Annals of Pure and Applied Mathematics Vol. 13, No. 2, 2017, 235-240 ISSN: 2279-087X (P), 2279-0888(online) Published on 29 April 2017 www.researchmathsci.org DOI: http://dx.doi.org/10.22457/apam.v13n2a10

Annals of **Pure and Applied Mathematics**

Weak Positive Implicative BRK-Algebras

K.Venkateswarlu¹, Girum Aklilu² and Zelalem Teshome³

¹Department of Mathematics, Dr.Lankapalli Bullyya College, Visakhapatnam, AP, India ^{2,3}Department of Mathematics, Addis Ababa University, Addis Ababa, Ethiopia ¹Corresponding Author. E-mail: drkvenkateswarlu@gmail.com ²E-mail: aklilu.girum@gmail.com; ³E-mail: zelalemwale@yahoo.com

Received 19 March 2017; accepted 6 April 2017

Abstract. In this paper we introduce the notion of weak positive implicative BRK-algebra and study its properties via left maps.

Keywords: Quotient BRK-Algebra, Weak positive implicative BRK-Algebra, R-map, L-map, Homomorphism.

AMS Mathematics Subject Classification (2010): 06F35, 08A35

1. Introduction

In 1996, two classes of abstract algebras, BCK-algebras and BCI-algebras,were introduced by Imai and Iseki [1, 2]. It is known that the class of BCK-algebras is a proper subclass of BCI-algebras. Since then many researchers introduced and studied different classes of new algebras as a generalization of BCK/BCI-algebras.

In 1983, Hu and Li introduced the notion of BCH-algebras [4] as a generalization of BCI-algebras and studied certain properties of these algebras. In this direction, Jun, Roh and Kim introduced a new class of algebra namely BH-algebras [6] as a generalization of BCH-algebras. Q-Algebras and QS-algebras [5] are further generalizations of BCH algebras. Recently, Ravi Kumar Bandaru introduced the notion of BRK-algebras [3] which is a generalization of BCI/BCI/BCH/Q/QS-algebras and studied various properties of BRK-Algebras. His study was confined to give various characterizations for these BCK/BCI/BCH/Q/QS-algebras with BRK-Algebras.

Ravi kumar defined BRK-algebra as an algebra X = (X, *, 0) of type (2,0) which satisfies the axioms (i) x*0 = x and (ii) (x*y)*x = 0*y for any $x, y \in X$. It is known that in any BRK-algebra X the following holds for any $x, y \in X$ (see [3]),

- x * x = 0
- $0^*(x^*y) = (0^*x)^*(0^*y)$
- x * y = 0 implies 0 * x = 0 * y

He also introduced the notion of positive implicative BRK-algebra as a BRK-algebra which satisfies the condition ((x*y)*y)*(0*y) = x*y and gave a necessary and sufficient condition for a BRK-algebra to be positive implicative.

K.Venkateswarlu, Girum Aklilu and Zelalem Teshome

In this paper we establish an isomorphism theorem for quotient BRK-Algebra determined by homomorphism. Furthermore we make use of weak positive implicative BRK-algebras and study their properties via right maps and left maps.

2. Quotient BRK-algebra determined by homomorphism

Definition 2.1. Let X = (X, *, 0) and Y = (Y, *, 0) be BRK-algebras. A mapping $f : X \to Y$ is called a homomorphism from X into Y if f(x * y) = f(x) * f(y) for all $x, y \in X$.

A homomorphism f is called a monomorphism (resp., epimorphism) if it is injective (resp., surjective). A bijective homomorphism is called an isomorphism. Two BRK-algebras X and Y are said to be isomorphic, written $X \cong Y$, if there exists an isomorphism $f: X \to Y$. For any homomorphism $f: X \to Y$ the set $\{x \in X : f(x) = 0\}$ is called kernel of f, denoted by *Kerf* and the set $\{f(x) : x \in X\}$ is called the image of f, denoted by *Imf*.

Theorem 2.2. Let $f: X \to Y$ be a homomorphism of BRK-algebras. Define a relation \sim on X by $x \sim y$ if and only if f(x) = f(y) for all $x, y \in X$. Then \sim is a congruence relation on X which is called the congurence relation determined by the homomorphism f.

Proof: Clearly ~ is an equivalence relation on X. Next suppose $x \sim y$ and $u \sim v$. Then f(x) = f(y) and f(u) = f(v). Now since

 $f(x^*u) = f(x)^* f(u) = f(y)^* f(v) = f(y^*v), x * u \sim y * v$. Thus \sim is a congruence relation on X.

We denote the equivalence class of x determined by \sim by $[x]_f$ and the set of all equivalence classes by X/f i.e $[x]_f = \{y \in X : x \sim y\}$ and $X/f = \{[x]_f : x \in X\}$.

Theorem 2.3. Let $f: X \to Y$ be homomorphism on BRK-algebras. Define * on X/f by $[x]_f * [y]_f = [x * y]_f$. Then $(X/f, *, [0]_f)$ is a BRK algebra. It is called the quotient BRK-algebra determined by the homomorphism f.

Proof: Since ∼ is a congruence relation on X, * is well defined. Now for any

 $[x]_f, [y]_f \in X/f$ we have

- 1. $[x]_f * [0]_f = [x * 0]_f = [x]_f$ and
- 2. $([x]_f * [y]_f) * [x]_f = [x * y]_f * [x]_f = [(x * y) * x]_f = [0 * y]_f = [0]_f * [y]_f$.

Thus $(X/f, *, [0]_f)$ is a BRK-algebra.

Remark 2.4. Clearly $[0]_f = Kerf$.

Theorem 2.5. Let $f: X \to Y$ be homomorphism of BRK-algebras. Then the image of f is isomorphic to the quotient BRK-algebra determined by f, i.e. $X/f \cong Imf$.

Weak Positive Implicative BRK-Algebras

Proof: Define a mapping $\theta: X/f \to Imf$ by $\theta([x]_f) = f(x)$. Then

1. θ is well defined. Indeed suppose $[x]_f = [y]_f$ but then

$$[x]_f = [y]_f \Rightarrow x \in [y]_f \Rightarrow f(x) = f(y)$$
. Thus $\theta([x]_f) = \theta([y]_f)$.

2. θ is homomorphism. Indeed for any $[x]_f, [y]_f \in X/f$ we have

$$\theta([x]_{f} * [y]_{f}) = \theta([x * y]_{f}) = f(x * y) = f(x) * f(y) = \theta([x]_{f}) * \theta([y]_{f})$$

3. Clearly θ is bijective.

Hence $X/f \cong Imf$.

3. Weak implicative BRK-algebra

Here we will define weak implicative BRK-algebra and investigate its properties.

Definition 3.1. A BRK-algebra X = (X, *, 0) is said to be weak positive implicative if it satisfies (x * y) * z = (x * z) * (y * z) for all x, y and $z \in X$

Example 3.2. Let $X = \{0,1,2,3\}$ be a set with the following cayley table:

*	0	1	2	3
0	0	0	0	0
1	1	0	1	0
2	2	2	0	0
3	3	3	3	0

Then (X, *, 0) is a weak positive implicative BRK-algebra.

The next example shows the existence of weak implicative BRK algebra which is not BCK/BCI/BCH-algebra.

Example 3.3. Let Z be the set of integers. Define * on Z by

$$x^* y = \begin{cases} x, & \text{if } y = 0\\ 0, & \text{if } y \neq 0 \end{cases}$$

Then (Z,*,0) is a weak positive implicative BRK-algebra which is not BCK/BCI/BCH-algebra.

Lemma 3.4. *In any weak positive implicative BRK-algebra* X *, the following hold for all* $x, y \in X$.

1. $0^* x = 0$ 2. $(x^* y)^* x = 0$ 3. $x^* y = (x^* y)^* y$ 4. $(x^* (x^* y))^* y = 0$

Proof. Let $x, y \in X$. Then

K.Venkateswarlu, Girum Aklilu and Zelalem Teshome

1.
$$0^* x = (x^* x)^* x = (x^* x)^* (x^* x) = 0^* 0 = 0$$

2. $(x^* y)^* x = 0^* y = 0$
3. $x^* y = (x^* y)^* 0 = (x^* y)^* (y^* y) = (x^* y)^* y$
4. $(x^* (x^* y))^* y = (x^* y)^* ((x^* y)^* y) = (x^* y)^* (x^* y) = 0.$

Theorem 3.5. Every weak positive implicative BRK-algebra is positive implicative. **Proof.** Let X = (X, *, 0) be a weak positive implicative BRK-algebra. For any $x, y \in X$, we have ((x * y) * y) * (0 * y) = ((x * y) * y) * 0 = (x * y) * y = x * y. Thus X is positive implicative BRK-algebra.

Remark 3.6. *The converse of the above theorem is not true.*

Example 3.7. Let $X = \{0,1,2\}$ be a set with Cayley table:

*	0	1	2
0	0	2	2
1	1	0	0
2	2	0	0

Then (X,*,0) is a positive implicative BRK-algebra [see 3] which is not a weak positive implicative (as $(1*1)*1 = 2 \neq 0 = (1*1)*(1*1)$).

4. R-maps and L-maps in BRK-algebra

In this section we investigate the properties of R-maps and L-maps in weak positive implicative BRK-algebras.

Definition 4.1. Let X = (X, *, 0) be a BRK-algebra and $a \in X$ be a fixed element. Then the map $R_a : X \to X$ given by $R_a(x) = x^*a$ is called right map of X and the map $L_a : X \to X$ given by $L_a(x) = a^*x$ is called left map of X. The set of all left maps is denoted by L(X).

Definition 4.2. A right map R_a is called idempotent if $R_a \circ R_a = R_a$ where \circ is the usual composition of maps.

Remark 4.3. Clearly for any a, R_a is idempotent if and only if $(x^*a)^*a = x^*a$ for all $x \in X$.

Theorem 4.4. If a BRK-algebra X = (X, *, 0) is weak positive implicative, then every right map on X is idempotent.

Proof. For any $a \in X$, $R_a(x) = x^*a = (x^*a)^*a = R_a(R_a(x)) = (R_a \circ R_a)(x)$ for all

Weak Positive Implicative BRK-Algebras

 $x \in X$. Hence $R_a \circ R_a = R_a$.

Theorem 4.5. A BRK-algebra X = (X, *, 0) is a weak positive implicative if and only if every right map is a homomorphism.

Proof. Suppose X is a weak positive implicative BRK-algebra. Then for each $a \in X$, $R_a(x*y) = (x*y)*a = (x*a)*(y*a) = R_a(x)*R_a(y)$. Thus R_a is a homomorphism. For the converse suppose every right map is a homomorphism. Now for any $x, y, z \in X$ we have $(x*y)*z = R_z(x*y) = R_z(x)*R_z(y) = (x*z)*(y*z)$. Hence X is weak positive implicative.

Theorem 4.6. In any BRK-algebra X = (X, *, 0), if L_a is a homomorphism, then a = 0. **Proof.** Suppose L_a is a homomorphism. But then

 $a = a * 0 = L_a(0) = L_a(0*0) = L_a(0) * L_a(0) = (a*0) * (a*0) = a*a = 0.$

For a BRK-algebra X = (X, *, 0) we define a binary operation \otimes on L(X) by $(L_a \otimes L_b)(x) := L_a(x) * L_b(x)$ for any $L_a, L_b \in L(X)$. We have the following Lemma.

Lemma 4.7. Let X = (X, *, 0) be weak positive implicatice BRK-algebra. For any $L_a, L_b, L_c \in L(X)$, we have i. $L_a \otimes L_b = L_{a^{*b}}$ i.e. $L_a \otimes L_b \in L(X)$. ii. $(L_a \otimes L_b) \otimes L_c = (L_a \otimes L_c) \otimes (L_a \otimes L_c)$. Proof. For any $x \in X$ we have i. $(L_a \otimes L_b)(x) = L_a(x)^* L_b(x) = (a^*x)^* (b^*x) = (a^*b)^*x = L_{a^{*b}}(x)$ and so $L_a \otimes L_b = L_{a^{*b}}$.

$$\begin{split} \text{ii.} \ (L_a \otimes L_b) \otimes L_c &= L_{a^*b} \otimes L_c = L_{(a^*b)^*c} \\ &= L_{(a^*c)^*(b^*c)} = L_{a^*c} \otimes L_{b^*c} \\ &= (L_a \otimes L_c) \otimes (L_a \otimes L_c) \quad \blacksquare \end{split}$$

Theorem 4.8 If X = (X, *, 0) is a weak positive implicative BRK-algebra, then $L(X) = (L(X), \otimes, L_0)$ is a weak positive implicative BRK-algebra.

Proof. It is enough to show that $L(X) = (L(X), \otimes, L_0)$ is a BRK-algebra. Now for any $L_a, L_b \in L(X)$ we have

1.
$$L_a \otimes L_0 = L_{a^{*0}} = L_a$$
, and
2. $(L_a \otimes L_b) \otimes L_a = L_{(a^{*b})^{*a}} = L_{0^{*b}} = L_0 \otimes L_b$

Therefore L(X) is a BRK-algebra and hence by the above lemma it is weak positive implicative.

K.Venkateswarlu, Girum Aklilu and Zelalem Teshome

Corollary 4.9. Let X = (X, *, 0) be a weak positive implicative BRK-algebra. Then the map $f: X \to L(X)$ given by $f(x) = L_x$ is an epimorphism and $X/f \cong L(X)$ where X/f is the quotient BRK-algebra determined by the homomorphism f.

5. Conclusion

In this paper, we have introduced the notion of weak positive implicative BRK-algebra and showed that the set of all left maps on weak positive implicative BRK-algebra is also weak positive implicative BRK-algebra. We have also investigated the conditions under which right maps and left maps becomes a homomorphism.

Acknowledgment. We thank the referees for their valuable comments and suggestions. Moreover the second author would like to thank the authorities of Dr. Lankapalli Bullyya College for providing the necessary facilities to carry out the research.

REFERENCES

- 1. Y.Imai and K.Iseki, On axiom systems of propositional calculi XIV, *Proceedings of the Japan Academy*, 42 (1966) 19-22.
- 2. K.Iseki, An algebra related with a propositional calculus, *Proceedings of the Japan Academy*, 42 (1966) 26-29.
- 3. R.K.Bandaru, On BRK algebras, *International Journal of Mathematics and Mathematical Sciences*, vol. 2012 (2012) Article ID 952654.
- 4. Q.P.Hu and X.Li, On BCH algebras, *Mathematics Seminar Notes*, 11 (1983) 313-320.
- 5. J.Negger, S.S.Ahn and H.S.Kim, On Q algebras, *International Journal of Mathematics and Mathematical Sciences*, 27(12) (2001) 749-757.
- 6. S.S.Ahn and H.S.Kim, R-maps and L-maps in BH-algebras, *Journal of the Chungcheong Mathematical Society*, 13(2) (2000) 53-59.
- Y.B.Jun, E.H.Roh and H.S.Kim, On BH algebras, *Scientiae Mathematicae Japonica*, 1 (3) (1989) 347-354.
- 8. A.Walendziak, On BM-algebra and related topics, *Mathematica Slovaca*, 64 (2014) 1075-1082.
- 9. A.Walendziak, On BF-algebras, Math. Slovaca, 57 (2007) 119-128.
- 10. W.A.Dudek and Y.B.Jun, On radical theory in BCH-algebras, *Algebra and Discrete Mathematics*, 1 (2002) 69-78.