Annals of Pure and Applied Mathematics

Vol. 32, No. 2, 2025, 73-76

ISSN: 2279-087X (P), 2279-0888(online)

Published on 16 December 2025

www.researchmathsci.org

DOI: http://doi.org/10.22457/apam.v32n2a04976

Annals of Pure and Applied Mathematics

Short Communication

An Upper Bound for the Independence Number and Some Hamiltonian Properties of a Graph

Rao Li

Department of Computer Science, Engineering, and Mathematics University of South Carolina Aiken, Aiken, SC 29801, USA. E-mail: raol@usca.edu

Received 23 October 2025; accepted 14 December 2025

Abstract. In this note, we present an upper bound for the independence number of a graph. Using that upper bound, we obtain sufficient conditions for some Hamiltonian properties of a graph.

Keywords: The independence number, Hamiltonian graph, traceable graph.

AMS Mathematics Subject Classification (2010): 05C45, 05C69

1. Introduction

We consider only finite undirected graphs without loops or multiple edges. Notation and terminology not defined here follow those in [1]. Let G = (V(G), E(G)) be a graph with n vertices and e edges. We use δ and Δ to denote the minimum and maximum degrees of a graph G, respectively. A subset S of V(G) in G is independent if there is no edge between any pair of distinct vertices in S. A maximum independent set in a graph G is an independent set of maximum cardinality. The independence number of a graph G, denoted $\alpha(G)$, is the size of a maximum independent set in G. For two graphs H_1 and H_2 , we define $H_1 \leq H_2$ as $V(H_1) = V(H_2)$ and $E(H_1) \subseteq E(H_2)$. The join of two disjoint graphs H_1 and H_2 is denoted by $H_1 \vee H_2$. We use $K_{p,q}$ to denote a complete bipartite graph in which the two partition sets have cardinalities of P and P0, respectively. For disjoint vertex subsets P1 and P2 is P3 and P3 and P4 of P4. We define P5 and P5 and P5 are P5 and P5 and P6 are P6 and P7 and P8 are P9 and P9 and P9 and P9 and P9 and P9 and P9 are P9 and P9 and P9 are P9.

 $\{e: e = xy \in E, x \in X, y \in Y\}$. A cycle C in a graph G is called a Hamilton cycle of G if C contains all the vertices of G. A graph G is called Hamiltonian if G has a Hamilton cycle. A path P in a graph G is called a Hamilton path of G if G contains all the vertices of G. A graph G is called traceable if G has a Hamilton path. In this note, we first present an upper bound for the independence number of a graph. Using that upper bound, we obtain sufficient conditions for Hamiltonian graphs and traceable graphs. The main results of this note are as follows.

Theorem 1.1. Let G be a graph with n vertices, e edges, maximum degree Δ , and minimum degree $\delta \geq 1$. Then

Rao Li

$$\alpha \leq \frac{n-\Delta + \sqrt{(n-\Delta)^2 + 4(n\Delta - 2e)}}{2}$$

with equality if and only if G is $K_{\alpha}^{c} \vee H$, where H = G[V - I], I is a maximum independent set in G with $|I| = \alpha$ such that $d(u) = \delta = (n - \alpha)$ for each $u \in I$ and $d(v) = \Delta$ for each $v \in V - I$.

Theorem 1.2. Let G be a k-connected $(k \ge 2)$ graph with $n \ge 3$ vertices and e edges. If

$$\frac{n-\Delta+\sqrt{(n-\Delta)^2+4(n\Delta-2e)}}{2}\leq k+1,$$

then *G* is Hamiltonian or $G \in \{H : K_{k, k+1} \le H \le K_{k+1}^c \lor H \text{ and } d_H(v) = \Delta \text{ if } v \in V \text{ and } d_H(v) \ne \delta = k\}.$

Theorem 1.3. Let G be a k-connected $(k \ge 1)$ graph with n vertices and e edges. If

$$\frac{n-\Delta+\sqrt{(n-\Delta)^2+4(n\Delta-2e)}}{2}\leq k+2,$$

then *G* is traceable or $G \in \{H : K_{k, k+2} \le H \le K_{k+2}^c \lor H \text{ and } d_H(v) = \Delta \text{ if } v \in V \text{ and } d_H(v) \ne \delta = k\}.$

2. Lemmas

We will use the following results as lemmas in our proofs of Theorem 1.2 and Theorem 1.3. Lemma 2.1 and Lemma 2.2 below are from [2].

Lemma 2.1 [2]. Let G be a k-connected graph of order $n \ge 3$. If $\alpha \le k$, then G is Hamiltonian.

Lemma 2.2 [2]. Let G be a k-connected graph of order n. If $\alpha \le k+1$, then G is traceable.

3. Proofs

Proof of Theorem 1.1. Let G be a graph with n vertices, e edges, maximum degree Δ , and minimum degree $\delta \geq 1$. Let I be any maximum independent set in G. Then $|I| = \alpha < n$. Notice that $d(u) \leq n - \alpha$ for each $u \square I$ and $d(v) \leq \Delta$ for each $v \square V - I$. We have that

$$2e = \sum_{u \in I} d(u) + \sum_{v \in V-I} d(v) \le \alpha(n-\alpha) + (n-\alpha)\Delta.$$

Solving the quadratic equation for α , we have that

$$\alpha \leq \frac{n-\Delta + \sqrt{(n-\Delta)^2 + 4(n\Delta - 2e)}}{2}.$$

Suppose that

$$\alpha = \frac{n - \Delta + \sqrt{(n - \Delta)^2 + 4(n\Delta - 2e)}}{2}.$$

Then

$$2e = \sum_{u \in I} d(u) + \sum_{v \in V - I} d(v) \le \alpha(n - \alpha) + (n - \alpha)\Delta.$$

An Upper Bound for the Independence Number and Some Hamiltonian Properties of a Graph

Thus $d(u) = n - \alpha$ for each $u \in I$ and $d(v) = \Delta$ for each $v \in V - I$. Therefore G is $\alpha K^c \vee I$, where H = G[V - I], I is a maximum independent set in G with $|I| = \alpha$ such that $d(u) = \delta = (n - \alpha)$ for each $u \in I$ and $d(v) = \Delta$ for each $v \in V - I$.

If G is $K_a^c \vee H$, where H = G[V - I], I is a maximum independent set in G with $|I| = \alpha$ such that $d(u) = \delta = (n - \alpha)$ for each $u \in I$ and $d(v) = \Delta$ for each $v \in V - I$. Then

$$2e = \sum_{u \in I} d(u) + \sum_{v \in V-I} d(v) = \alpha(n-\alpha) + (n-\alpha)\Delta.$$

Thus

$$(n-\Delta)^{2} + 4(n\Delta - 2e) = (n-\Delta)^{2} + 4(n\Delta - \alpha(n-\alpha) - (n-\alpha)\Delta)$$

= $(\Delta - n)^{2} + 4\alpha(\Delta - n) + 4\alpha^{2} = (2\alpha + \Delta - n)^{2}$.

Let u be a vertex in I. Then $\Delta \ge d(u) = (n - \alpha)$. Thus we have that $(2\alpha + \Delta - n) > 0$. Therefore

$$\frac{n - \Delta + \sqrt{(n - \Delta)^2 + 4(n\Delta - 2e)}}{2}$$

$$= \frac{n - \Delta + \sqrt{(2\alpha + \Delta - n)^2}}{2}$$

$$= \frac{n - \Delta + (2\alpha + \Delta - n)}{2} = \alpha.$$

This completes the proof of Theorem 1.1.

Proof of Theorem 1.2. Let G be a k-connected ($k \ge 2$) graph with $n \ge 3$ vertices and e edges satisfying the conditions in Theorem 1.2. Suppose G is not Hamiltonian. Then Lemma 2.1 implies that $\alpha \ge k+1$. Also, we have that $n \ge 2\delta + 1 \ge 2k+1$ otherwise $\delta \ge k \ge n/2$ and G is Hamiltonian. Let I be any maximum independent set in G. Then $|I| = \alpha$. From the proof of Theorem 1.1, we have that

$$|k+1| \leq |I| \leq \alpha \leq \frac{n-\Delta+\sqrt{(n-\Delta)^2+4(n\Delta-2e)}}{2} \leq k+1.$$

Thus

$$k+1=|I|=\alpha=rac{n-\Delta+\sqrt{(n-\Delta)^2+4(n\Delta-2e)}}{2}.$$

From the proof of Theorem 1.1 again, we have that $d(u) = \delta = (n - \alpha) = (n - k - 1)$ for each $u \in I$ and $d(v) = \Delta$ for each $v \in V - I$. Hence $G_i \in K^c \setminus V$, where H = G[V - I], I is a maximum independent such that in G with |I| = k + 1 such that $d(u) = \delta = (n - k - 1)$ for each $u \in I$ and $d(v) = \Delta$ for each $v \in V - I$.

If
$$n-k-1 \ge k+1$$
, then $\delta = n-k-1 \ge (n-k-1+k+1)/2 = n/2$ and G is

Hamiltonian, a contradiction. If $n - k - l \le k$, then $n \le 2k + l$. Thus n = 2k + l and $G \in \{H : K_{k, k+l} \le H \le K_{k+1}^c \lor H \text{ and } d_H(v) = \Delta \text{ if } v \in V \text{ and } d_H(v) \ne \delta = k\}.$

This completes the proof of Theorem 1.2.

Proof of Theorem 1.3. Let G be a k-connected graph $(k \ge 1)$ with n vertices and e edges

Rao Li

satisfying the conditions in Theorem 1.3. Suppose G is not traceable. Then Lemma 2.2 implies that $\alpha \ge k+2$. Also, we have that $n \ge 2\delta + 2 \ge 2k+2$ otherwise $\delta \ge k \ge (n-1)/2$ and G is traceable. Let I be any maximum independent set in G. Then $|I| = \alpha$. From the proof of Theorem 1.1, we have that

$$|k+2| \leq |I| \leq \alpha \leq \frac{n-\Delta+\sqrt{(n-\Delta)^2+4(n\Delta-2e)}}{2} \leq k+2.$$

Thus

$$k + 2 = |I| = \alpha = \frac{n - \Delta + \sqrt{(n - \Delta)^2 + 4(n\Delta - 2e)}}{2}.$$

From the proof of Theorem 1.1 again, we have that $d(u) = \delta = (n - \alpha) = (n - k - 2)$ for each $u \in I$ and $d(v) = \Delta$ for each $v \in V - I$. Hence G_k is $\mathcal{K}^c \vee H$, where H = G[V - I], I is a maximum independent such that in G with |I| = k + 2 such that $d(u) = \delta = (n - k - 2)$ for each $u \in I$ and $d(v) = \Delta$ for each $v \in V - I$.

If
$$n - k - 2 \ge k + 1$$
, then $\delta = n - k - 2 \ge (n - k - 2 + k + 1)/2 = (n - 1)/2$ and G is

traceable, a contradiction. If $n-k-2 \le k$, then $n \le 2k+2$. Thus n=2k+2 and $G \in \{H: K_{k, k+2} \le H \le K_{k+2}^c \lor H \text{ and } d_H(v) = \Delta \text{ if } v \in V \text{ and } d_H(v) \ne \delta = k\}$.

This completes the proof of Theorem 1.3.

4. Conclusion

In this note, we present a new upper bound for the independence number of a graph. Using that upper bound, we obtain new sufficient conditions involving the maximum degree for Hamiltonian and traceable graphs.

Acknowledgements. The author would like to thank the referee for his/her suggestions and comments, which helped to improve the original manuscript.

Author's Contribution: This is the author's sole work.

Conflicts of interest: The author declares no conflicts of interest.

REFERENCES

- 1. J. A. Bondy and U. S. R. Murty, *Graph Theory with Applications*, Macmillan, London and Elsevier, New York (1976).
- 2. C. Chvátal and P. Erdös, A note on Hamiltonian circuits, *Discrete Mathematics* 2 (1973) 111-113.