Annals of Pure and Applied Mathematics Vol. 5, No. 2, 2014, 120-124 ISSN: 2279-087X (P), 2279-0888(online) Published on 1 January 2014 www.researchmathsci.org

Annals of **Pure and Applied Mathematics**

A Common Fixed Point Theorem for Reciprocal Continuous Compatible Mappings in Metric Space

K. Jha¹, R.P. Pant² and K.B. Manandhar¹*

¹Department of Natural Science (Mathematics), School of Science Kathmandu University, PO Box No. 6250, Nepal ²Department of Mathematics, Kumaon University D.S.B. Campus, Nainital- 263002, India * Corresponding author E-mail: kmanandhar08@live.com

Received 3 December 2013; accepted 12 December 2013

Abstract. In this paper, we obtain a common fixed point theorem for reciprocally continuous compatible self- mappings in a complete metric space which generalizes and improves other similar results in literature.

Keywords: Metric space, compatible mappings, reciprocally continuous mappings, common fixed point

AMS Mathematics Subject Classification (2010): 47H10, 54H25

1. Introduction

The fixed point theory is an important area of non-linear functional analysis. The study of common fixed point of mappings satisfying contractive type conditions has been a very active field of research during the last three decades. In 1986, Jungck [1] introduced the notion of compatible mappings, which is more general than commuting and weakly commuting mappings introduced by Sessa [12]. In 1993,Jungck et al. [2] gave a generalization of compatible mappings called compatible mappings of type (A) which is equivalent to the concept of compatible mappings under some conditions. In 1995, Pathak and Khan [3] introduced the concept of compatible mappings of type (B) with some examples to show that compatible mappings of type (B) need not be compatible of type (A). In 1998, Pathak et al. [4] introduced another extension of compatible mappings of type (C).

In 1999, Pant [11] introduced the concept of reciprocally continuous mappings and obtained common fixed point theorem. The purpose of this paper is to establish a common fixed point theorem involving compatible and reciprocally continuous mappings in a complete metric space with example.

We start with the following.

A Common Fixed Point Theorem for Reciprocal Continuous Compatible Mappings in Metric Space

Definition 1.1. [1] The mappings A and S of a metric space (X, d) are said to be *compatible* iff $\lim_{n \to \infty} d(ASx_n, SAx_n) = 0$ whenever $\{x_n\}$ is a sequence in X such that $\lim_{n \to \infty} Ax_n = \lim_{n \to \infty} Sx_n = t$ for some $t \in X$.

Definition 1.2. [2] The mappings A and S of a metric space (X, d) are said to be *compatible of type (A)* if $\lim_{n\to\infty} d(ASx_n, SSx_n) = 0$ and $\lim_{n\to\infty} d(SAx_n, AAx_n) = 0$ whenever $\{x_n\}$ is a sequence in X such that $\lim_{n\to\infty} Ax_n = \lim_{n\to\infty} Sx_n = t$ for some $t \in X$.

Definition 1.3. [3] The mappings A and S of a metric space (X, d) are said to be *compatible of type (B)* if $\lim_{n\to\infty} d(ASx_n, SSx_n) \le \frac{1}{2} (\lim_{n\to\infty} d(ASx_n, At) + \lim_{n\to\infty} d(At, AAx_n))$, and $\lim_{n\to\infty} d(SAx_n, AAx_n) \le \frac{1}{2} (\lim_{n\to\infty} d(SAx_n, St) + \lim_{n\to\infty} d(St, SSx_n))$, whenever $\{x_n\}$ is a sequence in X such that $\lim_{n\to\infty} Ax_n = \lim_{n\to\infty} Sx_n = t$ for some $t \in X$.

Definition 1.4. [4] The mappings A and S of a metric space (X, d) are said to be compatible of type (C), if $\lim_{n\to\infty} d(ASx_n, SSx_n) \leq \frac{1}{3} [\lim_{n\to\infty} d(ASx_n, At) + \lim_{n\to\infty} d(At, AAx_n) + \lim_{n\to\infty} d(At, SSx_n)],$ and $\lim_{n\to\infty} d(SAx_n, AAx_n) \leq \frac{1}{3} [\lim_{n\to\infty} d(SAx_n, St) + \lim_{n\to\infty} d(St, SSx_n) + \lim_{n\to\infty} d(St, AAx_n)],$ whenever{x_n} is a sequence in X such that $\lim_{n\to\infty} Ax_n = \lim_{n\to\infty} Sx_n = t \text{ for some } t \in X.$

Definition 1.5. [11] The maps A and S of a metric space (X, d) are said to be *reciprocally continuous* if $\lim_{n\to\infty} ASx_n = A(t)$ and $\lim_{n\to\infty} SAx_n = S(t)$, whenever $\{x_n\}$ is a sequence in X such that $\lim_{n\to\infty} Ax_n = t$ and $\lim_{n\to\infty} Sx_n = t$, for some $t \in X$.

It is noted that compatible, compatible of type (A), compatible of type (B) and compatible of type (C) are all equivalent if A and S are assumed to be continuous. Also, the reciprocally continuous mappings need not be continuous [10].

We need following proposition and lemma to establish our main result.

Proposition 1.6. If A and S are compatible and reciprocal continuous mappings on a metric space (X, d). Then, we have

(i) A(t) = S(t) where $\{x_n\}$ is a sequence in X such that $\lim_{n \to \infty} Ax_n = \lim_{n \to \infty} Sx_n = t$ for

some $t \in X$

(ii) If these exist $u \in X$ such that Au = Su = t, then ASu = SAu.

Proof: (i) By definition of compatible mappings, we have

 $\lim_{n\to\infty} SAx_n = \lim_{n\to\infty} ASx_n.$

Also, by definition of reciprocal continuous, we have $\lim_{n\to\infty} ASx_n = A(t)$ and $\lim_{n\to\infty} SAx_n = S(t)$. So, we get A(t) = S(t).

(ii) Suppose Au = Su = t for some $u \in X$. Then, we have ASu = At and SAu = St. But, from (i), we get At = St. So, we have ASu = SAu. K. Jha, R.P. Pant and K.B. Manandhar

Lemma1.7. [5] Let A,B,S and T be self-mapping of metric space (X, d) such that

 $AX \subset TX, BX \subset SX$. Also, assume further that given $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists $\delta > 0$ such that for all x, y in X, we have $\varepsilon < M(x, y) < \varepsilon + \delta \Longrightarrow d(Ax, By) \le \varepsilon$, and d(Ax, By) < M(x, y) whenever M(x, y) > 0 where $M(x, y) = \max\{d(Sx, Ty), d(Ax, Sx), d(By, Ty), [d(Sx, By) + d(Ax, Ty)]/2\}$. Then, for each $x_0 \in X$, the sequence $\{y_n\}$ in X defined by the rule

$y_{2n} = Ax_{2n} = Tx_{2n+1}$; $y_{2n+1} = Bx_{2n+1} = Sx_{2n+2}$ is a Cauchy sequence.

2. Main Result

Theorem 2.1. Let (A, S) and (B, T) be compatible and reciprocally continuous pairs of self-mappings in a complete metric space (X,d) such that

- (i) $AX \subset TX$ and $BX \subset SX$,
- (ii) Given $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists $\delta > 0$ such that for all x, $y \in X$, we have $\varepsilon \le M(x, y) < \varepsilon + \delta \Longrightarrow d(Ax, By) < \varepsilon$, and d(Ax, By) < M(x, y) where $M(x, y) = \max \{d(Sx, Ty), d(Ax, Sx), d(By, Ty), [d(Sx, By)+d(Ax, Ty)]/2\}$, and
- $\begin{array}{ll} (iii) & d(Ax, By) < \max\{k_1[d(Sx, Ty) + \ d(Ax, Sx) + \ d(By, Ty)], \\ & k_2[d(Sx, By) + d(Ax, Ty)]/2\} \ \text{for} \ 0 \leq k_1, k_2 \leq 1. \\ & \text{Then, the mappings A,B,S and T have unique common fixed point.} \end{array}$

Proof: Let x_0 be any point in X. Define sequences $\{x_n\}$ and $\{y_n\}$ in X given by the rule $y_{2n} = Ax_{2n} = Tx_{2n+1}$; $y_{2n+1} = Bx_{2n+1} = Sx_{2n+2}$ (2.1)

This can be down from (i). Then, by lemma (1.7), $\{y_n\}$ is a Cauchy Sequence. Also, since X is complete, so there exists a point z in X such that $y_n \rightarrow z$. Now, from (1), we get $y_{2n} = Ax_{2n} = Tx_{2n+1} \rightarrow z$, $y_{n+1} = Bx_{2n+1} = Sx_{2n+2} \rightarrow z$. (2.2) Since (A, S) is compatible and reciprocal continuous, using the proposition (1.6), we have Az = Sz. (2.3)

We claim that Az = z. If $Az \neq z$, then, from (iii), we get $d(Az, Bx_{2n+1})$

 $<\!\!max\{k_1[d(Sz,Tx_{2n+1})+d(Az,Sz)+d(Bx_{2n+1},Tx_{2n+1})], k_2[d(Sz,Bx_{2n+1})+d(Az,Tx_{2n+1})]/2\}. \\ Letting n \rightarrow \infty, we get d(Az, z) < d(Az, z), a contradiction. Hence, we get Az = z. \\ \label{eq:action}$

Therefore, we have Az = Sz = z.

Hence z be the common fixed point of A and S. Also, since $AX \subset TX$ there exists a point w in X such that Az = Tw. We claim that Bw = Tw. If $Bw \neq Tw$ from (iii), we get $d(Tw, Bw) = d(Az, Bw) < max\{k_1[d(Sz,Tw)+d(Az, Sz)+d(Bw, Tw)],$

 $k_2[d(Sz, Bw)+d(Az,Tw)]/2$ }which implies d(Az, Bw) < d(Az,Bw), a contradiction. So, we get Tw = Bw.

Hence, Az = Sz = Tw = Bw = z. (2.4)

Now, using the proposition (1.6), we get BTw = TBw. (2.5) Moreover, we get

(2.6)

BBw = BTw and TTw = TBw. Hence, BBw = BTw = TTw = TBw.

Again, we claim BBw = Bw. If $BBw \neq Bw$. Then, from (ii), we get

 $d(Bw,BBw) = (Az, BBw) < max\{d(Sz, TBw), d(Az, Sz), d(BBw, TBw),$

 $[d(Sz, BBw)+(Az, TBw)]/2 = max \{d(Az, BBw), 0, 0, d(Az, BBw)\},\$

which implies d(Az, BBw) < d(Az, BBw). This is a contradiction. Hence, we have Bw = BBw. Now, from relation (2.6), we get BBw = TBw = Bw. So, we have Bw = Az = z.

A Common Fixed Point Theorem for Reciprocal Continuous Compatible Mappings in Metric Space

Moreover, BBw = TBw implies that Bz = Tz = z which is the common fixed point of B and T.

Hence z is the common fixed point of A, B, S and T. For uniqueness of the common fixed point, let $u \neq z$ is another fixed point. Then, we get Au = Su = Bu = Tu. Finally, using relation (iii), we get $d(Az, Bu) < max\{k_1[d(Sz, Tu)+ d(Az, Sz)+ d(Bu, Tu)], k_2[d(Sz, Bu)+d(Az, Tu)]/2\}$. This implies d(Az, Bu) < d(Az, Bu) which is a contradiction. Hence, the common fixed point of A, B, S and T is unique.

This establishes the theorem.

Example 2.2. Let X = [2,10] and d the Euclidean metric on X. Define A, B, S and T : $X \rightarrow X$ as follows Ax = 2 for all x.

 $\begin{array}{l} Bx = 2 \text{ if } x < 4 \text{ and } \ge 5, \ Bx = 3 + x \text{ if } 4 \le x < 5, \\ Sx = x \text{ if } x \le 8, \\ Tx = 2 \text{ if } x < 4 \text{ or } \ge 5, \end{array} \quad \begin{array}{l} Sx = 3 + x \text{ if } 4 \le x < 5, \\ Sx = x \text{ if } x \le 8, \\ Tx = 5 + x \text{ if } 4 \le x < 5. \end{array}$

Then A, B, S and T satisfy all the conditions of the above theorem and have a unique common fixed point x = 2.

If we take A = B and S = T. Then, the Theorem 2.1 reduces to following corollary.

Corollary 2.3. Let (A, S) be a reciprocal continuous and compatible self-mappings of a complete metric space(X, d) such that

- (i) $AX \subset SX$,
- (ii) Given $\epsilon > 0$, there exists $\delta > 0$ such that for allx, $y \in X$, we have $\epsilon \le M(x, y) < \epsilon + \delta \Longrightarrow d(Ax, Ay) < \epsilon$ with d(Ax, Ay) < M(x, y) where $M(x, y) = \max \{d(Sx, Sy), d(Ax, Sx), d(ay, Sy), [d(Sx, Ay)+d(Ax, Sy)]/2\}$, and
- (iii) $d(Ax, Ay) < max\{k_1[d(Sx, Sy) + d(Ax, Sx) + d(Ay, Sy)], k_2[d(Sx, Ay) + d(Ax, y)]/2\} \text{ for } 0 \le k_1, k_2 \le 1.$

Then, A and S have a unique common fixed point.

Remarks. The main theorem remains true for compatible of type (A), compatible of type (B) and compatible of type (C) in place of compatible if A, S, B and T are assumed to be continuous. Our result improves the result of Jha *et al.* [6, 7], Pant and Jha [8, 9], Pant [11] and other similar results in literature.

Acknowledgement: The third author is thankful to the University Grant Commission (UGC), Nepal for providing financial support as a Ph.D. scholar at Kathmandu University, Nepal.

REFERENCES

1. G. Jungck, Compatible mappings and common fixed points, *Internat. J. Math. Math. Sci.*, 9 (1986) 771–779.

K. Jha, R.P. Pant and K.B. Manandhar

- 2. G.Jungck, P.P.Murthy and Y. J. Cho, Compatible mappings of type (A) and common fixed points, *Math. Japon.*, 38 (1993) 381–390.
- 3. H.K.Pathak and M.S.Khan, Compatible mappings of type (B) and common fixed point theorems of Gregu's type, *Czechoslovak Math. J.*, 45 (120) (1995) 685–698.
- 4. H.K.Pathak, Y.J.Cho, S. M. Kang and B. Madharia, Compatible mappings of type(C) and common fixed point theorems of Gregu's type, *Demonstr. Math.*, 31 (3) (1998) 499–517.
- 5. J. Jachymski, Common fixed point theorems for some families of mappings, *Indian J. Pure Appl. Math.*, 25(1994) 925-937.
- 6. K.Jha, R.P.Pant and S.L.Singh, On the Existence of Common Fixed Point for Compatible Mappings, *Punjab Univ. J. Maths.*, 37 (2005) 39-48.
- 7. K.Jha, R.P. Pant and S.L. Singh. Common fixed points for compatible mappings in metric spaces, *Radovi Math.*, 12 (2003) 107-114.
- 8. R.P.Pant and K.Jha, A generalization of Meir Keeler type common fixed point theorem for four mappings, *J. Ultra Sci. Phys. Sci.*, 15 (2003) 97-102.
- 9. R. P. Pant and K. Jha, A generalization of Meir Keeler type common fixed point theorem for four mappings, *J. Nat. Phys. Sci.*, 16(1-2) (2002) 77-84.
- 10. R. P. Pant, A common fixed points of four maps, Bull. Calcutta Math. Soc., 90 (1998) 281-286.
- 11. R. P. Pant, A common fixed point theorem under a new condition, *Indian. J. Pure Appl. Math.*, 30 (2) (1999) 147–152.
- 12. S. Sessa, On a weakly commutativity condition in a fixed point considerations, *Publ. Inst.Math.*, 32 (46) (1986) 149–153.