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#### Abstract

In this paper, we define the common minimal common neighborhood dominating symmetric $n$-sigraph (or common minimal $C N$-dominating symmetric $n$-sigraph) of a given symmetric $n$-sigraph and offer a structural characterization of common minimal common neighborhood dominating symmetric $n$-sigraphs. In the sequel, we also obtained switching equivalence characterization: $\overline{S_{n}} \sim \operatorname{CMCN}\left(S_{n}\right)$, where $\overline{S_{n}}$ and $\operatorname{CMCN}\left(S_{n}\right)$ are complementary symmetric $n$-sigraph and common minimal $C N$-dominating symmetric $n$ sigraph of a symmetric $n$-sigraph $S_{n}$ respectively. Keywords: Symmetric $n$-sigraphs, Symmetric $n$-marked graphs, Balance, Switching, Common minimal $C N$-dominating symmetric $n$-sigraphs, Complementation.
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## 1. Introduction

Unless mentioned or defined otherwise, the reader is referred to for all terminology and notions in graph theory [3]. We consider only finite, simple graphs free from self-loops.

Let $n \geq 1$ be an integer. An $n$-tuple ( $a_{1}, a_{2}, \ldots, a_{n}$ ) is symmetric, if $a_{k}=a_{n-k+1}, 1 \leq k \leq n$. Let $H_{n}=\left\{\left(a_{1}, a_{2}, \ldots, a_{n}\right): a_{k} \in\{+,-\}, a_{k}=a_{n-k+1}, 1 \leq k \leq n\right\}$ be the set of all symmetric $n$-tuples. Note that $H_{n}$ is a group under coordinate-wise multiplication, and the order of $H_{n}$ is $2^{m}$, where $m=\left\lceil\frac{n}{2}\right\rceil$.

A symmetric $n$-sigraph (symmetric n-marked graph) is an ordered pair $S_{n}=(G, \sigma)\left(S_{n}\right.$ $=(G, \mu))$, where $G=(V, E)$ is a graph called the underlying graph of $S_{n}$ and $\sigma: E \rightarrow H_{n}(\mu$ $: V \rightarrow H_{n}$ ) is a function.

In this paper by an $n$-tuple/n-sigraph/n-marked graph, we always mean a symmetric $n$ tuple/symmetric $n$-sigraph/symmetric $n$-marked graph.

An $n$-tuple ( $a_{1}, a_{2}, \ldots, a_{n}$ ) is the identity $n$-tuple, if $a_{k}=+$, for $1 \leq k \leq n$, otherwise, it is a non-identity $n$-tuple. In an $n$-sigraph $S_{n}=(G, \sigma)$ an edge labelled with the identity $n$-tuple is called an identity edge, otherwise, it is a non-identity edge.

Further, in an $n$-sigraph $S_{n}=(G, \sigma)$, for any $A \subseteq E(G)$ the $n$-tuple $\sigma(A)$ is the product of the $n$-tuples on the edges of $A$.
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In [10], the authors defined two notions of balance in $n$-sigraph $S_{n}=(G, \sigma)$ as follows (See also R. Rangarajan and P. S. K. Reddy [6]):

Definition 1.1. Let $S_{n}=(G, \sigma)$ be an $n$-sigraph. Then,
(i) $\quad S_{n}$ is identity balanced (or $i$-balanced), if the product of $n$-tuples on each cycle of $S_{n}$ is the identity $n$-tuple, and
(ii) $\quad S_{n}$ is balanced if every cycle in $S_{n}$ contains an even number of non-identity edges.

Note 1.1: An $i$-balanced $n$-sigraph need not be balanced and conversely.
The following characterization of $i$-balanced $n$-sigraphs is obtained in [10].
Theorem 1.1. (E. Sampathkumar et al. [10]) An $n$-sigraph $S_{n}=(G, \sigma)$ is $i$-balanced if, and only if, it is possible to assign $n$-tuples to its vertices such that the $n$-tuple of each edge $u v$ is equal to the product of the $n$-tuples of $u$ and $v$.

Let $S_{n}=(G, \sigma)$ be an $n$-sigraph. Consider the $n$-marking $\mu$ on vertices of $S_{n}$ defined as follows: each vertex $v \in V, \mu(v)$ is the $n$-tuple which is the product of the $n$-tuples on the edges incident with $v$. The complement of $S_{n}$ is an $n$-sigraph $\overline{S_{n}}=\left(\bar{G}, \sigma^{c}\right)$, where for any edge e $=u v \in \bar{G}, \sigma^{c}(u v)=\mu(u) \mu(v)$. Clearly, $\overline{S_{n}}$ is defined here as an $i$-balanced $n$-sigraph due to Theorem 1.1.

In [10], the authors also have defined switching and cycle isomorphism of an $n$-sigraph $S_{n}=(G, \sigma)$ as follows: (See also [4-9, 11-25])

Let $S_{n}=(G, \sigma)$ and $S_{n}^{\prime}=\left(G^{\prime}, \sigma^{\prime}\right)$ be two $n$-sigraphs. Then $S_{n}$ and $S_{n}^{\prime}$ are said to be isomorphic if there exists an isomorphism $\phi: G \rightarrow G^{\prime}$ such that if $u v$ is an edge in $S_{n}$ with label $\left(a_{1}, a_{2}, \ldots, a_{n}\right)$ then $\phi(u) \phi(v)$ is an edge in $S_{n}^{\prime}$ with label $\left(a_{1}, a_{2}, \ldots, a_{n}\right)$.

Given an $n$-marking $\mu$ of an $n$-sigraph $S_{n}=(G, \sigma)$, switching $S_{n}$ with respect to $\mu$ is the operation of changing the $n$-tuple of every edge $u v$ of $S_{n}$ by $\mu(u) \sigma(u v) \mu(v)$. The $n$-sigraph obtained in this way is denoted by $\mathrm{S}_{\mu}\left(S_{n}\right)$ and is called the $\mu$-switched n-sigraph or just switched n-sigraph.

Further, an $n$-sigraph $S_{n}$ switches to $n$-sigraph $S_{n}^{\prime}$ (or that they are switching equivalent to each other), written as $S_{n} \sim S_{n}^{\prime}$, whenever there exists an $n$-marking of $S_{n}$ such that $S_{\mu}\left(S_{n}\right) \cong S_{n}^{\prime}$.

Two $n$-sigraphs $S_{n}=(G, \sigma)$ and $S_{n}^{\prime}=\left(G^{\prime}, \sigma^{\prime}\right)$ are said to be cycle isomorphic, if there exists an isomorphism $\phi: G \rightarrow G^{\prime}$ such that the $n$-tuple $\sigma(C)$ of every cycle $C$ in $S_{n}$ equals to the $n$-tuple $\sigma(\Phi(C))$ in $S_{n}^{\prime}$.

We make use of the following known result (see [10]).
Theorem 1.2. (E. Sampathkumar et al. [10]) Given a graph G, any two n-sigraphs with $G$ as underlying graph are switching equivalent if, and only if, they are cycle isomorphic.c
2. Common minimal common neighborhood dominating $n$-sigraph of an $n$-sigraph Let $G=(V, E)$ be a graph. A set $D \subseteq V$ is a dominating set of $G$, if every vertex in $V-D$ is adjacent to some vertex in $D$. A dominating set $D$ of $G$ is minimal, if for any vertex $v \in D$, $D-\{v\}$ is not a dominating set of $G$.

Let $G=(V, E)$ be a simple graph with vertex set $V(G)=\left\{v_{1}, v_{2}, \ldots, v_{n}\right\}$. For $i \neq$ $j$, the common neighborhood of the vertices $v_{i}$ and $v_{j}$ is the set of vertices different from

## Common Minimal Common Neighborhood Dominating Symmetric $n$-Sigraphs

vi and vj which are adjacent to both $v_{i}$ and $v_{j}$ and is denoted by $\Upsilon\left(v_{i}, v_{j}\right)$. Further, a subset $D$ of $V$ is called the common neighborhood dominating set (or $C N$-dominating set) if every $v \in V-D$ there exists a vertex $u \in D$ such that $u v \in E(G)$ and $|\Upsilon(u, v)| \geq 1$, where $|\Upsilon(u, v)|$ is the number of common neighborhoods between $u$ and $v$. This concept was introduced by Alwardi et al. [1].

A common neighborhood dominating set $D$ is said to be minimal common neighborhood dominating set if no proper subset of $D$ is common neighborhood dominating set (See [1]).

Alwardi and Soner [2] introduced a new class of intersection graphs in the field of domination theory. The commonality minimal $C N$-dominating graph is denoted by $C M C N(G)$ is the graph which has the same vertex set as $G$ with two vertices are adjacent if and only if there exist minimal $C N$-dominating in $G$ containing them.
In this paper, we introduce a natural extension of the notion of common minimal CN dominating graphs to the realm of $n$-sigraphs.

Motivated by the existing definition of complement of an $n$-sigraph, we extend the notion of common minimal $C N$-dominating graphs to $n$-sigraphs as follows: The common minimal $C N$-dominating $n$-sigraph $C M C N(G)$ of an $n$-sigraph $S_{n}=(G, \sigma)$ is an $n$-sigraph whose underlying graph is $\operatorname{CMCN}(G)$ and the $n$-tuple of any edge $u v$ is $\operatorname{CMCN}\left(S_{n}\right)$ is $\mu(u) \mu(v)$, where $\mu$ is the canonical $n$-marking of $S_{n}$. Further, an $n$-sigraph $S_{n}=(G, \sigma)$ is called common minimal $C N$-dominating $n$-sigraph, if $S_{n} \cong \operatorname{CMCN}\left(S_{n}^{\prime}\right)$ for some $n$-sigraph $S_{n}^{\prime}$. The purpose of this paper is to initiate a study of this notion.

The following result indicates the limitations of the notion $\operatorname{CMCN}\left(S_{n}\right)$ as introduced above, since the entire class of $i$-unbalanced $n$-sigraphs is forbidden to be common minimal $C N$-dominating $n$-sigraphs.

Theorem 2.1. For any n-sigraph $S_{n}=(G, \sigma)$, its common minimal CN-dominating n-sigraph $C M C N\left(S_{n}\right)$ is i-balanced.
Proof: Since the $n$-tuple of any edge $u v$ in $\operatorname{CMCN}\left(S_{n}\right)$ is $\mu(u) \mu(v)$, where $\mu$ is the canonical $n$-marking of $S_{n}$, by Theorem 1.1, $\operatorname{CMCN}\left(S_{n}\right)$ is $i$-balanced.

For any positive integer $k$, the $k^{\text {th }}$ iterated common minimal $C N$-dominating $n$ sigraph, $C M C N^{k}\left(S_{n}\right)$ of $S_{n}$ is defined as follows:

$$
\operatorname{CMCN}^{0}\left(S_{n}\right)=S_{n}, C M C N^{k}\left(S_{n}\right)=C M C N\left(C M C N^{k-1}\left(S_{n}\right)\right)
$$

Corollary 2.2. For any n-sigraph $S_{n}=(G, \sigma)$ and for any positive integer $k, C M C N^{k}\left(S_{n}\right)$ is i-balanced.

The following result characterizes $n$-sigraphs which are common minimal CN dominating $n$-sigraphs.

Theorem 2.3. An $n$-sigraph $S_{n}=(G, \sigma)$ is a common minimal $C N$-dominating $n$-sigraph if, and only if, $S_{n}$ is $i$-balanced n-sigraph and its underlying graph $G$ is a common minimal $C N$-dominating graph.
Proof: Suppose that $S_{n}$ is $i$-balanced and $G$ is a common minimal $C N$-dominating graph. Then there exists a graph $H$ such that $C M C N(H) \cong G$. Since $S_{n}$ is $i$-balanced, by Theorem 1.1, there exists a marking $\zeta$ of $G$ such that each edge $e=u v$ in $S_{n}$ satisfies $\sigma(u v)=\zeta(u) \zeta(v)$. Now consider the $n$-sigraph $S_{n}{ }^{\prime}=\left(H, \sigma^{\prime}\right)$, where for any edge $e$ in $H, \sigma^{\prime}(e)$ is the $n$ -
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marking of the corresponding vertex in $G$. Then clearly, $\operatorname{CMCN}\left(S_{n}{ }^{\prime}\right) \cong S_{n}$. Hence $S_{n}$ is a common minimal $C N$-dominating $n$-sigraph.

Conversely, suppose that $S_{n}=(G, \sigma)$ is a common minimal $C N$-dominating $n$ sigraph. Then there exists an $n$-sigraph $S_{n}{ }^{\prime}=\left(H, \sigma^{\prime}\right)$ such that $\operatorname{CMCN}\left(S_{n}{ }^{\prime}\right) \cong S_{n}$. Hence $G$ is the common minimal $C N$-dominating graph of $H$ and by Theorem 2.1, $S_{n}$ is $i$ balanced.

In [2], the authors characterized graphs for which $\operatorname{CMCN}(G) \cong \bar{G}$.

## Theorem 2.4. (Anwar Alwardi et al. [2])

For any graph $G=(V, E), C M C N(G) \cong \bar{G}$ if and only if every minimal $C N$-dominating set of $G$ is independent.

We now characterize $n$-sigraphs whose common minimal $C N$-dominating $n$-sigraphs and complementary $n$-sigraphs are switching equivalent.

Theorem 2.5. For any n-sigraph $S_{n}=(G, \sigma), \overline{S_{n}} \sim \operatorname{CMCN}\left(S_{n}\right)$ if, and only if, every minimal CN -dominating set of $G$ is independent.
Proof: Suppose $\overline{S_{n}} \sim \operatorname{CMCN}\left(S_{n}\right)$. This implies, $\operatorname{CMCN}(G) \cong \bar{G}$ and hence by Theorem 2.4, every minimal $C N$-dominating set of $G$ is independent.

Conversely, suppose that every minimal $C N$-dominating set of $G$ is independent. Then $C M C N(G) \cong \bar{G}$ by Thorem 2.4. Now, if $S_{n}$ is an $n$-sigraph with underlying graph $G$ satisfies the conditions of Theorem 2.4, by the definition of complementary $n$-sigraph and Theorem 2.1, $\overline{S_{n}}$ and $\operatorname{CMCN}\left(S_{n}\right)$ are $i$-balanced and hence, the result follows from Theorem 1.2.

Theorem 2.6. For any two n-sigraphs $S_{n}$ and $S_{n}{ }^{\prime}$ with the same underlying graph, their common minimal $C N$-dominating $n$-sigraphs are switching equivalent.
Proof. Suppose $S_{n}=(G, \sigma)$ and $S_{n}{ }^{\prime}=\left(G^{\prime}, \sigma^{\prime}\right)$ ) be two $n$-sigraphs with $G \cong G^{\prime}$. By Theorem 2.1, $\operatorname{CMCN}\left(S_{n}\right)$ and $\operatorname{CMCN}\left(S_{n}{ }^{\prime}\right)$ are $i$-balanced and hence, the result follows from Theorem 1.2.

For any $m \in H_{n}$, the $m$-complement of $a=\left(a_{1}, a_{2}, . ., a_{n}\right)$ is: $a^{m}=a m$. For any $M \subseteq$ $H_{n}$, and $m \in H_{n}$, the $m$-complement of $M$ is $M^{m}=\left\{a^{m}: a \in M\right\}$.
For any $m \in H_{n}$, the $m$ - complement of an $n$-sigraph $S_{n}=(G, \sigma)$, written $\left(S_{n}{ }^{m}\right)$, is the same graph but with each edge label $a=\left(a_{1}, a_{2}, \ldots, a_{n}\right)$ replaced by $a^{m}$.

For an $n$-sigraph $S_{n}=(G, \sigma)$, the $C M C N\left(S_{n}\right)$ is $i$-balanced. We now examine, the condition under which $m$-complement of $C M C N\left(S_{n}\right)$ is $i$-balanced, where for any $m \in H_{n}$.

Theorem 2.7. Let $S_{n}=(G, \sigma)$ be an n-sigraph. Then, for any $m \in H_{n}$, if $\operatorname{CMCN}(G)$ is bipartite then $\left(C M C N\left(S_{n}\right)\right)^{m}$ is $i$-balanced.
Proof: Since, by Theorem 2.1, $\operatorname{CMCN}\left(S_{n}\right)$ is $i$-balanced, for each $k, 1 \leq k \leq n$, the number of $n$-tuples on any cycle $C$ in $C M C N\left(S_{n}\right)$ whose $k^{\text {th }}$ co-ordinate are - is even. Also, since $C M C N(G)$ is bipartite, all cycles have even length; thus, for each $k, 1 \leq k \leq n$, the number of $n$-tuples on any cycle $C$ in $C M C N\left(S_{n}\right)$ whose $k^{\text {th }}$ co-ordinate are + is also even. This implies that the same thing is true in any $m$-complement, where for any $m \in H_{n}$. Hence $\left(C M C N\left(S_{n}\right)\right)^{t}$ is $i$-balanced.
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Theorem 2.6 provides easy solutions to other $n$-sigraph switching equivalence relations, which are given in the following results.

Corollary 2.8. For any two n-sigraphs $S_{n}$ and $S_{n}{ }^{\prime}$ with the same underlying graph, $\operatorname{CMCN}\left(S_{n}\right)$ and $\operatorname{CMCN}\left(\left(S_{n}{ }^{\prime}\right)^{m}\right)$ are switching equivalent.

Corollary 2.9. For any two n-sigraphs $S_{n}$ and $S_{n}{ }^{\prime}$ with the same underlying graph, $\operatorname{CMCN}\left(\left(S_{n}\right)^{m}\right)$ and $\operatorname{CMCN}\left(S_{n}{ }^{\prime}\right)$ are switching equivalent.

Corollary 2.10. For any two n-sigraphs $S_{n}$ and $S_{n}{ }^{\prime}$ with the same underlying graph, $\operatorname{CMCN}\left(\left(S_{n}\right)^{m}\right)$ and $\operatorname{CMCN}\left(\left(S_{n}{ }^{\prime}\right)^{m}\right)$ are switching equivalent.

Corollary 2.11. For any two n-sigraphs $S_{n}=(G, \sigma)$ and $S_{n}{ }^{\prime}=\left(G^{\prime}, \sigma^{\prime}\right)$ with the $G \cong G^{\prime}$ and $G, G^{\prime}$ are bipartite, $\left(\operatorname{CMCN}\left(S_{n}\right)\right)^{m}$ and $\operatorname{CMCN}\left(S_{n}{ }^{\prime}\right)$ are switching equivalent.

Corollary 2.12. For any two n-sigraphs $S_{n}=(G, \sigma)$ and $S_{n}{ }^{\prime}=\left(G^{\prime}, \sigma^{\prime}\right)$ with the $G \cong G^{\prime}$ and G, $G^{\prime}$ are bipartite, $\operatorname{CMCN}\left(S_{n}\right)$ and $\operatorname{CMCN}\left(\left(S_{n}{ }^{\prime}\right)^{m}\right)$ are switching equivalent.

Corollary 2.13. For any two n-sigraphs $S_{n}=(G, \sigma)$ and $S_{n}{ }^{\prime}=\left(G^{\prime}, \sigma^{\prime}\right)$ with the $G$ $\cong G^{\prime}$ and $G, G^{\prime}$ are bipartite, $\left(C M C N\left(S_{1}\right)\right)^{m}$ and $\left(C M C N\left(S_{2}\right)\right)^{m}$ are switching equivalent.

## 3. Conclusion

We have introduced a new notion for $n$-signed graphs called common minimal $C N$ dominating $n$-sigraph of an $n$-signed graph. We have proved some results and presented the structural characterization of a common minimal $C N$-dominating $n$-signed graph. There is no structural characterization of a common minimal CN -dominating graph, but we have obtained the structural characterization of a common minimal CN -dominating $n$ signed graph.
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