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#### Abstract

In this paper, we study all kinds of open sets introduced in bi-generalized topological spaces, namely, $\mu_{(m, n)}$-semi open sets, $\mu_{(m, n)}$-pre open sets, $\mu_{(m, n)}$-regular open sets, $\mu_{(m, n)}-\alpha$-open sets, $\mu_{(m, n)}-\beta$-open sets, $\bar{\mu}_{(m, n)}$-open sets, $(m, n)$-open sets and quasi generalized open sets and investigate some of their properties. We choose $\mu_{(m, n)}{ }^{-}$ semi open set as the bases open set for our investigation and compare the relationships between the $\mu_{(m, n)}$-semi open sets and other open sets in this bi-generalized topological spaces.
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## 1. Introduction

Kelly [17] initiated the concept of bi-topological spaces (briefly, Bi-TS) in 1963 and thereafter many mathematicians generalized the topological ideas into bi-topological settings. Some open and closed sets in Bi-TS were defined by several authors [ $1,14,25,26,28,30]$. Császár [7] introduced the concept of generalized neighborhood systems and generalized topological spaces (briefly, GTS). Research in GTS is still a hot area of research in which researchers introduced several types of continuity, compactness, homogeneity, and sets are extended from ordinary topological spaces to include GTS. As a generalization of Bi-TS, Boonpok [4] introduced the concept of bi-generalized topological spaces (briefly, Bi-GTS) and studied ( $m, n$ )-closed sets and ( $m, n$ )-open sets in Bi-GTS. Also, several authors [3,8,11,12,16,23,27] further extended the concept of various types of closed sets in Bi-GTS.

In the literature, different types of open sets in Bi-GTS were defined by several authors [5,15,21]. Murugalingam and Gnanam [22] introduced the boundary set on Bi-GTS. Further, Sompong [29] defined the dense set in Bi-GTS. Zakari [32] defined the almost homeomorphism on Bi-GTS. Also, the authors [9,18] introduced the various types of continuous functions in Bi-GTS. Gnanam [13] introduced a new kind of connectedness in $B i-G T S$. In this Bi-GTS, separation axioms were defined by several authors [10,19,24,31]. Recently, Ghour [2] introduced certain covering properties and minimal sets in Bi-GTS.
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In this paper, we studied all kind of open sets introduced in Bi-GTS namely, $\mu_{(m, n)^{-}}$ semi open sets, $\mu_{(m, n)}$-pre open sets, $\mu_{(m, n)}$-regular open sets, $\mu_{(m, n)^{-}} \alpha$-open sets, $\mu_{(m, n)^{-}}$ $\beta$-open sets, $\bar{\mu}_{(m, n)}$-open sets, $(m, n)$-open sets and quasi generalized open sets and investigated some of their properties. Also we investigated the relationships between the $\mu_{(m, n)}$--semi open sets and other open sets in Bi-GTS.

## 2. Preliminaries

Definition 2.1. [7] Let $X$ be a non-empty set and let we denote $\mathcal{P}(X)$ be the power set of $X$. A subset $\mu$ of $\mathcal{P}(X)$ is said to be a generalized topology (briefly, $G T$ ) on $X$, if it satisfying the following axioms:
(1) $\emptyset \in \mu$.
(2) An arbitrary union of elements of $\mu$ belongs to $\mu$.

If $\mu$ is a $G T$ on $X$, then $(X, \mu)$ is called a generalized topological space (briefly, GTS). The elements of $\mu$ are called $\mu$-open sets and the complements of $\mu$-open sets are called $\mu$ closed sets.

Definition 2.2. [6] Let $(X, \mu)$ be a $G T S$ and $A \subseteq X$. Then, the $\mu$-interior of $A$, denoted by $\operatorname{int}_{\mu}(A)$, is the union of all $\mu$-open sets contained in $A$. The $\mu$-closure of $A$, denoted by $c l_{\mu}(A)$, is the intersection of all $\mu$-closed sets containing $A$.

Theorem 2.1. [6] Let $(X, \mu)$ be a $G T S$ and $A \subseteq X$. Then,
(1) $c l_{\mu}(A)=X-i n t_{\mu}(X-A)$.
(2) $\operatorname{int}_{\mu}(A)=X-c l_{\mu}(X-A)$.

Proposition 2.1. [20] Let $(X, \mu)$ be a $G T S$ and $A, B \subseteq X$. Then, the following properties holds:
(1) $c l_{\mu}(X-A)=X-\operatorname{int}_{\mu}(A)$ and $\operatorname{int}_{\mu}(X-A)=X-c l_{\mu}(A)$.
(2) If $(X-A) \in \mu$, then $c l_{\mu}(A)=A$ and if $A \in \mu$, then $\operatorname{int}_{\mu}(A)=A$.
(3) If $A \subseteq B$, then $c l_{\mu}(A) \subseteq c l_{\mu}(B)$ and $i n t_{\mu}(A) \subseteq \operatorname{int}_{\mu}(B)$.
(4) If $A \subseteq c l_{\mu}(A)$ and $i n t_{\mu}(A) \subseteq A$.
(5) $c l_{\mu}\left(c l_{\mu}(A)\right)=c l_{\mu}(A)$ and $\operatorname{int}_{\mu}\left(i n t_{\mu}(A)\right)=\operatorname{int}_{\mu}(A)$.

Definition 2.3. [6] A subset $A$ of a $G T S(X, \mu)$ is called
(1) $\mu$-regular open if $A=\operatorname{int_{\mu }}\left(c l_{\mu}(A)\right)$.
(2) $\mu$-pre open if $A \subseteq \operatorname{int}_{\mu}\left(c l_{\mu}(A)\right)$.
(3) $\mu$-semi open if $A \subseteq c l_{\mu}\left(\right.$ int $\left._{\mu}(A)\right)$.
(4) $\mu$ - $\alpha$-open if $A \subseteq \operatorname{int}_{\mu}\left(c l_{\mu}\left(\right.\right.$ int $\left.\left._{\mu}(A)\right)\right)$.
(5) $\mu$ - $\beta$-open if $A \subseteq c l_{\mu}\left(i n t_{\mu}\left(c l_{\mu}(A)\right)\right)$.

Definition 2.4. [4] Let $X$ be a non-empty set and $\mu_{1}, \mu_{2}$ be generalized topologies on $X$. The triple ( $X, \mu_{1}, \mu_{2}$ ) is said to be Bi-generalized topological space (briefly, Bi-GTS).
The elements of $\mu_{m}$ are called $\mu_{m}$-open sets, where $m \in\{1,2\}$.

Definition 2.5. [4] Let ( $X, \mu_{1}, \mu_{2}$ ) be a $B i-G T S$ and $A$ be a subset of $X$. Then, $\mu_{m}$-interior of $A$ with respect to $\mu_{m}$, denoted by int $_{\mu_{m}}(A)$, is the union of all $\mu_{m}$-open sets contained in $A$. The $\mu_{m}$-closure of $A$ with respect to $\mu_{m}$, denoted by $c l_{\mu_{m}}(A)$, is the intersection of all $\mu_{m}$-closed sets containing $A$.

## 3. Open sets in bi-generalized topological spaces

## 3.1. $\mu_{(m, n)}$-semi open sets

Definition 3.1. [4] Let ( $X, \mu_{1}, \mu_{2}$ ) be a $B i-G T S$ and $A$ be a subset of $X$. Then, $A$ is said to be a $\mu_{(m, n)}$-semi open set if $A \subseteq c l_{\mu_{n}}\left(\right.$ int $\left._{\mu_{m}}(A)\right)$, where $m, n \in\{1,2\}$ and $m \neq n$. The collection of all $\mu_{(m, n)}$-semi open sets is denoted by $\sigma_{(m, n)}(\mu)$.
Example 3.1. Let $X=\{a, b, c\}, \mu_{1}=\{\varnothing,\{a\},\{b\},\{a, b\}\}$ and $\mu_{2}=\{\varnothing,\{a\},\{c\},\{a, c\}\}$. Then, $\sigma_{(1,2)}(\mu)=\{\varnothing,\{a\},\{b\},\{a, b\}\}$.

Lemma 3.1. If $A$ and $B$ are $\mu_{(m, n)}$-semi open sets, then $A \cup B$ is a $\mu_{(m, n)}$-semi open set.
Proof: Suppose that $A$ and $B$ are $\mu_{(m, n)}$-semi open sets. Then, $A \subseteq c l_{\mu_{n}}\left(\right.$ int $\left._{\mu_{m}}(A)\right)$ and $B$ $\subseteq c l_{\mu_{n}}\left(\right.$ int $\left._{\mu_{m}}(B)\right)$. Since $c l_{\mu_{n}}\left(\right.$ int $\left._{\mu_{m}}(A)\right) \subseteq c l_{\mu_{n}}\left(\right.$ int $\left._{\mu_{m}}(A \cup B)\right)$ and $c l_{\mu_{n}}\left(\right.$ int $\left._{\mu_{m}}(B)\right) \subseteq$ $c l_{\mu_{n}}\left(i n t_{\mu_{m}}(A \cup B)\right)$, we get $A \subseteq c l_{\mu_{n}}\left(\right.$ int $\left._{\mu_{m}}(A \cup B)\right)$ and $B \subseteq c l_{\mu_{n}}\left(i n t_{\mu_{m}}(A \cup B)\right)$. Therefore, $A \cup B \subseteq c l_{\mu_{n}}\left(\right.$ int $\left._{\mu_{m}}(A \cup B)\right)$. Thus, $A \cup B$ is a $\mu_{(m, n)}$-semi open set.

Remark 3.1. If $A$ and $B$ are $\mu_{(m, n)}$-semi open sets, then in general, $A \cap B$ need not be a $\mu_{(m, n)}$-semi open set. This can be seen in the following example:

Example 3.2. Let $X=\{\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{b}, \mathrm{c}, \mathrm{d}\}, \mu_{1}=\{\emptyset,\{a\},\{b\},\{a, b\},\{a, c\},\{b, c\},\{a, b, c\}\}$ and $\mu_{2}=$ $\{\emptyset,\{a\},\{b\},\{d\},\{a, b\},\{a, d\},\{b, d\},\{a, b, d\}\}$. If $A=\{\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{c}\}, B=\{\mathrm{b}, \mathrm{c}\}$, then, $A \cap B=\{\mathrm{c}\}$ $\notin \sigma_{(1,2)}(\mu)$.

Proposition 3.1. Let $\left(X, \mu_{1}, \mu_{2}\right)$ be a $B i-G T S$ and $A$ be a subset of $X$. If $A$ is $\mu_{(m, n)}$-semi open set, then $c l_{\mu_{n}}(A)=c l_{\mu_{n}}\left(i n t_{\mu_{m}}(A)\right)$.
Proof: Suppose that $A$ is a $\mu_{(m, n)}$-semi open set. Then, $A \subseteq c l_{\mu_{n}}\left(\right.$ int $\left._{\mu_{m}}(A)\right)$. This implies that $c l_{\mu_{n}}(A) \subseteq c l_{\mu_{n}}\left(c l_{\mu_{n}}\left(\right.\right.$ int $\left.\left._{\mu_{m}}(A)\right)\right)=c l_{\mu_{n}}\left(\right.$ int $\left._{\mu_{m}}(A)\right)$ and so $c l_{\mu_{n}}(A) \subseteq c l_{\mu_{n}}\left(i n t_{\mu_{m}}(A)\right)$. Since $\left.\operatorname{int}_{\mu_{m}}(A)\right) \subseteq A$, we get $c l_{\mu_{n}}\left(\right.$ int $\left._{\mu_{m}}(A)\right) \subseteq c l_{\mu_{n}}(A)$. Thus, $c l_{\mu_{n}}(A)=c l_{\mu_{n}}\left(\right.$ int $\left._{\mu_{m}}(A)\right)$.

Theorem 3.3. Let $\left(X, \mu_{1}, \mu_{2}\right)$ be a $B i-G T S$. If $A \subseteq B \subseteq c l_{\mu_{n}}(A)$ and $A$ is $\mu_{(m, n)}$ - semi open set, then $B$ is a $\mu_{(m, n)}$-semi open set.
Proof: Suppose that $A$ is a $\mu_{(m, n)}$-semi open set. Then, by Proposition 3.1, we get $c l_{\mu_{n}}(A)$ $=c l_{\mu_{n}}\left(\right.$ int $\left._{\mu_{m}}(A)\right)$. So $B \subseteq c l_{\mu_{n}}\left(i n t_{\mu_{m}}(A)\right)$. Since $A \subseteq B$, we get $c l_{\mu_{n}}\left(\right.$ int $\left._{\mu_{m}}(A)\right) \subseteq$ $c l_{\mu_{n}}\left(i n t_{\mu_{m}}(B)\right)$. Therefore, $B \subseteq c l_{\mu_{n}}\left(\right.$ int $\left._{\mu_{m}}(B)\right)$. Thus, B is $\mu_{(m, n)}$-semi open set.

Proposition 3.2. Every $\mu_{m}$-open set in ( $X, \mu_{m}$ ) is a $\mu_{(m, n)}$-semi open set in ( $X, \mu_{1}, \mu_{2}$ ).
Proof: Suppose that $A$ is a $\mu_{m}$-open set. Then, $A=i n t_{\mu_{m}}(A)$. Since $A \subseteq c l_{\mu_{n}}(A)=$ $c l_{\mu_{n}}\left(i n t_{\mu_{m}}(A)\right)$, we get $A \subseteq c l_{\mu_{n}}\left(i n t_{\mu_{m}}(A)\right)$. Therefore, $A$ is $\mu_{(m, n)}$-semi open set in ( $X, \mu_{1}$, $\mu_{2}$ ).
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The converse of the above proposition need not be true in general. This can be seen in the following example:

Example 3.4. Let $X=\{a, b, c\}, \mu_{1}=\{\varnothing,\{a\},\{c\},\{a, c\}\}$ and $\mu_{2}=\{\varnothing,\{a, b\},\{c\}, X\}$. Where $\{\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{b}\}, X$ are $\mu_{(1,2)}$-semi open sets, but these are not $\mu_{1}$-open sets in $\left(X, \mu_{1}\right)$.

## 3.2. $\mu_{(m, n)}$-pre open set

Definition 3.2. $([4,15])$ Let $\left(X, \mu_{1}, \mu_{2}\right)$ be a Bi-GTS and $A$ be a subset of $X$. Then, $A$ is said to be a $\mu_{(m, n)}$-pre open set if $A \subseteq i n t_{\mu_{m}}\left(c l_{\mu_{n}}(A)\right)$, where $m, n \in\{1,2\}$ and $m \neq n$. The collection of all $\mu_{(m, n)}$-pre open sets is denoted by $\pi_{(m, n)}(\mu)$.

Example 3.5. Let $X=\{\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{b}, \mathrm{c}, \mathrm{d}\}, \mu_{1}=\{\emptyset,\{a\},\{b\},\{a, b\},\{a, c\},\{b, c\},\{a, b, c\}\}$ and $\mu_{2}=\{\varnothing,\{a\},\{b\},\{d\},\{a, b\},\{a, d\},\{b, d\},\{a, b, d\}\}$.Then, $\pi_{(1,2)}(\mu)=\{\varnothing,\{a\},\{b\},\{a, b\},\{a, c\},\{b, c\},\{a, b, c\}\}$.

Lemma 3.2. If $A$ and $B$ are $\mu_{(m, n)}$-pre open sets, then $A \cup B$ is $\mu_{(m, n)}$-pre open set.
Proof: Suppose that $A$ and $B$ are $\mu_{(m, n)}$-pre open sets. Then, $A \subseteq \operatorname{int}_{\mu_{m}}\left(c l_{\mu_{n}}(A)\right)$ and $B \subseteq$ $\operatorname{int}_{\mu_{m}}\left(c l_{\mu_{n}}(B)\right)$. Since $\operatorname{int}_{\mu_{m}}\left(c l_{\mu_{n}}(A)\right) \subseteq \operatorname{int}_{\mu_{m}}\left(c l_{\mu_{n}}(A \cup B)\right)$ and $\operatorname{int}_{\mu_{m}}\left(c l_{\mu_{n}}(B)\right) \subseteq$ $\operatorname{int}_{\mu_{m}}\left(c l_{\mu_{n}}(A \cup B)\right)$, we get $A \subseteq \operatorname{int}_{\mu_{m}}\left(c l_{\mu_{n}}(A \cup B)\right)$ and $B \subseteq \operatorname{int}_{\mu_{m}}\left(c l_{\mu_{n}}(A \cup B)\right)$. Therefore, $A \cup B \subseteq \operatorname{int}_{\mu_{m}}\left(c l_{\mu_{n}}(A \cup B)\right)$. Thus, $A \cup B$ is a $\mu_{(m, n)}$-pre open set.

Remark 3.2. If $A$ and $B$ are $\mu_{(m, n)}$-pre open sets, then in general, $A \cap B$ need not be a $\mu_{(m, n)}$-pre open set. This can be seen in the following example:

Example 3.6. Let $X=\{\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{b}, \mathrm{c}, \mathrm{d}\}, \mu_{1}=\{\varnothing,\{a\},\{b\},\{a, b\},\{a, c\},\{b, c\},\{a, b, c\}\}$ and $\mu_{2}=$ $\{\varnothing,\{a\},\{b\},\{d\},\{a, b\},\{a, d\},\{b, d\},\{a, b, d\}\}$. If $A=\{\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{c}\}, B=\{\mathrm{b}, \mathrm{c}\}$, then, $A \cap B=\{\mathrm{c}\}$ $\notin \pi_{(1,2)}(\mu)$.

Proposition 3.3. Every $\mu_{m}$-open set in $\left(X, \mu_{m}\right)$ is a $\mu_{(m, n)}$-pre open set in $\left(X, \mu_{1}, \mu_{2}\right)$.
Proof: Suppose that $A$ is a $\mu_{m}$-open set. Then, $A=\operatorname{int}_{\mu_{m}}(A)$. Since $A \subseteq c l_{\mu_{n}}(A)$, we get $\operatorname{int}_{\mu_{m}}(A) \subseteq \operatorname{int}_{\mu_{m}}\left(c l_{\mu_{n}}(A)\right)$. Therefore, $A \subseteq \operatorname{int}_{\mu_{m}}\left(c l_{\mu_{n}}(A)\right)$. Thus, $A$ is a $\mu_{(m, n)}$-pre open set in $\left(X, \mu_{1}, \mu_{2}\right)$.

The converse of the above proposition need not be true in general. This can be seen in the following example:

Example 3.7. Let $X=\{a, b, c\}, \mu_{1}=\{\emptyset,\{a, b\},\{a, c\}, X\}$ and $\mu_{2}=\{\varnothing,\{b\},\{c\},\{b, c\}\}$ where $\{\mathrm{b}\},\{\mathrm{c}\},\{\mathrm{b}, \mathrm{c}\}$ are $\mu_{(1,2)}$-pre open sets, but these are not $\mu_{1}$-open sets in $\left(X, \mu_{1}\right)$.

## 3.3. $\mu_{(m, n)}$-regular open sets

Definition 3.3. [4] Let $\left(X, \mu_{1}, \mu_{2}\right)$ be a Bi-GTS and $A$ be a subset of $X$. Then, $A$ is said to be a $\mu_{(m, n)}$-regular open set if $A=\operatorname{int}_{\mu_{m}}\left(c l_{\mu_{n}}(A)\right)$, where $m, n \in\{1,2\}$ and $m \neq n$. The collection of all $\mu_{(m, n)}$-regular open sets is denoted by $\gamma_{(\mathrm{m}, \mathrm{n})}(\mu)$.

Example 3.8. Let $X=\{a, b, c\}, \mu_{1}=\{\emptyset,\{a, b\},\{a, c\}, X\}$ and $\mu_{2}=\{\varnothing,\{b\},\{c\},\{b, c\}\}$. Then, $\gamma_{(1,2)}(\mu)=\{\varnothing,\{a, b\},\{a, c\}, X\}$.

Lemma 3.3. If $A$ and $B$ are $\mu_{(m, n)}$-regular open sets, then $A \cup B$ is a $\mu_{(m, n)}$-regular open set.
Proof: Suppose that $A$ and $B$ are $\mu_{(m, n)}$-regular open sets. Then, $A=i n t_{\mu_{m}}\left(c l_{\mu_{n}}(A)\right)$ and $B$ $=\operatorname{int}_{\mu_{m}}\left(c l_{\mu_{n}}(B)\right)$. Since $\operatorname{int}_{\mu_{m}}\left(c l_{\mu_{n}}(A)\right) \subseteq \operatorname{int}_{\mu_{m}}\left(c l_{\mu_{n}}(A \cup B)\right)$ and $\operatorname{int}_{\mu_{m}}\left(c l_{\mu_{n}}(B)\right) \subseteq$ $\operatorname{int}_{\mu_{m}}\left(c l_{\mu_{n}}(A \cup B)\right)$, we get $A \subseteq \operatorname{int}_{\mu_{m}}\left(c l_{\mu_{n}}(A \cup B)\right)$ and $B \subseteq \operatorname{int}_{\mu_{m}}\left(c l_{\mu_{n}}(A \cup B)\right)$. Therefore, $A \cup B \subseteq i n t_{\mu_{m}}\left(c l_{\mu_{n}}(A \cup B)\right)$. And it is clear that $\operatorname{int}_{\mu_{m}}\left(c l_{\mu_{n}}(A \cup B)\right) \subseteq A \cup B$. Therefore, $A \cup B=i n t_{\mu_{m}}\left(c l_{\mu_{n}}(A \cup B)\right)$. Thus, $A \cup B$ is a $\mu_{(m, n)}$-regular open set.

Remark 3.3. If $A$ and $B$ are $\mu_{(m, n)}$-regular open sets, then in general, $A \cap B$ need not be a $\mu_{(m, n)}$-regular open set. This can be seen in the following example:

Example 3.9. Let $X=\{a, b, c\}, \mu_{1}=\{\varnothing,\{a, b\},\{a, c\}, X\}$ and $\mu_{2}=\{\varnothing,\{b\},\{c\},\{b, c\}\}$. If $A$ $=\{\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{b}\}, B=\{\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{c}\}$, then, $A \cap B=\{\mathrm{a}\} \notin \gamma_{(1,2)}(\mu)$.

Proposition 3.4. let $A$ be a $\mu_{n}$-closed set in $\left(X, \mu_{n}\right)$. Then, $A$ is a $\mu_{(m, n)}$-regular open set in $\left(X, \mu_{1}, \mu_{2}\right)$ if and only if $A$ is a $\mu_{m}$-open set in $\left(X, \mu_{m}\right)$.
Proof: Suppose that $A$ is a $\mu_{(m, n)}$-regular open set. Then, $A=\operatorname{int} \mu_{\mu_{m}}\left(c l_{\mu_{n}}(A)\right)$. Since $A$ is $\mu_{n}$-closed set, we get $c l_{\mu_{n}}(A)=A$. Therefore, $A=\operatorname{int} t_{\mu_{m}}(A)$. Hence $A$ is a $\mu_{m}$-open set in ( $X, \mu_{m}$ ).

Conversely, suppose that $A$ is a $\mu_{m}$-open set. Then, $A=\operatorname{int} \mu_{\mu_{m}}(A)$. Since $A$ is $\mu_{n}$-closed set, we get $A=c l_{\mu_{n}}(A)$. Therefore, $A=\operatorname{int} \mu_{\mu_{m}}\left(c l_{\mu_{n}}(A)\right)$. Hence $A$ is a $\mu_{(m, n)}$-regular open set.

## 3.4. $\mu_{(m, n)}-\alpha$-open sets

Definition 3.4. [4] Let $\left(X, \mu_{1}, \mu_{2}\right)$ be a Bi-GTS and $A$ be a subset of $X$. Then, $A$ is said to be a $\mu_{(m, n)^{-}} \alpha$-open set if $A \subseteq \operatorname{int}_{\mu_{m}}\left(c l_{\mu_{n}}\left(\right.\right.$ int $\left.\left._{\mu_{m}}(A)\right)\right)$, where $m, n \in\{1,2\}$ and $m \neq n$. The collection of all $\mu_{(m, n)^{-}}$- -open sets is denoted by $\alpha_{(m, n)}(\mu)$.

Example 3.10. Let $X=\{a, b, c\}, \mu_{1}=\{\varnothing,\{a, b\},\{a, c\}, X\}$ and $\mu_{2}=\{\varnothing,\{a\},\{c\},\{a, c\}\}$. Then, $\alpha_{(1,2)}(\mu)=\{\varnothing,\{a, b\},\{a, c\}, X\}$.

Lemma 3.4. If $A$ and $B$ are $\mu_{(m, n)^{-}} \alpha$-open sets, then $A \cup B$ is a $\mu_{(m, n)^{-}} \alpha$-open set.
Proof: Suppose that $A$ and $B$ are $\mu_{(m, n)^{-}-\alpha \text {-open sets. Then, } A \subseteq i n t_{\mu_{m}}\left(c l_{\mu_{n}}\left(i n t_{\mu_{m}}(A)\right)\right)}$ and $B \subseteq \operatorname{int}_{\mu_{m}}\left(c l_{\mu_{n}}\left(\operatorname{int}_{\mu_{m}}(B)\right)\right)$. Since $\operatorname{int}_{\mu_{m}}\left(c l_{\mu_{n}}\left(\operatorname{int}_{\mu_{m}}(A)\right)\right) \subseteq \operatorname{int}_{\mu_{m}}\left(c l_{\mu_{n}}\left(\operatorname{int}_{\mu_{m}}(A \cup\right.\right.$ $B))$ ) and $\operatorname{int}_{\mu_{m}}\left(c l_{\mu_{n}}\left(\operatorname{int}_{\mu_{m}}(B)\right)\right) \subseteq \operatorname{int}_{\mu_{m}}\left(c l_{\mu_{n}}\left(\operatorname{int}_{\mu_{m}}(A \cup B)\right)\right)$, we get $A \subseteq$ $\operatorname{int}_{\mu_{m}}\left(c l_{\mu_{n}}\left(i n t_{\mu_{m}}(A \cup B)\right)\right)$ and $B \subseteq \operatorname{int}_{\mu_{m}}\left(c l_{\mu_{n}}\left(i n t_{\mu_{m}}(A \cup B)\right)\right)$. Therefore, $A \cup B \subseteq$ $\operatorname{int}_{\mu_{m}}\left(c l_{\mu_{n}}\left(\right.\right.$ int $\left.\left._{\mu_{m}}(A \cup B)\right)\right)$. Thus, $A \cup B$ is a $\mu_{(m, n)^{-}} \alpha$-open set.

Remark 3.4. If $A$ and $B$ are $\mu_{(m, n)^{-}}$-open sets, then in general, $A \cap B$ need not be a $\mu_{(m, n)^{-}}$ $\alpha$-open set. This can be seen in the following example:
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Example 3.11. Let $X=\{a, b, c\}, \mu_{1}=\{\emptyset,\{a, b\},\{a, c\}, X\}$ and $\mu_{2}=\{\emptyset,\{a\},\{c\},\{a, c\}\}$. If $A=\{\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{b}\}, B=\{\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{c}\}$, then, $A \cap B=\{\mathrm{a}\} \notin \alpha_{(1,2)}(\mu)$.

Proof: Suppose that $A$ is a $\mu_{m}$-open set. Then, $A=\operatorname{int}_{\mu_{m}}(A)$. Since $A \subseteq c l_{\mu_{n}}(A)=$ $c l_{\mu_{n}}\left(\right.$ int $\left._{\mu_{m}}(A)\right)$, we get $\operatorname{int}_{\mu_{m}}(A) \subseteq \operatorname{int}_{\mu_{m}}\left(c l_{\mu_{n}}\left(i n t_{\mu_{m}}(A)\right)\right)$. Therefore, $A \subseteq$ $\operatorname{int}_{\mu_{m}}\left(c l_{\mu_{n}}\left(\right.\right.$ int $\left.\left._{\mu_{m}}(A)\right)\right)$. Hence $A$ is a $\mu_{(m, n)^{-}} \alpha$-open set in $\left(X, \mu_{1}, \mu_{2}\right)$.

The converse of the above proposition need not be true in general. This can be seen in the following example:

Example 3.12. Let $X=\{a, b, c\}, \mu_{1}=\{\varnothing,\{a\},\{a, b\},\{b, c\}, X\}$ and $\mu_{2}=\{\varnothing,\{a, b\},\{a, c\}, X\}$. Then, $\alpha_{(1,2)}(\mu)=\{\varnothing,\{a\},\{a, b\},\{a, c\},\{b, c\}, X\}$. Therefore, $\{\mathrm{a}$, c\} is $\mu_{(1,2)}-\alpha$-open set, but this is not a $\mu_{1}$-open set in $\left(X, \mu_{1}\right)$.

## 3.5. $\mu_{(m, n)}-\beta$-open sets

Definition 3.5. [4] Let $\left(X, \mu_{1}, \mu_{2}\right)$ be a Bi-GTS and $A$ be a subset of $X$. Then, $A$ is said to be a $\mu_{(m, n)}-\beta$-open set if $A \subseteq c l_{\mu_{n}}\left(\right.$ int $\left._{\mu_{m}}\left(c l_{\mu_{n}}(A)\right)\right)$, where $m, n \in\{1,2\}$ and $m \neq n$. The collection of all $\mu_{(m, n)}-\beta$-open sets is denoted by $\beta_{(m, n)}(\mu)$.

Example 3.13. Let $X=\{\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{b}, \mathrm{c}, \mathrm{d}\}, \mu_{1}=\{\varnothing,\{a\},\{b\},\{a, b\},\{a, c\},\{b, c\},\{a, b, c\}\}$ and $\mu_{2}=$ $\{\emptyset,\{a\},\{b\},\{d\},\{a, b\},\{a, d\},\{b, d\},\{a, b, d\}\}$. Then, $\beta_{(1,2)}(\mu)=\{\varnothing,\{a\},\{b\},\{a, b\},\{a, c\},\{b, c\},\{a, b, c\}\}$.

Lemma 3.5. If $A$ and $B$ are $\mu_{(m, n)^{-}} \beta$-open sets, then $A \cup B$ is a $\mu_{(m, n)}-\beta$-open set.
Proof: Suppose that $A$ and $B$ are $\mu_{(m, n)}-\beta$-open sets. Then, $A \subseteq c l_{\mu_{n}}\left(i n t_{\mu_{m}}\left(c l_{\mu_{n}}(A)\right)\right)$ and $B \subseteq c l_{\mu_{n}}\left(\operatorname{int}_{\mu_{m}}\left(c l_{\mu_{n}}(B)\right)\right)$. Since $c l_{\mu_{n}}\left(\operatorname{int}_{\mu_{m}}\left(c l_{\mu_{n}}(A)\right)\right) \subseteq c l_{\mu_{n}}\left(i n t_{\mu_{m}}\left(c l_{\mu_{n}}(A \cup B)\right)\right)$ and $c l_{\mu_{n}}\left(\operatorname{int}_{\mu_{m}}\left(c l_{\mu_{n}}(B)\right)\right) \subseteq c l_{\mu_{n}}\left(\operatorname{int}_{\mu_{m}}\left(c l_{\mu_{n}}(A \cup B)\right)\right)$, we get $A \subseteq c l_{\mu_{n}}\left(i n t_{\mu_{m}}\left(c l_{\mu_{n}}(A \cup B)\right)\right)$ and $B \subseteq c l_{\mu_{n}}\left(i n t_{\mu_{m}}\left(c l_{\mu_{n}}(A \cup B)\right)\right)$. Therefore, $A \cup B \subseteq c l_{\mu_{n}}\left(i n t_{\mu_{m}}\left(c l_{\mu_{n}}(A \cup B)\right)\right)$. Thus, $A$ $\cup B$ is a $\mu_{(m, n)}-\beta$-open set.

Remark 3.5. If $A$ and $B$ are $\mu_{(m, n)}-\beta$-open sets, then in general, $A \cap B$ need not be a $\mu_{(m, n)^{-}}$ $\beta$-open set. This can be seen in the following example:

Example 3.14. Let $X=\{\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{b}, \mathrm{c}, \mathrm{d}\}, \mu_{1}=\{\emptyset,\{a\},\{b\},\{a, b\},\{a, c\},\{b, c\},\{a, b, c\}\}$ and $\mu_{2}=$ $\{\varnothing,\{a\},\{b\},\{d\},\{a, b\},\{a, d\},\{b, d\},\{a, b, d\}\}$. If $A=\{\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{c}\}, B=\{\mathrm{b}, \mathrm{c}\}$, then, $A \cap B=\{\mathrm{c}\}$ $\notin \beta_{(1,2)}(\mu)$.

Proposition 3.6. Every $\mu_{m}$-open set in $\left(X, \mu_{m}\right)$ is a $\mu_{(m, n)}-\beta$-open set in $\left(X, \mu_{1}, \mu_{2}\right)$.
Proof: Suppose that $A$ is a $\mu_{m}$-open set. Then, $A=\operatorname{int}_{\mu_{m}}(A)$. Since $A \subseteq c l_{\mu_{n}}(A)$, we get $A$ $\left.=\operatorname{int}_{\mu_{m}}(A)\right) \subseteq \operatorname{int}_{\mu_{m}}\left(c l_{\mu_{n}}(A)\right)$, So $c l_{\mu_{n}}(A) \subseteq c l_{\mu_{n}}\left(\operatorname{int}_{\mu_{m}}\left(c l_{\mu_{n}}(A)\right)\right)$. Therefore, $A \subseteq$ $c l_{\mu_{n}}\left(\right.$ int $\left._{\mu_{m}}\left(c l_{\mu_{n}}(A)\right)\right)$. Hence $A$ is a $\mu_{(m, n)}-\beta$-open set in $\left(X, \mu_{1}, \mu_{2}\right)$.
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The converse of the above proposition need not be true in general. This can be seen in the following example:

Example 3.15. Let $X=\{a, b, c\}, \mu_{1}=\{\emptyset,\{a\},\{c\},\{a, c\}\}$ and $\mu_{2}=\{\emptyset,\{a, b\},\{c\}, X\}$. Then, $\beta_{(1,2)}(\mu)=\{\emptyset,\{a\},\{b\},\{c\},\{a, b\},\{a, c\},\{b, c\}, X\}$. Therefore, $\{\mathrm{b}\},\{\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{b}\},\{\mathrm{b}, \mathrm{c}\}, \mathrm{X}$ are $\mu_{(1,2)^{-}} \beta$-open sets, but these are not $\mu_{1}$-open sets in (X, $\mu_{1}$ ).

## 3.6. $\bar{\mu}_{(m, n)}$-open sets

Definition 3.6. [5] Let $\left(X, \mu_{1}, \mu_{2}\right)$ be a Bi-GTS and $A$ be a subset of $X$. Then, $A$ is said to be a $\bar{\mu}_{(m, n)}$-open set if there exists a $\mu_{m}$-open set $U$ of $X$ such that $U \subseteq A \subseteq c l_{s_{\mu_{n}}}(U)$, where $c l_{s_{\mu_{n}}}(U)$ is the intersection of all $\mu_{n}$-semi closed sets containing $U$. That is, the smallest $\mu_{n}$-semi closed set containing $U$, where $m, n \in\{1,2\}$ and $m \neq n$.

Example 3.16. Let $X=\{a, b, c\}, \mu_{1}=\{\varnothing,\{a\},\{c\},\{a, c\}\}$ and $\mu_{2}=\{\emptyset,\{a, b\},\{c\}, X\}$. Then, $\varnothing,\{a\},\{c\},\{a, c\},\{a, b\}, X$ are $\bar{\mu}_{(1,2)}$-open sets.

Lemma 3.6. If $A$ and $B$ are $\bar{\mu}_{(m, n)}$-open sets, then $A \cup B$ is $\bar{\mu}_{(m, n)}$-open set.
Proof: Suppose that $A$ and $B$ are $\bar{\mu}_{(m, n)}$-open sets. Then, there exists a $\mu_{m}$-open set $U$ of $X$ such that $U \subseteq A \subseteq c l_{s_{\mu_{n}}}(U)$ and $U \subseteq B \subseteq c l_{s_{\mu_{n}}}(U)$. This implies that $U \subseteq A \cup B \subseteq c l_{s_{\mu_{n}}}(U)$. Thus, $A \cup B$ is a $\bar{\mu}_{(m, n)}$-open set.

Remark 3.6. If $A$ and $B$ are $\bar{\mu}_{(m, n)^{-}}$open sets, then in general, $A \cap B$ need not be a $\bar{\mu}_{(m, n)^{-}}$ open set. This can be seen in the following example:

Example 3.17. Let $X=\{a, b, c\}, \mu_{1}=\{\emptyset,\{a, b\},\{b, c\}, X\}$ and $\mu_{2}=\{\varnothing,\{b\},\{c\},\{b, c\}\}$. If $A=\{\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{b}\}, B=\{\mathrm{b}, \mathrm{c}\}$, then, $A \cap B=\{\mathrm{b}\} \notin \bar{\mu}_{(1,2)}$-open set.

Theorem 3.18. Let $\left(X, \mu_{1}, \mu_{2}\right)$ be a Bi-GTS and $A$ be a subset of $X$. Then, $A$ is said to be a $\bar{\mu}_{(m, n)}$-open set if and only if $A \subseteq c l_{s_{\mu_{n}}}\left(i n t_{\mu_{m}}(A)\right)$.
Proof: Let $A$ be a $\bar{\mu}_{(m, n)}$-open set. Then, there exists a $\mu_{m}$-open set $U$ such that $U \subseteq A \subseteq$ $c l_{s_{\mu_{n}}}(U)$. Since $U$ is $\mu_{m}$-open set, we get $U=i n t_{\mu_{m}}(U) \subseteq i n t_{\mu_{m}}(A)$. This implies that $A \subseteq$ $c l_{s_{\mu_{n}}}(U) \subseteq c l_{s_{\mu_{n}}}\left(\right.$ int $\left._{\mu_{m}}(A)\right)$. Thus, $A \subseteq c l_{s_{\mu_{n}}}\left(\right.$ int $\left._{\mu_{m}}(A)\right)$.

Conversely, let $A \subseteq c l_{s_{\mu_{n}}}\left(\operatorname{int}_{\mu_{m}}(A)\right)$ and take $U=\operatorname{int}_{\mu_{m}}(A)$. Then, $\operatorname{int}_{\mu_{m}}(A) \subseteq A \subseteq$ $c l_{s_{\mu_{n}}}\left(\right.$ int $\left._{\mu_{m}}(A)\right)$. Hence $A$ is $\bar{\mu}_{(m, n)}$-open set.

Proposition 3.7. Every $\mu_{m}$-open set in $\left(X, \mu_{m}\right)$ is a $\bar{\mu}_{(m, n)}$-open set in $\left(X, \mu_{1}, \mu_{2}\right)$.
Proof: Suppose that $A$ is a $\mu_{m}$-open set. Then, $A=\operatorname{int}_{\mu_{m}}(A)$. Since $A \subseteq c l_{s_{\mu_{n}}}(A)$, we get $A$ $\subseteq c l_{s_{\mu_{n}}}\left(\right.$ int $\left._{\mu_{m}}(A)\right)$. Therefore, by Theorem 3.18, we get $A$ is $\bar{\mu}_{(m, n)}$-open set in $\left(X, \mu_{1}, \mu_{2}\right)$.

The converse of the above proposition need not be true in general. This can be seen in the following example:
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Example 3.19. Let $X=\{a, b, c\}, \mu_{1}=\{\varnothing,\{a\},\{c\},\{a, c\}\}$ and $\mu_{2}=\{\varnothing,\{a, b\},\{a\}, X\}$. Then, $\{\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{b}\}, X$ are $\bar{\mu}_{(1,2)}$-open sets, but these are not $\mu_{1}$-open sets in $\left(X, \mu_{1}\right)$.

## 3.7. ( $m, n$ )-open sets

Definition 3.7. [4] Let $\left(X, \mu_{1}, \mu_{2}\right)$ be a Bi-GTS and $A$ be a subset of $X$. Then, $A$ is said to be a ( $m, n$ )-open set if $A=\operatorname{int}_{\mu_{m}}\left(\right.$ int $\left._{\mu_{n}}(A)\right)$, where $m, n \in\{1,2\}$ and $m \neq n$.

Example 3.20. Let $X=\{a, b, c\}, \mu_{1}=\{\varnothing,\{b\},\{a, b\}\}$ and $\mu_{2}=\{\varnothing,\{a, b\},\{c\}, X\}$. Then, (1, 2)-open set is $\{a, b\}$.

Lemma 3.7. If $A$ and $B$ are ( $m, n$ )-open sets, then $A \cup B$ is a ( $m, n$ )-open set.
Proof: Suppose that $A$ and $B$ are ( $m, n$ )-open sets. Then, $A=\operatorname{int}_{\mu_{m}}\left(\right.$ int $\left._{\mu_{n}}(A)\right)$ and $B=$ $\operatorname{int}_{\mu_{m}}\left(\operatorname{int}_{\mu_{n}}(B)\right)$. Since $\operatorname{int}_{\mu_{m}}\left(\operatorname{int}_{\mu_{n}}(A)\right) \subseteq \operatorname{int}_{\mu_{m}}\left(\operatorname{int}_{\mu_{n}}(A \cup B)\right)$ and $\operatorname{int}_{\mu_{m}}\left(\operatorname{int}_{\mu_{n}}(B)\right) \subseteq$ $\operatorname{int}_{\mu_{m}}\left(\right.$ int $_{\mu_{n}}(A \cup B)$ ), we get $A \subseteq \operatorname{int}_{\mu_{m}}\left(\right.$ int $\left._{\mu_{n}}(A \cup B)\right)$ and $B \subseteq \operatorname{int}_{\mu_{m}}\left(\operatorname{int}_{\mu_{n}}(A \cup B)\right)$. Then, $A \cup B \subseteq \operatorname{int}_{\mu_{m}}\left(\operatorname{int}_{\mu_{n}}(A \cup B)\right)$. Since $\operatorname{int}_{\mu_{n}}(A \cup B) \subseteq A \cup B$, we get $\operatorname{int}_{\mu_{m}}\left(\right.$ int $_{\mu_{n}}(A$ $\cup B)) \subseteq \operatorname{int}_{\mu_{m}}(A \cup B) \subseteq A \cup B$. Therefore, $A \cup B=\operatorname{int}_{\mu_{m}}\left(\operatorname{int}_{\mu_{n}}(A \cup B)\right)$. Thus, $A \cup B$ is a $(m, n)$-open set.

Remark 3.7. If $A$ and $B$ are ( $m, n$ )-open sets, then in general, $A \cap B$ need not be a $(m, n)$ open set. This can be seen in the following example:

Example 3.21. Let $X=\{a, b, c\}, \mu_{1}=\{\varnothing,\{a, b\},\{b, c\}, X\}$ and $\mu_{2}=\{\varnothing,\{a, b\},\{b, c\}, X\}$. If $A=\{a, b\}, B=\{b, c\}$, then, $A \cap B=\{\mathrm{b}\} \notin(1,2)$-open set.

Proposition 3.8. let $A$ be a subset of a $\operatorname{Bi-GTS}\left(X, \mu_{1}, \mu_{2}\right)$ and $A$ is $\mu_{n}$-open set in $\left(X, \mu_{n}\right)$. Then, $A$ is a $(m, n)$-open set in $\left(X, \mu_{1}, \mu_{2}\right)$ if and only if $A$ is a $\mu_{m}$-open set in $\left(X, \mu_{m}\right)$.
Proof: Suppose that $A$ is a $(m, n)$-open set. Then, $A=i n t_{\mu_{m}}\left(\right.$ int $\left._{\mu_{n}}(A)\right)$. Since $A$ is $\mu_{n}$-open set, we get $A=i n t_{\mu_{n}}(A)$. This implies that $A=i n t_{\mu_{m}}(A)$. Hence $A$ is a $\mu_{m}$-open set in $(X$, $\mu_{m}$ ).

Conversely, suppose that $A$ is a $\mu_{m}$-open set. Then, $A=\operatorname{int} t_{\mu_{m}}(A)$. Since $A$ is $\mu_{n}$-open set, we get $A=\operatorname{int}_{\mu_{n}}(A)$. This implies that $A=\operatorname{int}_{\mu_{m}}\left(\operatorname{int}_{\mu_{n}}(A)\right)$. Hence $A$ is a $(m, n)$-open set.

### 3.8. Quasi generalized open sets

Definition 3.8. [21] Let $\left(X, \mu_{1}, \mu_{2}\right)$ be a Bi-GTS and $A$ be a subset of $X$. Then, $A$ is said to be a quasi generalized open set (briefly, $q_{\mu}$-open set) if for every $x \in A$, then there exist a $\mu_{1}$-open set $U$ such that $x \in U \subseteq A$, or a $\mu_{2}$-open set $V$ such that $x \in V \subseteq A$.

Example 3.22. Let $X=\{\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{b}, \mathrm{c}, \mathrm{d}\}, \mu_{1}=\{\emptyset,\{a, b\}\}$ and $\mu_{2}=\{\varnothing,\{a, c\}\}$. Then, $q_{\mu}$-open set is $\{a, b, c\}$.

Lemma 3.8. If $A$ and $B$ are $q_{\mu}$-open sets, then $A \cup B$ is a $q_{\mu}$-open set.
Proof: Suppose that $A$ and $B$ are $q_{\mu}$-open sets. Then, for every $x \in A$ and $x \in B$, then there exist a $\mu_{1}$-open set $U$ such that $x \in U \subseteq A$ and $x \in U \subseteq B$, or a $\mu_{2}$-open set $V$ such that $x \in$
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$V \subseteq A$ and $x \in V \subseteq B$. This implies that for every $x \in A \cup B$, then there exist a $\mu_{1}$-open set $U$ such that $x \in U \subseteq A \cup B$, or a $\mu_{2}$-open set $V$ such that $x \in V \subseteq A \cup B$. Thus, $A \cup B$ is a $q_{\mu}$-open set.

Remark 3.8. If $A$ and $B$ are $q_{\mu}$-open sets, then in general, $A \cap B$ need not be a $q_{\mu}$-open set. This can be seen in the following example:

Example 3.23. Let $X=\{\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{b}, \mathrm{c}, \mathrm{d}\}, \mu_{1}=\{\varnothing,\{d\},\{a, b\},\{a, b, d\}\}$ and $\mu_{2}=$ $\{\varnothing,\{c\},\{a, d\},\{a, c, d\}\}$. If $A=\{\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{b}, \mathrm{c}\}, B=\{\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{c}, \mathrm{d}\}$, then, $A \cap B=\{\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{c}\} \notin q_{\mu}$-open set.

## 4. Comparison of open sets in bi-generalized topological spaces

We choose $\mu_{(m, n)}$-semi open set as the base open set for the comparison of all open sets in the Bi-GTS.

Proposition 4.1. Every $\mu_{(m, n)^{-}} \alpha$-open set is a $\mu_{(m, n)}$-semi open set in $\left(X, \mu_{1}, \mu_{2}\right)$.
Proof: Let $A$ be a $\mu_{(m, n)^{-}} \alpha$-open set. Then, $A \subseteq \operatorname{int}_{\mu_{m}}\left(c l_{\mu_{n}}\left(\right.\right.$ int $\left.\left._{\mu_{m}}(A)\right)\right)$. Take $B=$ $c l_{\mu_{n}}\left(\right.$ int $\left._{\mu_{m}}(A)\right)$. Let $\operatorname{int}_{\mu_{m}}(B)$ be the union of all open sets contained in $B$, that is, $i n t_{\mu_{m}}(B)$ $=\bigcup_{i \in I} G_{i}$, where $G_{i} \subseteq B$. Then, $A \subseteq \bigcup_{i \in I} G_{i}$, where $G_{i} \subseteq B$. This implies that $A \subseteq B$. Therefore, $A \subseteq c l_{\mu_{n}}\left(i n t_{\mu_{m}}(A)\right)$. Hence $A$ is a $\mu_{(m, n)}$-semi open set in $\left(X, \mu_{1}, \mu_{2}\right)$.

The converse of the above proposition need not be true in general. This can be seen in the following example:

Example 4.1. Let $X=\{a, b, c\}, \mu_{1}=\{\emptyset,\{a\},\{c\},\{a, c\}\}$ and $\mu_{2}=\{\varnothing,\{a\},\{a, b\}, X\}$. Then, $\{\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{b}\}, X$ are $\mu_{(1,2)}$-semi open sets, but these are not $\mu_{(1,2)}-\alpha$-open sets in $\left(X, \mu_{1}, \mu_{2}\right)$.

Proposition 4.2. Every $\mu_{(m, n)}$-semi open set is a $\mu_{(m, n)}-\beta$-open set in $\left(X, \mu_{1}, \mu_{2}\right)$.
Proof: Let $A$ be a $\mu_{(m, n)}$-semi open set. Then, $A \subseteq c l_{\mu_{n}}\left(\right.$ int $\left._{\mu_{m}}(A)\right)$. Since $A \subseteq c l_{\mu_{n}}(A)$, we get $c l_{\mu_{n}}\left(i n t_{\mu_{m}}(A)\right) \subseteq c l_{\mu_{n}}\left(\right.$ int $\left._{\mu_{m}}\left(c l_{\mu_{n}}(A)\right)\right)$. This implies that $A \subseteq c l_{\mu_{n}}\left(i n t_{\mu_{m}}\left(c l_{\mu_{n}}(A)\right)\right)$. Hence $A$ is $\mu_{(m, n)}-\beta$-open set in $\left(X, \mu_{1}, \mu_{2}\right)$.

The converse of the above proposition need not be true in general. This can be seen in the following example:

Example 4.2. Let $X=\{a, b, c\}, \mu_{1}=\{\emptyset,\{a\},\{c\},\{a, c\}\}$ and $\mu_{2}=\{\emptyset,\{c\},\{a, b\}, X\}$. Then, $\{\mathrm{b}\},\{\mathrm{b}, \mathrm{c}\}$ are $\mu_{(1,2)}-\beta$-open sets, but these are not $\mu_{(1,2)}$-semi open sets in $\left(X, \mu_{1}, \mu_{2}\right)$.

Proposition 4.3. [5] Every $\bar{\mu}_{(m, n)}$-open set is a $\mu_{(m, n)}$-semi open set in $\left(X, \mu_{1}, \mu_{2}\right)$.
The converse of the above proposition need not be true in general. This can be seen in the following example:

Example 4.3. Let $X=\{a, b, c\}, \mu_{1}=\{\emptyset,\{c\},\{a, b\}, X\}$ and $\mu_{2}=\{\varnothing,\{b\},\{c\},\{b, c\}\}$. Then, $\{\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{c}\}$ is a $\mu_{(1,2)}$-semi open set, but these is not $a \bar{\mu}_{(1,2)}$-open set in $\left(X, \mu_{1}, \mu_{2}\right)$.
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Proposition 4.4. let $A$ be a $\mu_{n}$-closed set of a $\operatorname{Bi-GTS}\left(X, \mu_{1}, \mu_{2}\right)$. If $A$ is $\mu_{(m, n)}$-pre open set in $\left(X, \mu_{1}, \mu_{2}\right)$, then $A$ is a $\mu_{(m, n)}$-semi open set in $\left(X, \mu_{1}, \mu_{2}\right)$.
Proof: Let $A$ be a $\mu_{(m, n)}$-pre open set. Then, $A \subseteq \operatorname{int}_{\mu_{m}}\left(c l_{\mu_{n}}(A)\right)$. Since $A$ is $\mu_{n}$-closed set, we get $A=c l_{\mu_{n}}(A)$. This implies that $A \subseteq i n t_{\mu_{m}}(A)$ and so $c l_{\mu_{n}}(A) \subseteq c l_{\mu_{n}}\left(\right.$ int $\left._{\mu_{m}}(A)\right)$. Therefore, $A \subseteq c l_{\mu_{n}}\left(\operatorname{int}_{\mu_{m}}(A)\right)$. Hence $A$ is a $\mu_{(m, n)}$-semi open set in $\left(X, \mu_{1}, \mu_{2}\right)$.

The converse of the above proposition need not be true in general. This can be seen in the following example:

Example 4.4. Let $X=\{a, b, c\}, \mu_{1}=\{\emptyset,\{a\},\{c\},\{a, c\}\}$ and $\mu_{2}=\{\emptyset,\{c\},\{a, b\}, X\}$ and also $\{\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{b}\}, X$ are $\mu_{2}$-closed sets. Then, $\{\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{b}\}, X$ are $\mu_{(1,2)}$-semi open sets in $\left(X, \mu_{1}, \mu_{2}\right)$, but these are not $\mu_{(1,2)}$-pre open sets in $\left(X, \mu_{1}, \mu_{2}\right)$.

Proposition 4.5. let $A$ be a $\mu_{n}$-closed set of a $\operatorname{Bi-GTS}\left(X, \mu_{1}, \mu_{2}\right)$. If $A$ is a $\mu_{(m, n)}$-regular open set in $\left(X, \mu_{1}, \mu_{2}\right)$, then $A$ is a $\mu_{(m, n)}$-semi open set in $\left(X, \mu_{1}, \mu_{2}\right)$.
Proof: Let $A$ be a $\mu_{(m, n)}$-regular open set. Then, $A=\operatorname{int}_{\mu_{m}}\left(c l_{\mu_{n}}(A)\right)$. Since $A$ is $\mu_{n}$-closed set, we get $A=c l_{\mu_{n}}(A)$. This implies that $A=\operatorname{int}_{\mu_{m}}(A)$. Since $A \subseteq c l_{\mu_{n}}(A)$, we get $A \subseteq$ $c l_{\mu_{n}}\left(\right.$ int $\left._{\mu_{m}}(A)\right)$. Therefore, $A \subseteq c l_{\mu_{n}}\left(i n t_{\mu_{m}}(A)\right)$. Hence $A$ is a $\mu_{(m, n)}$-semi open set in $(X$, $\mu_{1}, \mu_{2}$.

The converse of the above proposition need not be true in general. This can be seen in the following example:

Example 4.5. Let $X=\{a, b, c\}, \mu_{1}=\{\emptyset,\{a\},\{c\},\{a, c\}\}$ and $\mu_{2}=\{\emptyset,\{c\},\{a, b\}, X\}$ and also $\{\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{b}\}, X$ are $\mu_{2}$-closed sets. Then, $\{\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{b}\}, X$ are $\mu_{(1,2)}$-semi open sets in $\left(X, \mu_{1}, \mu_{2}\right)$, but these are not $\mu_{(1,2)}$-regular open sets in $\left(X, \mu_{1}, \mu_{2}\right)$.

Proposition 4.6. let $A$ be a $\mu_{n}$-open set of a $\operatorname{Bi}$ - $G T S\left(X, \mu_{1}, \mu_{2}\right)$. If $A$ is a ( $m, n$ )-open set in $\left(X, \mu_{1}, \mu_{2}\right)$, then $A$ is a $\mu_{(m, n)}$-semi open set in $\left(X, \mu_{1}, \mu_{2}\right)$.
Proof: Let $A$ be a $(m, n)$-open set in $\left(X, \mu_{1}, \mu_{2}\right)$. Then, $A=i n t_{\mu_{m}}\left(i n t_{\mu_{n}}(A)\right)$. Since $A$ is $\mu_{n^{-}}$ open set, we get $A=\operatorname{int}_{\mu_{n}}(A)$. This implies that $A=\operatorname{int}_{\mu_{m}}(A)$. Since $A \subseteq c l_{\mu_{n}}(A)$, we get $A \subseteq c l_{\mu_{n}}\left(i n t_{\mu_{m}}(A)\right)$. Therefore, $A \subseteq c l_{\mu_{n}}\left(i n t_{\mu_{m}}(A)\right)$. Hence $A$ is a $\mu_{(m, n)}$-semi open set in ( $X, \mu_{1}, \mu_{2}$ ).

The converse of the above proposition need not be true in general. This can be seen in the following example:

Example 4.6. Let $X=\{a, b, c\}, \mu_{1}=\{\emptyset,\{a\},\{c\},\{a, c\}\}$ and $\mu_{2}=\{\varnothing,\{c\},\{a, b\},\{a, c\}, X\}$ and also $\{\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}\}, X$ are $\mu_{2}$-open sets. Then, $\{\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}\}, X$ are $\mu_{(1,2)}$-semi open sets in $\left(X, \mu_{1}, \mu_{2}\right)$, but these are not $(1,2)$-open sets in $\left(X, \mu_{1}, \mu_{2}\right)$.

Since the quasi generalized open set is defined by $\mu_{1}$-open set or $\mu_{2}$-open set. So this cannot be compared with $\mu_{(m, n)}$-semi open set.
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Figure 4.1: Relationships between the $\mu_{(m, n)}$-semi open set and other open sets in BiGTS.

## 5. Conclusion

In this paper, we studied all kind of open sets in Bi-GTS namely, $\mu_{(m, n)}$-semi open sets, $\mu_{(m, n)}$-pre open sets, $\mu_{(m, n)}$-regular open sets, $\mu_{(m, n)^{-}} \alpha$-open sets, $\mu_{(m, n)}-\beta$-open sets, $\bar{\mu}_{(m, n)}$-open sets, $(m, n)$-open sets and quasi generalized open sets and investigated some of the properties of these open sets. Also we compared the relationships between the $\mu_{(m, n)^{-}}$ semi open set and other open sets in Bi-GTS.
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