if } n \text { is odd }\end{array}\right.$.
Proof: The dumbbell graph $D b_{n}$ is obtained by joining two disjoint cycles $u_{1} u_{2} \ldots u_{n} u_{1}$ and $v_{1} v_{2} \ldots v_{n} v_{1}$ with an edge $u_{1} v_{1}$. Then the vertex set $V\left(D b_{n}\right)=$ $\left\{u_{i}, v_{i} / 1 \leq i \leq n\right\}$ and edge set $E\left(D b_{n}\right)=\left\{u_{1} v_{1}, u_{1} u_{n}, v_{1} v_{n}, u_{i} u_{i+1}, v_{i} v_{i+1} / 1 \leq\right.$ $i \leq n-1\}$. Clearly $D b_{n}$ has $2 n$ vertices and $2 n+1$ edges. Now we consider the following cases.
Case 1. $n$ is even
Clearly $S=\left\{u_{\frac{n}{2}+1}, v_{\frac{n}{2}+1}\right\}$ where the suffices modulo $n$, is a minimum geodetic set. By definition any relatively prime split geodetic set must contains at least three vertices. Let $S^{\prime}=\left\{u_{\frac{n}{2}+1}, u_{\frac{n}{2}-1}, v_{\frac{n}{2}+1}\right\}$ where the sufficies modulo $n$. Clearly $S^{\prime}$ is a geodetic set and $<$ $V(G)-S^{\prime}>\quad$ is disconnected. Now $d\left(u_{\frac{n}{2}+1}, u_{\frac{n}{2}-1}\right)=2, d\left(u_{\frac{n}{2}+1}, v_{\frac{n}{2}+1}\right)=$ $\left\lceil\frac{n+1}{2}\right\rceil, d\left(u_{\frac{n}{2}-1}, v_{\frac{n}{2}+1}\right)=\left\lfloor\frac{n-1}{2}\right\rceil$ and $\left(1,\left\lceil\frac{n+1}{2}\right\rceil\right)=\left(1,\left\lfloor\frac{n-1}{2}\right\rceil\right)^{2}=\left(\left\lceil\frac{n+1}{2}\right\rceil,\left\lfloor\frac{n-1}{2}\right\rceil\right)^{2}=1$.
Hence $S^{\prime}$ is a minimum relatively prime split geodetic set of $D b_{n}$. Thus $g_{r p s}\left(D b_{n}\right)=3$. Case 2. $n$ is odd

If $n=3$, then $S=\left\{u_{2}, u_{3}, v_{2}, v_{3}\right\}$ is a minimum geodetic set and $\langle V(G)-S\rangle=$ $K_{2}$ is connected and hence $g_{r p s}\left(D b_{n}\right)=0$.

Let $n \geq$. Clearly, $S=\left\{u_{\left\lceil\frac{n}{2}\right]}, u_{\left[\frac{n}{2}+1\right]}, v_{\left[\frac{n}{2}\right]}, v_{\left[\frac{n}{2}+1\right]}\right\}$ where the sufficies modulo $n$, is a minimum geodetic set and $<V(G)-S^{\prime}>$ is connected. To get relatively prime split geodetic set we must add one more vertex to $S$. Let $S^{\prime}=\left\{u_{\left[\frac{n}{2}\right]}, u_{\left[\frac{n}{2}+1\right.}, u_{1}, v_{\left[\frac{n}{2}\right]}, v_{\left[\frac{n}{2}+1\right]}\right\}$ where the sufficies modulo $n$, is a minimum geodetic set and $\left.<V(G)-S^{\prime}\right\rangle$ is disconnected.

Now $d\left(u_{\left\lceil\frac{n}{2}\right]}, u_{\left\lceil\frac{n}{2}+1\right]}\right)=1, d\left(u_{\left\lceil\frac{n}{2}\right\rceil}, u_{1}\right)=\left\lfloor\frac{n}{2}\right\rfloor, d\left(u_{\left\lceil\frac{n}{2}+1\right]}, u_{1}\right)=$ $\left\lfloor\frac{n}{2}\right\rfloor, d\left(v_{\left\lceil\frac{n}{2}\right\rceil}, v_{\left\lceil\frac{n}{2}+1\right\rceil}\right)=1, d\left(u_{1}, v_{\left\lceil\frac{n}{2}\right\rceil}\right)=\left\lceil\frac{n}{2}\right\rceil, d\left(u_{1}, v_{\left[\frac{n}{2}+1\right\rceil}\right)=\left\lceil\frac{n}{2}\right\rceil$ where $u_{i}, v_{j} \in S^{\prime}$ and hence the shortest distance between any two vertices in $S^{\prime}$ is either $\left\lceil\frac{n}{2}\right\rceil$ or $\left\lfloor\frac{n}{2}\right\rfloor$. It follows that $g_{r p s}\left(D b_{n}\right)=0$.

Theorem 3.16. For the complete bipartite $K_{m, n}$,

$$
g_{r p s}\left(K_{m, n}\right)=\left\{\begin{array}{c}
3 \text { if } m=2, n \geq 3 \text { or } m \geq 3, n=2 \\
0, \quad \text { otherwise }
\end{array}\right.
$$

Proof: Let $X=\left\{u_{1}, u_{2}, \ldots, u_{m}\right\}$ and $Y=\left\{v_{1}, v_{2}, \ldots, v_{n}\right\}$ be a partition of vertex set of $K_{m, n}$. Let $S$ be a minimum relatively prime split geodetic set. We consider the following cases.
Case $1 . m=1, n \geq 2$ or $n=1, m \geq 2$
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In both cases, the graph is a star graph. By Theorem 3.3(ii) the end vertices $\left\{v_{1}, v_{2}, \ldots, v_{n}\right\} \subseteq S$. Since $d\left(v_{1}, v_{2}\right)=2, d\left(v_{1}, v_{3}\right)=2$ and $\left(d\left(v_{1}, v_{2}\right), d\left(v_{1}, v_{3}\right)\right)=$ 2 , S cannot be a relatively prime split geodetic set of $K_{1, n}$. Thus $g_{r p s}\left(K_{1, n}\right)=0$.
Case 2. $m=n=2$
Then the graph $K_{2,2}$ is $C_{4}$. By Note 3.7, $g_{r p s}(G)=0$.

## Case 3. $m=2$ and $n \geq 3$ or $m \geq 3$ and $n=2$

Clearly $S=\left\{u_{1}, u_{2}\right\}$ is a minimum geodetic set and the subgraph $<V(G)-S>=$ $\bar{K}_{n}$ which is disconnected and hence $S$ is a split geodetic set. By defintion any relatively prime split geodetic set must contains atleast three vertices. Let $S^{\prime}=\left\{u_{1}, u_{2}, v_{k} / 1 \leq\right.$ $k \leq n\}$ is a minimum geodetic set and the subgraph $<V(G)-S>=\bar{K}_{n-1}$ which is disconnected and hence $S^{\prime}$ is a split geodetic set. Since $d\left(u_{1}, u_{2}\right)=2, d\left(u_{1}, v_{k}\right)=$ $1, d\left(u_{2}, v_{k}\right)=1$ and $(1,1)=(1,2)=1$. Hence $S^{\prime}$ be a minimum relatively prime split geodetic set of $K_{m, n}$. Similarly $S^{*}=\left\{u_{i}, v_{1}, v_{2} / 1 \leq i \leq m\right\}$ is a minimum relatively prime split geodetic set of $K_{m, n}$. Hence $g_{r p s}\left(K_{m, n}\right)=\left|S^{\prime}\right|=\left|S^{*}\right|=3$.
Case 4. $m, n \geq 3$
Here $S=\left\{u_{i}, u_{j}, v_{k}, v_{l}\right\}$ where $1 \leq i \neq j \leq m, 1 \leq k \neq l \leq n$ is a minimum geodetic set and the subgraph $<V(G)-S>=C_{4}$ which is connected. To get $<V(G)-$ $S>$ as disconnected, let $S^{\prime}=\left\{u_{1}, u_{2}, \ldots, u_{m}\right\}$. Then $S^{\prime}$ is a minimum geodetic set and the subgraph $<V(G)-S^{\prime}>=\bar{K}_{n}$ which is disconnected and hence $S^{\prime}$ is a split geodetic set. Since $d\left(u_{i}, u_{j}\right)=2$ where $u_{i}, u_{j} \in S^{\prime}, S^{\prime}$ is not relatively prime. Hence it follows that $g_{r p s}\left(K_{m, n}\right)=0$. The result follows from cases $1,2,3$ and 4 .

Theorem 3.17. For $m, n \geq 2$,

$$
g_{r p s}\left(P_{m}+P_{n}\right)=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
4 \text { if } m=3 \text { and } n \geq 3 \text { or } m \geq 3 \text { and } n=3 \\
0, \text { otherwise }
\end{array}\right.
$$

Proof: Let $P_{m}$ be the path $u_{1} u_{2} \ldots u_{m}$ and $P_{n}$ be the path $v_{1} v_{2} \ldots v_{n}$. Let $G$ be the graph $P_{m}+P_{n}$. Clearly $\quad V(G)=\left\{u_{i}, v_{j} / 1 \leq i \leq m, 1 \leq j \leq n\right\} \quad$ and $\quad E(G)=$ $\left\{u_{i} v_{j}, u_{i} u_{i+1}, v_{j} v_{j+1} / 1 \leq i \leq m, 1 \leq j \leq n\right\}$. Now we consider the following cases. Case $1 . m=n=2$.

Then the graph $P_{2}+P_{2}=K_{4}$. By Theorem 3.11, $g_{r p s}\left(P_{m}+P_{n}\right)=0$.
Case 2 . $m=2, n=3$ or $m=3, n=2$
Clearly $S=\left\{v_{1}, v_{3}\right\}$ is a minimum geodetic set. To get relatively prime split geodetic set, we must add one more vertex. Let $S^{\prime}=S \cup\{u\}$ where $u \in\left\{v_{1}, v_{3}, u_{2}\right\}$. Then $S^{\prime}$ is a minimum geodetic set and the subgraph $<V(G)-S^{\prime}>=K_{2}$ is connected. Hence $S^{\prime}$ cannot be a minimum relatively prime split geodetic set and $g_{r p s}\left(P_{m}+P_{n}\right)=0$.
Case 3 . $m=3$ and $n \geq 3$ or $m \geq 3$ and $n=3$.
Without loss of generality, let $m=3$ and $n \geq 3$. Clearly $S=\left\{u_{1}, u_{3}\right\}$ is a minimum geodetic set. To get relatively prime geodetic set, we must add one more vertex. Let $S_{i}^{\prime}=$ $\left\{u_{1}, u_{3}, v_{i} / 2 \leq i \leq n-1\right\}$. Then $S_{i}^{\prime}$ is a minimum geodetic set and the subgraph $<$ $V(G)-S_{i}^{\prime}>=P_{3}$ is connected. To get relatively prime split geodetic set, we must add one more vertex. Let $S_{i}^{\prime \prime}=\left\{u_{1}, u_{2}, u_{3}, v_{i} / 2 \leq i \leq n-1\right\}$. Then $S_{i}^{\prime \prime}$ is a minimum geodetic set and the subgraph $<V(G)-S_{i}^{\prime \prime}>=K_{1} \cup P_{i-1} \cup P_{m-i}$ is disconnected. Now

$$
d\left(u_{1}, u_{2}\right)=1, d\left(u_{1}, u_{3}\right)=2, d\left(u_{1}, v_{i}\right)=2, d\left(u_{2}, u_{3}\right)=1, d\left(u_{2}, v_{i}\right)=
$$
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$1, d\left(u_{3}, v_{i}\right)=1$ and $(1,1)=(1,2)=1$. Hence $S_{i}^{\prime \prime}$ is a minimum relatively prime split geodetic set. Then $g_{r p s}\left(P_{m}+P_{n}\right)=4$.
Case 4. $m \geq 4$ and $n \geq 1, n \neq 3$
Clearly $S=\left\{v_{1}, v_{3}, \ldots, v_{n-2}, v_{n}\right\}$ for $n$ is odd and $S=\left\{v_{1}, v_{3}, \ldots, v_{n-1}, v_{n}\right\}$ for $n$ is even is a minimum geodetic set of $P_{m}+P_{n}$. Here $d\left(v_{j}, v_{k}\right)=2$ where $v_{j}, v_{k} \in S$ and hence the shortest distance between any two vertices in $S$ is 2. It follows that $g_{r p s}\left(P_{m}+\right.$ $\left.P_{n}\right)=0$. The result follows from cases $1,2,3$ and 4.

Theorem 3.18. For $m, n \geq 1$,

$$
g_{r p s}\left(C_{m}+K_{n}\right)=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
m+n-2 \text { if } m=4 \text { and } n \geq 1 \\
0, \quad \text { otherwise }
\end{array}\right.
$$

Proof: Let $v_{1} v_{2} \ldots v_{m} v_{1}$ be the vertices of $C_{m}$. Let $u_{1}, u_{2}, \ldots, u_{n}$ be the vertices of $K_{n}$. Clearly $V\left(C_{m}+K_{n}\right)=\left\{v_{i}, u_{j} / 1 \leq i \leq m, 1 \leq j \leq n\right\} \quad$ and $\quad E\left(C_{m}+K_{n}\right)=$ $\left\{v_{i} u_{j}, v_{i} v_{i+1}, u_{i} u_{j} / 1 \leq i \leq m, 1 \leq j \leq n\right\}$. Now we consider the following cases. Case 1 . $m$ is even and $n \geq 1$
Subcase 1.1. $m=4$ and $n \geq 1$
For $1 \leq i \leq 4, S_{i}=\left\{v_{i}, v_{i+\frac{m}{2}}\right\}$ is a minimum geodetic set of $C_{4}+K_{n}$ and $<$ $V(G)-S_{i}>=K_{n+2}-\{e\}$ which is connected where $e=v_{i-1} v_{i+1}$. For $<V(G)-$ $S_{i}>$ to be disconnected, we must include all vertices of $K_{n}$. Let $S_{i}^{\prime}=$ $\left\{u_{1}, u_{2}, \ldots, u_{n}, v_{i}, v_{i+\frac{m}{2}}\right\}$. Then $S_{i}^{\prime}$ is a geodetic set and $<V(G)-S_{i}^{\prime}>=2 K_{1}$ which is disconnected. Now $d\left(u_{i}, u_{j}\right)=1, d\left(u_{i}, v_{i}\right)=1, d\left(u_{j}, v_{i+\frac{n}{2}}\right)=1, d\left(v_{i}, v_{i+\frac{n}{2}}\right)=2$ and $(1,2)=(1,1)=1$. Hence $S_{i}^{\prime}$ is a minimum relatively prime split geodetic set and so $g_{r p s}\left(C_{4}+K_{n}\right)=m+n-2$.
Subcase 1.2. $\mathrm{m} \geq 6$ and $n \geq 1$
Clearly $S_{i}=\left\{v_{1}, v_{3}, \ldots, v_{m-1}\right\}$ is a minimum geodetic set of $C_{m}+K_{n}$ and $<$ $V(G)-S_{i}>$ is connected. For $<V(G)-S_{i}>$ to be disconnected, we must include all vertices of $K_{n}$. Then $S_{i}^{\prime}=\left\{u_{1}, u_{2}, \ldots, u_{n}, v_{1}, v_{3}, \ldots, v_{m-1}\right\}$ is geodetic set and the subgraph $<V(G)-S_{i}^{\prime}>=(n-2) K_{1}$ which is disconnected. Now $d\left(v_{k}, v_{l}\right)=$ $2, d\left(u_{i}, v_{k}\right)=1, d\left(u_{i}, v_{l}\right)=1, d\left(u_{j}, v_{k}\right)=1, d\left(u_{j}, v_{l}\right)=1, d\left(u_{i}, u_{j}\right)=1$ where $v_{j}, v_{k} \in S_{i}^{\prime}$ and hence the shortest distance between any two vertices in $S_{i}^{\prime}$ is 2 . It follows that $g_{r p s}\left(C_{m}+K_{n}\right)=0$.
Case 2. $m$ is odd and $n \geq 1$
Subcase 2.1. $m=3$ and $n \geq 1$
Clearly $C_{m}+K_{n}=K_{m}+K_{n}=K_{m+n}$. By Theorem 3.11, $g_{r p s}\left(C_{m}+K_{n}\right)=0$.
Subcase 2.2. $m \geq 5$ and $n \geq 1$
Clearly $S_{i}=\left\{v_{1}, v_{3}, \ldots v_{m-2}, v_{m-1}\right\}$ is a minimum geodetic set of $C_{m}+K_{n}$ and $<$ $V(G)-S_{i}>$ is connected. For $<V(G)-S_{i}>$ to be disconnected, we must include all vertices of $K_{n}$. Then $S^{\prime}=\left\{u_{1}, u_{2}, \ldots, u_{n}, v_{1}, v_{3}, \ldots v_{m-2}, v_{m-1}\right\}$ is geodetic set and the subgraph $<V(G)-S_{i}^{\prime}>=(n-2) K_{1}$ which is disconnected. Now $d\left(v_{k}, v_{l}\right)=$ $2, d\left(u_{i}, v_{k}\right)=1, d\left(u_{i}, v_{l}\right)=1, d\left(u_{j}, v_{k}\right)=1, d\left(u_{j}, v_{l}\right)=1, d\left(u_{i}, u_{j}\right)=1$ where $v_{j}, v_{k} \in S_{i}^{\prime}$ and hence the shortest distance between any two vertices in $S_{i}^{\prime}$ is 2 . It follows that $g_{r p s}\left(C_{m}+K_{n}\right)=0$. The result follows from cases 1 and 2 .
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Theorem 3.19. For cycle $C_{n}$ of even order $n \geq 6, g_{r p s}\left(C_{n}\right)=\frac{n}{\alpha_{0}\left(C_{n}\right)}+1$ where $\alpha_{0}\left(C_{n}\right)$ is the vertex covering number of $G$.
Proof: Let $v_{1} v_{2} \ldots v_{n} v_{1}$ be the cycle $C_{n}$ of even order $n$ and let $\alpha_{0}\left(C_{n}\right)$ be the vertex covering number of $G$. Clearly $S=\left\{v_{i}, v_{i+\frac{n}{2}}\right\}$ is a minimum geodetic set of $C_{n}$. We have by Theorem 3.6, $g_{r p s}\left(C_{n}\right)=3$. Also vertex covering number $\alpha_{0}\left(C_{n}\right)=\frac{n}{2}$. Hence $g_{r p s}\left(C_{n}\right)=\frac{n}{\frac{n}{2}}+1=\frac{n}{\alpha_{0}\left(C_{n}\right)}+1$.

Theorem 3.20. Let $L\left(C_{n}\right)$ be the line graph of $C_{n}$ of even order $n$. Then $g_{r p s}\left(L\left(C_{n}\right)\right)=3$ for $n \geq 6$.
Proof: We have $L\left(C_{n}\right)=C_{n}$. The result follows from Theorem 3.6.
Theorem 3.21. Let $L\left(P_{n}\right)$ be the line graph of $P_{n}$. Then $g_{r p s}\left(L\left(P_{n}\right)\right)=3$ for $n \geq 9$.
Proof: We have $L\left(P_{n}\right)=P_{n-1}$. By Theorem 3.8, $g_{r p s}\left(L\left(P_{n}\right)\right)=g_{r p s}\left(P_{n-1}\right)=3$ for $n-1 \geq 8$ and hence $n \geq 9$.

Theorem 3.22. Let $L\left(K_{1, n}\right)$ be the line graph of $K_{1, n}$. Then $g_{r p s}\left(L\left(K_{1, n}\right)\right)=0$.
Proof: We have $L\left(K_{1, n}\right)=K_{n}$. By Theorem 3.11, $g_{r p s}\left(L\left(K_{1, n}\right)\right)=g_{r p s}\left(K_{n}\right)$ hence $L\left(K_{1, n}\right)=0$.

## 4. Conclusion

In this paper, we have found the relatively prime split geodetic number of some standard graphs like cycle graph, path graph, wheel graph, double fan graph, 1-pan graph, jewel graph, and complete bipartite graph.
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