

Relation Between Convexity Number and Independence Number of a Graph

S.V.Padmavathi

Ramanujan Research Centre in Mathematics
 Saraswathi Narayanan College, Perungudi
 Madurai-625 022, Tamilnadu, India.
 email: svpadhma@yahoo.co.in

Received 12 November 2014; accepted 4 December 2014

Abstract. The convexity number denoted by k in a connected graph G is the maximum cardinality of a proper convex set in G . Here in this paper graphs for which the independence number $\beta_0(G)$ of a graph G where $\beta_0(G) = k$, $\beta_0(G) < k$ and $\beta_0(G) > k$ are completely characterised. Also graphs for which $\omega(G) = k$ are characterised. Construction of graphs with prescribed $\beta_0(G)$ and k are presented.

Keywords: Convex set, Convexity number, Independence number

AMS Mathematics Subject Classification (2010): 05C12

1. Introduction

By a graph we mean undirected graph without loops or multiple edges. For terminology and notation not given here, the reader may refer to **Error! Reference source not found.**

For a connected graph G a subset S of vertices of G is said to be a convex set if for any two vertices u, v of S , S contains all the vertices of every $u - v$ shortest path in G . The convexity number of G is the maximum cardinality of a proper convex set of G . For a graph $G = (V, E)$, a subset T of V is independent if no two vertices in T are adjacent. The independence number $\beta_0(G)$ is the maximum cardinality of an independent set in G . Convexity number of a graph have been studied in [2,3,4,6]. Clique number of a graph is the maximum cardinality of a clique in G denoted by $\omega(G)$.

Example 1.1. $\beta_0(C_6) = \text{con}(C_6)$, $\beta_0(C_5) < \text{con}(C_5)$, $\beta_0(K_{2,3}) > \text{con}(K_{2,3})$. Thus there are graphs for which $\beta_0(G) = \text{con}(G)$, $\beta_0(G) < \text{con}(G)$, $\beta_0(G) > \text{con}(G)$. For prescribed values of β_0 and $\text{con}(G) = k$ graphs can be constructed.

2. Realization theorems

Theorem 2.1. For every pair β_0, k of integers there exists a non-complete connected graph G with $\beta_0 = \text{Independence number}$ and $\text{con}(G) = k$.

Proof: For a non-complete connected graph G either $2 \leq \beta_0 \leq k \leq n - 1$ or $2 \leq k < \beta_0 \leq n - 1$ holds.

(a) Consider $2 \leq \beta_0 \leq k \leq n - 1$.

Case (i) $\beta_0 = k$.

When $\beta_0 = k = 2$, C_4 is the required graph. When $\beta_0 = k = n - 1$, $K_{1,n-1}$ is the required graph. Therefore $3 \leq \beta_0 = k \leq n - 2$, and C_{2k} has $\beta_0 = k$.

Case (ii) $\beta_0 < k$.

When $k = 2$, K_3 has $\beta_0 = 1$. For $\beta_0 = 1$ and any $k > 1$, K_{k+1} is the required graph. When $k = n - 1$, K_n has $\beta_0 = 1$. For $\beta_0 = 2$ and any $k > 2$, $K_{1,1,\dots,1,2}$ (a k - partite graph) is the required graph. Therefore for $3 \leq \beta_0 < k \leq n - 2$, consider $F = K_{k-\beta_0+1} + \overline{K_{\beta_0-1}}$. Now order of the graph F is k . Let $\overline{K_{\beta_0-1}} = \{v_1, v_2, \dots, v_{\beta_0-1}\}$. Let $K_{k-\beta_0+1} = \{u_1, u_2, \dots, u_{k-\beta_0+1}\}$. For $n = k + 1$, construct F_1 from F by joining a pendant u to one of the vertices u_1 of F .

Clearly $\beta_0(F_1) = \{v_1, v_2, \dots, v_{\beta_0-1}, u\}$ and $con(F_1)$ is the whole graph F_1 with k vertices.

For $n = k + 2$, construct F_2 from F such that u_1u, uv and vv_1 are edges.

$\beta_0(F_2) = \{v_1, v_2, \dots, v_{\beta_0-1}, u\}$ and $con(F_2) = k$ vertices as neither u nor v can be in $con(F_2)$.

For $n = k + 3$, construct F_3 from F such that u_1u, uv, vu_2, wv and wv_1 are edges. $\beta_0(F_3) = \{v_1, v_2, \dots, v_{\beta_0-1}, u \text{ or } v\}$ and $con(F_3)$ has k vertices.

Now let $n \geq k + 4$. Consider $K_{k-\beta_0+m}$ and $\overline{K_{\beta_0-m}}$. Let $V(K_{k-\beta_0+m}) = \{u_1, u_2, \dots, u_{k-\beta_0+m}\}$. Let $V(\overline{K_{\beta_0-m}}) = \{v_1, v_2, \dots, v_{\beta_0-m}\}$. Obtain G of order n from $K_{k-\beta_0+m}$ and $\overline{K_{\beta_0-m}}$ by the following construction steps. Let $H = K_{k-\beta_0+m} + \overline{K_{\beta_0-m}}$. Let $v \in V(G)$ be such that $v \notin V(H)$ and $vv_1 \in E(G)$. Now split $n - k - 1$ vertices of G among m partitions. Suppose $n - k - 1$ is even and equal to $2m$ then m K_2 s can be obtained. Let one of the K_2 be uw and let $uv, vv_1, uu_1, wv_1, uv_1, wu_2$ be in $E(G)$. For rest of the K_2 s, one of the vertex must be joined to u , other to u_2 and both to v_1 .

For this graph neither v nor the K_2 s are included in the convexity number as they all come together. $con(G) = \{v_1, v_2, \dots, v_{\beta_0-m}, u_1, u_2, \dots, u_{k-\beta_0+m}\}$ and $\beta_0(G) = \{v_1, v_2, \dots, v_{\beta_0-m}, m \text{ vertices joined to } u_2\}$. Suppose $n - k - 1 \neq 2m$ and greater than $2m$ then rest of the vertices must be in P_3 , and one vertex of P_3 must be joined to u , rest of the two vertices joined to u_2 and all the three vertices to v_1 .

Suppose $n - k - 1 < 2m$ then K_2 is transformed to K_1 in some partitions. Here K_1 should be joined to u as well as to v_1 . In all the above cases $\beta_0(G) = \beta_0$ vertices and $con(G) = k$ vertices.

(b) Consider $2 \leq k < \beta_0 \leq n - 1$. When $k = 2$, K_{2,β_0} where $2 \leq \beta_0 \leq n - 1$. When $\beta_0 = n - 1$, $K_{2,n-1}$ is the required graph. Therefore $3 \leq k < \beta_0 \leq n - 2$. If $n < 9$ then for $k = 3$ one of the graphs $K_{2,2,4}, K_{1,2,5}, K_{1,1,6}$ holds good. For $k = 4$, $K_{1,1,2,5}$ is the required graph with $\beta_0 = 5$. If $n \geq 9$ the construction is as follows. Let $V(K_{k+1}) = \{u_1, u_2, \dots, u_{k+1}\}$, $V(\overline{K_{\beta_0-1}}) = \{v_1, v_2, \dots, v_{\beta_0-1}\}$ and $V(P_{n-(\beta_0+k)}) = \{w_1, w_2, \dots, w_{n-(\beta_0+k)}\}$. Let $H = K_{k+1} - u_1u_2$. Now join the vertices of $\overline{K_{\beta_0-1}}$ to u_1 and u_2 . Order of H is $k + \beta_0$. Rest of $n - (k + \beta_0)$ vertices are formed as a path. One of the end vertex w_1 (say) of the path is joined to v_1 and v_2 . Now w_2 is joined to v_3 , w_3 to v_4 and so on untill w_i is joined to v_{β_0-1} . Here w_{i+1} is joined to v_1 and the process

Relation Between Convexity Number and Independence Number of a Graph

repeated till all the vertices of the path exhaust. Let the resulting graph constructed be G . Clearly $con(G) = k$ consisting of vertices $\{u_3, u_4, \dots, u_{k+1}\}$ with exactly one of u_1 or u_2 . Also independence number of G consists of vertices $\{v_1, v_2, \dots, v_{\beta_0-1}\}$ with exactly one vertex of K_{k+1} .

3. Graph characterisation

Lemma 3.1. *Let S be a subset of $V(G)$. S is a maximum convex set if and only if S is not a geodetic set in $\langle SU\{u\} \rangle$ for any $u \in V - S$ and SUT is a geodetic set in $\langle G_1 \rangle$ for any T in $V - S$, for some G_1 , $G_1 = G$ for some $T \subset (V - S)$.*

Proof: Let S is a maximum convex set in G . Then there is no $u \in V - S$ such that u lies on a $x - y$ geodesic for any x, y in S that is S is not a geodetic set in $\langle SU\{u\} \rangle$ for any $u \in V - S$. Since S is a maximum convex set, S is not contained in any proper convex set of G . Therefore SUT for any $T \subset V - S$ is not a convex set. Thus SUT for any $T \subset V - S$ is a geodetic set in $\langle G_1 \rangle$ for some G_1 . If $G_1 \neq G$ for any $T \subset V - S$ then G_1 becomes a proper convex set with cardinality greater than S . Thus a contradiction to S a maximum convex set of G . Therefore SUT is a geodetic set for any $T \subset V - S$ in $\langle G_1 \rangle$ for some $G_1 = G$. Conversely suppose S satisfies the condition given in hypothesis then clearly S is a maximum convex set of G .

Theorem 3.2 *Let G be a non-complete connected graph of order n . Then $\beta_0 = k$ iff G is one of the following graphs.*

(i) $K_{1,n-1}$

(ii) C_n where n is even.

(iii) K_{n_1, n_2, \dots, n_r} with $\max |V_i| = r$.

(iv) r -partite graph with $\beta_0(G) = r$ and a set S with r vertices having property in 3.1.

Proof: Let G be a connected graph. Let $k = \beta_0$.

Acyclic: Let $v \in V(G)$. Suppose $\Delta \neq n - 1$. Then there exists a $u \in V(G)$ such that $uv \notin E(G)$. Clearly any two neighbors of v are non-adjacent. Therefore $\beta_0(G) = \deg(v)$ and $k = n - 1$. But $\deg(v) < n - 1$ which is a contradiction. Hence $\Delta = n - 1$. Thus $G = K_{1,n-1}$.

Cyclic: If G has a single cycle of order $m < n$ then rest of $n - m$ vertices are pendants or paths joined to some vertex of the cycle. Therefore $k = n - 1$ but $\beta_0 \neq n - 1$. Thus $G = C_{n=even}$.

If G has multicycles then as we know any graph can be transformed to an r -partite graph with maximum cardinality of $V_i = \beta_0(G)$, G is either a complete r -partite or non-complete r -partite. Clearly if G is complete r -partite then $k = r$. Let these set of r vertices be a set S (say). $k = r = \beta_0$ if and only if maximum cardinality of V_i is r . If G is transformed to a non-complete r -partite graph then let S be a subset of $V(G)$ with r vertices. Suppose G has an independent set with $\beta_0 = r$ vertices. $k = r$ if and only if S satisfies the hypothesis of 3.1. Conversely if G is one of the following in the hypothesis then $k = \beta_0$.

S.V.Padmavathi

Theorem 3.3. Let G be a noncomplete connected graph of order n . Then $\beta_0 > k$ if and only if G is an r - partite graph with $\max. |V_i| > r$ and a subset S with r vertices satisfying the hypothesis of 3.1.

Proof: Let $\beta_0 > k$. Then G has the following properties. G has a cycle. G has no pendant and $\deg(u) \geq 2$ for all u . Let $\max. |V_i| > r$. By 3.2 we are through.

Theorem 3.4. For a non-complete connected graph G of order n , $\beta_0 < k$ if and only if G is one of the following graph.

$G =$ acyclic with $\Delta < n - 1$, $C_{n=odd}$, K_{n_1, n_2, \dots, n_r} with $\max. |V_i| < r$ or non-complete r -partite with $\max. |V_i| < r$ and a subset S of cardinality r satisfying the hypothesis in 3.1.

Proof: If G is acyclic then clearly $\Delta < n - 1$. If G is cyclic then the proof is same as in 3.2.

Theorem 3.5. Let G be a non-complete connected graph. Then clique number $\omega = k$ if and only if G is a complete r -partite graph or G has a maximum clique set S satisfying hypothesis of 3.1.

Proof: Clearly $\omega = k \neq 1$. Let $\omega(G) = k$. Then G is not acyclic. If G is unicyclic then $\omega = 2$ but $k > 2$ for $n \geq 5$. If G is a complete graph then $\omega(G) = n$ but $k = n - 1$. Let S be a set containing maximum clique set. Suppose S does not satisfy the hypothesis of 3.1 then there exists a subset T in $(V - S)$ such that $S \cup T$ is a geodetic set in $G_1 \neq G$. Clearly $\omega(G) < k$. Thus a contradiction. Conversely if a maximum clique set S of G satisfies the hypothesis of the theorem then $\omega(G) = k$.

4. Conclusion

In this paper, I have compared two different numbers namely convexity and independence number of a graph. Both the parameters are of hereditary property. I have constructed and characterised graphs using these two parameters. One can similarly compare two or more parameters of same nature. I shall explore the above parameters on product graphs as a part of my future work.

Acknowledgement. The author is thankful to the University Grants Commission, New Delhi, for sponsoring this work under grant of Junior Research Fellowship.

REFERENCES

1. F.Buckley and F.Harary, Distance in graphs, Redwood City, Addison–Wesley, 1990.
2. G.Chartrand, C.E.Wall and P.Zhang, The convexity number of a graph, *Graphs and Combinatorics*, 18 (2002) 209-217.
3. D.B.West, Introduction to Graph Theory, Second Edition Prentice- Hall, 2001.
4. J.Gimbel, Some remarks on the convexity number of a graph, *Graphs and Combinatorics*, 19 (2003) 351-361.
5. F.Harary, *Graph Theory*, Addison Wesle , Reading Mass, 1969.
6. J.Nieminen and F.Harary, Convexity in graphs, *J. Differ. Geom.*, 16 (1981) 185-190.
7. D.K.Thakkar and A.A.Prajapati, Vertex covering and independence in semi-graph, *Annals of Pure and Applied Mathematics*, 4(2) (2013) 172-181.
8. M.Van de Vel , Theory of convex structures, North - Holland, Amsterdam, MA, 1993.