Annals of Pure and Applied Mathematics Vol. 8, No. 1, 2014, 11-14 ISSN: 2279-087X (P), 2279-0888(online) Published on 14 October 2014 www.researchmathsci.org

Annals of Pure and Applied <u>Mathematics</u>

Spectral Conditions for a Graph to be k-Connected

Rao Li

Department of Mathematical Sciences University of South Carolina Aiken Aiken, SC 29801, USA, Email: raol@usca.edu

Received 20 September 2014; accepted 28 September 2014

Abstract. Using spectral radius and signless Laplacian spectral radius, we in this note present sufficient conditions for a graph to be k-connected.

Keywords: k-connected graph, spectral radius, signless Laplacian spectral radius

AMS Mathematics Subject Classification (2010): 05C40, 05C50

1. Introduction

We consider only finite undirected graphs without loops or multiple edges. Notation and terminology not defined here follow those in [2]. For a graph G = (V, E), we use n and e to denote its order |V| and size |E|, respectively. We use $\delta = d_1 \leq d_2 \leq \cdots \leq d_n = \Delta$ to denote the degree sequence of a graph. The eigenvalues of a graph G are defined as the eigenvalues of its adjacency matrix A(G). The largest eigenvalue, denoted $\rho(G)$, of a graph G is called the spectral radius of G. The signless Laplacian eigenvalues of a graph G are defined as the eigenvalues of the matrix Q(G) := D(G) + A(G), where D(G) is the diagonal matrix $diag(d_1, d_2, ..., d_n)$ and A(G) is the adjacency matrix of G. The largest signless Laplacian eigenvalue, denoted q(G), of a graph G is called the signless Laplacian spectral radius of G.

2. Main results

In [4], Li obtained sufficient conditions which are based on the spectral radius for some Hamiltonian properties of graphs. In [5], Li obtained sufficient conditions which are based on the signless Laplacian spectral radius for some Hamiltonian properties of graphs. Using similar ideas as the ones in [4] and [5], we will present sufficient conditions which are based on the spectral radius or the signless Laplacian spectral radius for a graph to be k - connected. The results are as follows.

Theorem 1. Let G be a connected graph of order $n \ge 2$ and let $1 \le k \le n-1$. If $\rho > \frac{n+k-5+\sqrt{(n+k-5)^2+8(n+k-3+(n-k-1)(\Delta-1))}}{4},$

then G is k-connected.

Theorem 2. Let *G* be a connected graph of order $n \ge 2$ and let $1 \le k \le n - 1$. If

Rao Li

$$q > \frac{(\Delta - 1) + \sqrt{(\Delta - 1)^2 + 8((n - 2)\Delta + k - 1)}}{2},$$

then G is k-connected.

Since $\Delta \le n-1$, Theorem 1 and Theorem 2 have the following Corollary 1 and Corollary 2, respectively.

Corollary 1. Let G be a connected graph of order $n \ge 2$ and let $1 \le k \le n-1$. If $\rho > \frac{n+k-5+\sqrt{(n+k-5)^2+8(n+k-3+(n-k-1)(n-2))}}{4},$

then G is k-connected.

Corollary 2. Let G be a connected graph of order $n \ge 2$ and let $1 \le k \le n - 1$. If $q > \frac{(n-2) + \sqrt{(n-2)^2 + 8((n-2)(n-1) + k - 1)}}{2},$

then G is k - connected.

In order to prove Theorem 1 and Theorem 2, we need the following results as our lemmas.

Lemma 1. ([1]) Let G be a graph of order $n \ge 2$ with degree sequence $d_1 \le d_2 \le \cdots \le d_n$ and let $1 \le k \le n-1$. If

$$1 \le i \le \lfloor \frac{n-k+1}{2} \rfloor, d_i \le i+k-2 \Longrightarrow d_{n-k+1} \ge n-i,$$

then G is k - connected.

Lemma 2. ([6]) Let G be a connected graph with degree sequence $d_1 \le d_2 \le \cdots \le d_n$. Then for each i with $1 \le i \le n$,

$$\rho(G) \le \frac{d_i - 1 + \sqrt{(d_i + 1)^2 + 4(i - 1)(d_n - d_i)}}{2}$$

Moreover, if i = n, the equality holds if and only if G is a regular graph. If $1 \le i \le n-1$, the equality holds if and only if G is either a regular graph or bidegreed graph in which $d_n = d_{n-1} = \cdots = d_{n-i+2} = n-1$ and $d_{n-i+1} = d_{n-i} = \cdots = d_1 = \delta$.

Lemma 3. ([7]) Let G be a connected graph with degree sequence $d_1 \le d_2 \le \cdots \le d_n$. Then for each i with $1 \le i \le n$,

$$q(G) \le \frac{d_n + 2d_i - 1 + \sqrt{(2d_i - d_n + 1)^2 + 8(i - 1)(d_n - d_i)}}{2}$$

Moreover, if i = n, the equality holds if and only if G is a regular graph. If $1 \le i \le n-1$, the equality holds if and only if G is either a regular graph or bidegreed

Spectral Conditions for a Graph to be k Connected

graph in which $d_n = d_{n-1} = \dots = d_{n-i+2} = n-1$ and $d_{n-i+1} = d_{n-i} = \dots = d_1 = \delta$.

Lemma 4. ([3]) Let G be a graph of order n with maximum degree Δ . Then $q(G) \leq 2\Delta$.

Moreover, if G is connected, then equality holds if and only if G is regular. **Proof of Theorem 1.** Let G be a graph satisfying the conditions in Theorem 1. Suppose that G is not k - connected. Then, from Lemma 1, there exists an integer j such that $1 \le j \le \lfloor \frac{n-k+1}{2} \rfloor \le \frac{n-k+1}{2}$, $d_j \le j+k-2$, and $d_{n-k+1} \le n-j-1$. Obviously, $d_j \ge 1$. Let i = j in Lemma 2. Then we have that

$$\rho \leq \frac{d_j - 1 + \sqrt{(d_j + 1)^2 + 4(j - 1)(d_n - d_j)}}{2}$$

Thus

$$\rho^2 \leq \rho(d_j - 1) + d_j + (j - 1)(d_n - d_j).$$

Therefore

$$\rho^2 \le \rho(j+k-3) + j+k-2 + (j-1)(\Delta-1)$$

Hence

$$\rho^{2} \leq \rho \left(\frac{n-k+1}{2} + k - 3 \right) + \frac{n-k+1}{2} + k - 2 + \left(\frac{n-k+1}{2} - 1 \right) (\Delta - 1).$$

By solving the inequality, we have that

1

$$\rho \leq \frac{n+k-5+\sqrt{(n+k-5)^2+8(n+k-3+(n-k-1)(\Delta-1))}}{4}$$

which is a contradiction.

This completes the proof of Theorem 1.

Proof of Theorem 2. Let G be a graph satisfying the conditions in Theorem 2. Suppose that G is not k-connected. Then, from Lemma 1, there exists an integer j such that $1 \le i \le \lfloor \frac{n-k+1}{2} \rfloor \le \frac{n-k+1}{2}$ and $d \le i \le l \le n$.

 $1 \le j \le \lfloor \frac{n-k+1}{2} \rfloor \le \frac{n-k+1}{2} , \quad d_j \le j+k-2 , \text{ and } d_{n-k+1} \le n-j-1 . \text{ Obviously,} \\ d_j \ge 1. \text{ Let } i = j \text{ in Lemma } 3. \text{ Then we have that}$

$$q \le \frac{d_n + 2d_j - 1 + \sqrt{(2d_j - d_n + 1)^2 + 8(j - 1)(d_n - d_j)}}{2}$$

Thus

$$q^{2} \leq q(d_{n} + 2d_{j} - 1) + 2d_{j}(1 - d_{n}) + 2(j - 1)(d_{n} - d_{j}).$$

Therefore,

$$q^{2} \leq q(d_{n}-1) + 2d_{j}(q-d_{n}+1) + 2(j-1)(d_{n}-d_{j}).$$

By Lemma 4, we have that

Rao Li

$$q^{2} \leq q(\Delta - 1) + 2(j + k - 2)(\Delta + 1) + (n - k - 1)(\Delta - 1).$$

Hence

$$q^{2} \leq q(\Delta - 1) + (n + k - 3)(\Delta + 1) + (n - k - 1)(\Delta - 1).$$

By solving the inequality, we have that

$$q \leq \frac{(\Delta - 1) + \sqrt{(\Delta - 1)^2 + 8((n - 2)\Delta + k - 1)}}{2},$$

which is a contradiction.

This completes the proof of Theorem 2.

REFERENCES

- 1. F.Boesch, The strongest monotone degree condition for *n*-connectedness of a graph, *J. Combin. Theory Ser. B*, 16 (1974) 162 165.
- 2. J.A.Bondy and U.S.R.Murty, Graph Theory with Applications, Macmillan, London and Elsevier, New York (1976).
- 3. D.Cvetkovi c', P.Rowlinson and S.K.Simi c', Signless Laplacian of finite graphs, *Linear Algebra Appl.*,423 (2007) 155 171.
- 4. R.Li, Spectral radius and some Hamiltonian properties of graphs, manuscript, Sept. 2014.
- 5. R.Li, Signless Laplacian spectral radius and some Hamiltonian properties of graphs, manuscript, Sept. 2014.
- 6. J.Shu and Y.Wu, Sharp upper bounds on the spectral radius of graphs, *Linear Algebra Appl.*, 377 (2004) 241 248.
- 7. G.Yu, Y.Wu and J.Shu, Sharp bounds on the signless Laplacian spectral radii of graphs, *Linear Algebra Appl.*, 434 (2011) 683 687.