Annals of Pure and Applied Mathematics Vol. 14, No. 2, 2017, 237-243 ISSN: 2279-087X (P), 2279-0888(online) Published on 31 August 2017 www.researchmathsci.org DOI: http://dx.doi.org/10.22457/apam.v14n2a5

Annals of **Pure and Applied Mathematics**

Cone S-Metric Space and Fixed Point Theorems of Contractive Mappings

D.Dhamodharan¹ and R. Krishnakumar²

¹Department of Mathematics, Jamal Mohamed College (Autonomous) Tiruchirappalli -620020, India. E-mail: dharan_raj28@yahoo.co.in ²Department of Mathematics, Urumu Dhanalakshmi College Tiruchirappalli-620019, India E-mail address: <u>srksacet@yahoo.co.in</u> ¹Corresponding author

Received 28 July 2017; accepted 19 August 2017

Abstract. In this paper, we discuss the concept of generalized *S*-Metric space of Cone *S*-Metric space and prove some contractive conditions of unique fixed point.

Keywords: Common fixed point, cone S metric space, cone normed space cone C –class function.

AMS Mathematics Subject Classification (2010): 47H10, 54H25

1. Introduction and mathematical preliminaries

In 2007, Huang and Zhang [10] introduced the concept of cone metric spaces and fixed point theorems of contraction mappings; Any mapping *T* of a complete cone metric space *X* into itself that satisfies, for some $0 \le k < 1$, the inequality $d(Tx, Ty) \le kd(x, y) \forall x, y \in X$ has a unique fixed point. In 2012, Sedghi et al. [6] introduced the concept of generalization of fixed point theorems in *S*-metric spaces. Rahman and Sarwar [7] are discussed in fixed point results of Altman integral type mappings in *S*-metric space. In recently, Ozgur and Tas [8] are discuss new contractive conditions of integral type on complete *S*-metric spaces. In 2017, Gholidahneh, et al. [12] are introduce the notion of integral type contractive mapping with respect to ordered s-metric spaces and coupled common fixed point theorems of integral type contraction.

In this paper, we discuss the concept of cone S metric space for some contraction of fixed point theorems.

Definition1.1. [10] Let E be a Banach space. A subset P of E is called a cone if and only if:

- 1. *P* is closed, nonempty and $P \neq 0$
- 2. $ax + by \in P$ for all $x, y \in P$ and nonnegative real numbers a, b
- 3. $P \cap (-P) = \{0\}.$

Given a cone $P \subset E$, we define a partial ordering \leq with respect to P by $x \leq y$ if and only if $y - x \in P$. We will write x < y to indicate that $x \leq y$ but $x \neq y$, while x, y

D.Dhamodharan and R. Krishnakumar

will stand for $y - x \in intP$, where intP denotes the interior of *P*. The cone *P* is called normal if there is a number K > 0 such that $0 \le x \le y$ implies $||x|| \le K ||y|$ for all $x, y \in E$. The least positive number satisfying the above is called the normal constant.

The cone *P* is called regular if every increasing sequence which is bounded from above is convergent. That is, if $\{x_n\}$ is sequence such that $x_1 \le x_2 \le \dots \le x_n \dots \le y$ for some $y \in E$, then there is $x \in E$ such that $||x_n - x|| \to 0$ as $n \to 0$. Equivalently the cone *P* is regular if and only if every decreasing sequence which is bounded from below is convergent. It is well known that a regular cone is a normal cone. Suppose *E* is a Banach space, *P* is a cone in *E* with $intP \neq 0$ and \le is partial ordering with respect to *P*.

Example 1.2. [4] Let K > 1 be given. Consider the real vector space with

$$E = \{ax + b: a, b \in R; x \in [1 - \frac{1}{k}, 1]\}$$

with supremum norm and the cone

 $P = \{ax + b : a \ge 0, b \ge 0\}$

in *E*. The cone *P* is regular and so normal.

Definition 1.3. [10] Let X be a nonempty set. Suppose the mapping $d: X \times X \to E$ satisfies

1. $d(x, y) \ge 0$, and d(x, y) = 0 if and only if $x = y \forall x, y \in X$,

- 2. $d(x, y) = d(y, x), \forall x, y \in X,$
- 3. $d(x, y) \le d(x, z) + d(z, y), \forall x, y, z \in X$,

Then (X, d) is called a cone metric space simply CMS.

Lemma 1.4. [11] Every regular cone is normal.

Example 1.5. [10] Let $E = R^2$ $P = \{(x, y) : x, y \ge 0\}$ $X = R \text{ and } d: X \times X \to E \text{ such that}$ $d(x, y) = (|x - y|, \alpha | x - y|)$ where $\alpha \ge 0$ is a constant. Then(X, d) is a Cone metric space.

Definition 1.6. [9] Let $X \neq \emptyset$ be any set and $S: X \times X \times X \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ be a function satisfying the following conditions for all $u, v, z, a \in X$.

- 1. $S(u, v, z) \ge 0$
- 2. S(u, v, z) = 0 if and only if u = v = z.
- 3. $S(u, v, z) \le S(u, u, a) + S(v, v, a) + S(z, z, a)$

Then the function S is called an S-metric on X and the pair (X, S) is called an S-metric space simply SMS.

Example 1.7. [12] Let X be a non empty set, d is ordinary metric space on X, then S(x, y, z) = d(x, z) + d(y, z) is an S- metric on X.

Definition 1.8. Suppose that *E* is a real Banach space, then *P* is a cone in *E* with $intP \neq \emptyset$, and \leq is partial ordering with respect to *P*. Let *X* be a nonempty set, a function

Cone S-Metric Space and Fixed Point Theorems of Contractive Mappings

 $d: X \times X \times X \to E$ is called a cone S metric on X if it satisfies the following conditions with

- 1. $S(u, v, z) \ge 0$
- 2. S(u, v, z) = 0 if and only if u = v = z.
- 3. $S(u, v, z) \leq S(u, u, a) + S(v, v, a) + S(z, z, a)$

Then the function S is called an cone S-metric on X and the pair (X, S) is called an cone S-metric space simply CSMS.

Example 1.9. Let $E = R^2$, $P = \{(x, y) : x, y \ge 0\}$, X = R and $d: X \times X \times X \to E$ such that then $S(x, y, z) = (d(x, z) + d(y, z), \alpha(d(x, z) + d(y, z))), (\alpha > 0)$ is an cone *S*-metric on *X*.

Lemma 1.10. Let (X, S) be an cone S-metric space. Then we have S(u, u, v) = S(v, v, u).

Definition 1.11. Let (X, S) be an cone S-metric space.

- A sequence {u_n} in X converges to u if and only if S(u_n, u_n, u) → 0 as n → ∞. That is, there exists n₀ ∈ N such that for all n ≥ n₀, S(u_n, u_n, u) ≪ c for each c ∈ E, 0 ≪ c. We denote this by lim_{n→∞} u_n = u or lim_{n→∞} S(u_n, u_n, u) = 0.
 A sequence {u_n} in X is called a Cauchy sequence if S(u_n, u_n, u_m) → 0 as n, m →
- 2. A sequence $\{u_n\}$ in X is called a Cauchy sequence if $S(u_n, u_n, u_m) \to 0$ as $n, m \to \infty$. That is, there exists $n_0 \in N$ such that for all $n, m \geq n_0$, $S(u_n, u_n, u_m) \ll c$ for each $c \in E, 0 \ll c$.
- 3. The cone S-metric space (X, S) is called complete if every Cauchy sequence is convergent.

In the following lemma we see the relationship between a cone metric and an cone Smetric.

Lemma 1.12. Let (X, d) be a cone metric space. Then the following properties are satisfied:

- 1. S(u, v, z) = d(u, z) + d(v, z) for all $u, v, z \in X$ is an cone S-metric on X.
- 2. $u_n \rightarrow u$ in $\{X, d\}$ if and only if $u_n \rightarrow u$ in (X, S_d) :
- 3. $\{u_n\}$ is Cauchy in $\{X, d\}$ if and only if $\{u_n\}$ is Cauchy in (X, S_d) :
- 4. $\{X, d\}$ is complete if and only if (X, S_d) is complete.

2. Main result

Theorem 2.1. Let (X, S) be a complete cone *S*-metric space and *P* be a normal cone with normal constant *K*. Suppose the mapping $T: X \to X$ satisfies the following conditions:

 $S(Tu, Tu, Tv) \leq h S(u, u, v)$ (2.1) for all $u, v \in X$. Then *T* has a unique fixed point $w \in X$ and we have $\lim_{n \to \infty} T^n u = w$, for each $u \in X$

Proof: Let $u_0 \in X$ and the sequence $\{u_n\}$ be defined as $T^n u_0 = u_n$. Suppose that $u_n \neq u_{n+1}$ for all *n*. Using the inequality (2.1), we obtain

 $S(u_n, u_n, u_{n+1}) \leq h S(u_{n-1}, u_{n-1}, u_n) \leq \dots \leq h^n S(u_0, u_0, u_1)$ (2.2) If we take limit for $n \to \infty$, using the inequality (2.2) we get

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} S(u_n, u_n, u_{n+1}) = 0$$

D.Dhamodharan and R. Krishnakumar

Since $h \in (0,1)$. The $\varepsilon > 0$ implies $\lim_{n \to \infty} S(u_n, u_n, u_{n+1}) = 0$.

Now we show that the sequence $\{u_n\}$ is a Cauchy sequence. Assume that $\{u_n\}$ is not Cauchy. Then there exists an $0 \ll \varepsilon$ and subsequences $\{m_k\}$ and $\{n_k\}$ such that $m_k \prec n_k \prec m_{k+1}$ with

$$S(u_{m_k}, u_{m_k}, u_{n_k}) \ge \epsilon \tag{2.3}$$

And

$$S(u_{m_k}, u_{m_k}, u_{n_{k-1}}) \prec \varepsilon \tag{2.4}$$

Hence using Lemma (1.10), we have

$$S(u_{m_{k-1}}, u_{m_{k-1}}, u_{n_{k-1}}) \leq 2S(u_{m_{k-1}}, u_{m_{k-1}}, u_{m_{k}}) + S(u_{n_{k-1}}, u_{n_{k-1}}, u_{m_{k}}) < 2S(u_{m_{k-1}}, u_{m_{k-1}}, u_{m_{k}}) + \epsilon$$

And

 $\lim_{k \to \infty} S(u_{m_k} - 1, u_{m_k} - 1, u_{m_k} - 1) \leq \epsilon$ Using the inequalities (2.1), (2.3) and (2.5), we obtain $\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} S(u_{m_k} - 1, u_{m_k} - 1, u_{m_k} - 1) \leq \epsilon$ (2.5)

$$\in \langle S(u_{m_k}, u_{m_k}, u_{n_k}) \rangle \leq \langle S(u_{m_k} - 1, u_{m_k} - 1, u_{n_k} - 1) \rangle \leq h \epsilon$$

which is a contradiction with our assumption since $h \in (0,1)$. So the sequence $\{u_n\}$ is Cauchy. Using the completeness hypothesis, there exists $w \in X$ such that $\lim_{n \to \infty} T^n u_0 = w$. From the inequality (2.1) we find

 $S(Tw, Tw, u_n + 1) = S(Tw, Tw, u_n) \leq h S(w, w, u_n)$ Therefore, $\lim_{n \to 0} ||S(Tw, Tw, u_n + 1)|| \leq h K ||S(Tw, Tw, w)||$

$$\lim ||S(Tw, Tw, w)|| \leq h K ||S(Tw, Tw, w)||$$

Since $h \in (0,1)$, then S(Tw, Tw, w) = 0 which is implies that $S(Tw, Tw, w) \ll 0$ thus Tw = w.

To prove T has unique fixed point.

Let w, w_1 be two fixed points of T such that $w \neq w_1$. Taking u = w and $v = w_1$ in equation (2.1) we have

$$S(w, w, w_1) = S(Tw, Tw, w_1) \leq h S(w, w, w_1)$$

where $h \in (0,1)$, which is implies
$$S(w, w, w_1) = 0$$

Thus
$$w = w_1$$
.

Corollary 2.2. Let (X, S) be a complete cone *S*-metric space and *P* be a normal cone with normal constant *K*. Suppose the mapping $T: X \to X$ satisfies the following conditions:

 $S(Tu, Tu, Tv) \leq h \quad S(u, u, v)$ (2.6) for all $u, v \in X$. Then T has a unique fixed point $w \in X$ and we have $\lim_{n \to \infty} T^n u = w$, for each $u \in X$.

Theorem 2.3. Let (X, S) be a complete cone *S*-metric space and *P* be a normal cone with normal constant *K*. Suppose the mapping $T: X \to X$ satisfies the following conditions: $S(Tu, Tu, Tv) \leq h_1S(u, u, v) + h_2S(Tu, Tu, v) + h_3S(Tv, Tv, u) + h_4max\{S(Tu, Tu, v), S(Tv, Tv, u)\}$ (2.7) for all $u, v \in X$ with non negative real numbers $h_i (i \in \{1, 2, 3, 4\})$ satisfying max $\{h_1 + 3h_3 + 2h_4, h_1 + h_2 + h_3\} < 1$. Cone S-Metric Space and Fixed Point Theorems of Contractive Mappings

Then *T* has a unique fixed point $w \in X$ and we have $\lim_{n \to \infty} T^n u = w$, for each $u \in X$. **Proof:** Let $u_0 \in X$ and the sequence $\{u_n\}$ be defined as $\lim_{n \to \infty} T^n u_0 = u_n$ Suppose that $u_n \neq u_{n+1}$ for all *n*. Using the inequality (2.7), the condition (S3) and Lemma (1.10), we get

$$\begin{split} S(u_n, u_n, u_{n+1}) &= S(Tu_{n-1}, Tu_{n-1}, Tu_n) \\ &\leqslant h_1 S(u_{n-1}, u_{n-1}, u_n) + h_2 S(u_n, u_n, u_n) + h_3 S(u_{n+1}, u_{n+1}, u_{n-1}) \\ &\quad + h_4 \max\{S(u_n, u_n, u_{n-1}), S(u_{n+1}, u_{n+1}, u_n)\} \\ &= h_1 S(u_{n-1}, u_{n-1}, u_n) + h_3 S(u_{n+1}, u_{n+1}, u_{n-1}) \\ &\quad + h_4 \max\{S(u_n, u_n, u_{n-1}), S(u_{n+1}, u_{n+1}, u_n)\} \\ &\leqslant h_1 S(u_{n-1}, u_{n-1}, u_n) + h_3 \{2S(u_{n+1}, u_{n+1}, u_n) + h_3 S(u_{n-1}, u_{n-1}, u_n) \\ &\quad + h_4 S(u_{n+1}, u_{n+1}, u_{n-1}) + h_4 S(u_{n+1}, u_{n+1}, u_n) \end{split}$$

 $= (h_1 + h_3 + h_4) + h_4 S(u_{n-1}, u_{n-1}, u_n) + (2h_3 + h_4) + h_4 S(u_n, u_n, u_{n+1})$ which implies

$$S(u_n, u_n, u_{n+1}) \leq \frac{h_1 + h_3 + h_4}{1 - 2h_3 - h_4} S(u_{n-1}, u_{n-1}, u_n)$$
(2.8)

If we put $h = \frac{h_1 + h_3 + h_4}{1 - 2h_3 - h_4}$ then we find h < 1 since $h_1 + 3h_3 + 2h_4 < 1$. Using the inequality (2.8), we have

 $\lim_{n \to 0} ||S(u_n, u_n, u_{n+1})|| \leq h^n K ||S(u_0, u_0, u_1)|| (2.9)$ If we take limit for $n \to \infty$, using the inequality (2.9), we get

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} S(u_n, u_n, u_{n+1}) = 0$$

since $h \in (0,1)$. For all $\varepsilon > 0$ implies $\lim_{n \to \infty} S(u_n, u_n, u_{n+1}) = 0$. By the similar arguments used in the proof of theorem (2.1), we see that the sequence $\{u_n\}$ is Cauchy. Then there exists $w \in X$ such that $\lim_{n \to \infty} T^n u_0 = w$, since (X, S) is a complete cone S-metric space. From the inequality (9) we find

$$S(u_n, u_n, Tw) = S(Tu_{n-1}, Tu_{n-1}, Tw) \leq h_1 S(u_{n-1}, u_{n-1}, Tw) + h_2 S(u_n, u_n, w) + h_3 S(Tw, Tw, u_{n-1}) + h_4 \max\{S(u_n, u_n, u_{n-1}), S(Tw, Tw, w)\}$$

Therefore, $\lim_{n \to 0} \left| |S(u_n, u_n, Tw)| \right| \leq (h_3 + h_4)K ||S(Tw, Tw, w)||$

$$||S(Tw, Tw, w)|| \leq (h_3 + h_4)K||S(Tw, Tw, w)||,$$

since $h_3 + h_4 < 1$. Then S(Tw, Tw, w) = 0 which implies that $S(Tw, Tw, w) \ll 0$ thus Tw = w.

Prove that T has unique fixed point. Let w, w_1 be two fixed points of T such that $w \neq w_1$. Taking u = w and $v = w_1$ in equation (2.7), we have

$$S(w, w, w_1) = S(Tw, Tw, w_1)$$

$$\leq h_1 S(w, w, w_1) + h_2 S(w, w, w_1) + h_3 S(w_1, w_1, w_1) + h_4 max \{S(w, w, w), S(w_1, w_1, w_1)\}$$

which implies

$$S(w, w, w_1) \leq (h_1 + h_2 + h_3) S(w, w, w_1)$$

Then we obtain

$$S(w, w, w_1) = 0$$

that is, $w = w_1$ since $h_1 + h_2 + h_3 < 1$. Consequently, T has a unique fixed point $w \in X$.

D.Dhamodharan and R. Krishnakumar

Corollary 2.4. Let (X, S) be a complete cone *S*-metric space and *P* be a normal cone with normal constant *K*. Suppose the mapping $T: X \to X$ satisfies the following conditions:

 $S(Tu, Tu, Tv) \leq h_1 S(u, u, v) + h_2 S(Tu, Tu, v) + h_3 S(Tv, Tv, u) +$

 $h_4 \max \{S(Tu, Tu, v), S(Tv, Tv, u)\}$

for all $u, v \in X$ with non-negative real numbers $h_i (i \in \{1,2,3,4\})$ satisfying $\max\{h_1 + 3h_3 + 2h_4, h_1 + h_2 + h_3\} < 1$, Then *T* has a unique fixed point $w \in X$ and we have $\lim_{n\to\infty} T^n u = w$, for each $u \in X$.

Theorem 2.5. Let (X, S) be a complete cone *S*-metric space and *P* be a normal cone with normal constant *K*. Suppose the mapping $T: X \to X$ satisfies the following conditions:

 $\begin{array}{l} S(Tu,Tu,Tv) \leq h_1 S(u,u,v) + h_2 S(Tu,Tu,u) + h_3 S(Tu,Tu,v) + h_4 S(Tv,Tv,u) \\ + h_5 S(Tv,Tv,v) + h_6 \max \left\{ S(u,u,v), S(Tu,Tu,u), S(Tu,Tu,v), S(Tv,Tv,u), \\ S(Tv,Tv,v) \right\} \\ \text{for all } u,v \in X \text{ with non negative real numbers } h_i (i \in \{1,2,3,4,5,6\} \text{ satisfying } \max\{h_1 + 1,2,3,4,5,6\} \\ \end{array}$

 $h_2 + 3h_4 + h_5 + 3h_6, h_1 + h_3 + h_4 + h_6 \} < 1$ Then T has a unique fixed point $w \in X$ and we have $\lim_{n \to \infty} T^n u = w$, for each $u \in X$.

Proof: Let $u_0 \in X$ and the sequence $\{u_n\}$ be defined as $\lim_{n \to \infty} T^n u_0 = u_n$ Suppose that $u_n \neq u_{n+1}$ for all *n*. Using the inequality (2.7) the condition (S3) and Lemma (2.10) we get

$$\begin{split} S(u_n, u_n, u_{n+1}) &= S(Tu_{n-1}, Tu_{n-1}, Tu_n) \\ &\leq h_1 \, S(u_{n-1}, u_{n-1}, u_n) + h_2 S(u_n, u_n, u_{n-1}) + h_3 S(u_n, u_n, u_n) \\ &\quad + h_4 S(u_{n+1}, u_{n+1}, u_{n-1}) + h_5 \, S(u_{n+1}, u_{n+1}, u_n) \\ &\quad + h_6 \, \max\{S(u_{n-1}, u_{n-1}, u_n), S(u_n, u_n, u_{n-1}), S(u_n, u_n, u_n), S(u_{n+1}, u_{n+1}, u_{n-1}), \\ S(u_{n+1}, u_{n+1}, u_n)\} \\ &\leq (h_1 + h_2 + h_4 + h_6) \, S(u_{n-1}, u_{n-1}, u_n) + (2h_4 + h_5 + 2h_6) \, S(u_{n+1}, u_{n+1}, u_n) \\ &\text{which implies} \end{split}$$

$$S(u_n, u_n, u_n + 1) \leq \left(\frac{h_1 + h_2 + h_4 + h_6}{1 - 2h_4 - h_5 - 2h_6}\right) S(u_{n-1}, u_{n-1}, u_n)$$
(2.11)
If we put $h = \frac{h_1 + h_2 + h_4 + h_6}{1 - 2h_4 - h_5 - 2h_6}$ then we find $h \leq 1$ since $h_1 + h_2 + 3h_3 + h_4 + 3h_5 < 1$

If we put $h = \frac{n_1 + n_2 + n_4 + n_6}{1 - 2h_4 - h_5 - 2h_6}$ then we find $h \le 1$ since $h_1 + h_2 + 3h_4 + h_5 + 3h_6 < 1$. Using the inequality (13) we have

 $\lim_{n \to \infty} \left| |S(u_n, u_n, u_{n+1})| \right| \leq h^n K || S(u_0, u_0, u_1)|$ (2.12) If we take limit for $n \to \infty$, using the inequality (2.12), we get

$$\lim_{n \to 0} S(u_n, u_n, u_{n+1}) = 0$$

since $h \in (0,1)$ The $\varepsilon > 0$ implies $\lim_{n \to \infty} S(u_n, u_n, u_{n+1}) = 0$ By the similar arguments used in the proof of Theorem (2.4), we see that the sequence $\{u_n\}$ is Cauchy. Then there exists $w \in X$ such that $\lim_{n \to \infty} T^n u_0 = w$, since (X, S) is a complete cone S-metric space. From the inequality (2.12) we find

$$S(u_n, u_n, Tw) = S(Tu_n - 1, Tu_n - 1, Tw)$$

$$\leq h_1 S(u_{n-1}, u_{n-1}, w) + h_2 S(u_n, u_n, u_{n-1}) + h_3 S(u_n, u_n, w)$$

$$+h_4 S(Tw, Tw, u_{n-1}) + h_5 S(Tw, Tw, w)$$

 $+h_6 max\{S(u_{n-1}, u_{n-1}, w), S(u_n, u_n, u_{n-1}), S(u_n, u_n, w), S(Tw, Tw, u_{n-1}), S(Tw, Tw, w)\}$ Therefore, $\lim_{n \to 0} ||S(u_n, u_n, Tw)|| \le (h_4 + h_5 + h_6)K||S(Tw, Tw, w)|,$ Cone S-Metric Space and Fixed Point Theorems of Contractive Mappings

since $h_4 + h_5 + h_6 < 1$. Then S(Tw, Tw, w) = 0 which implies that $S(Tw, Tw, w) \ll 0$ thus Tw = w.

Prove that T has unique fixed point. Let w, w_1 be two fixed points of T such that $w \neq w_1$. Taking u = w and $v = w_1$ in equation (2.10), we have

$$S(w, w, w_1) = S(Tw, Tw, Tw_1)$$

$$\leq h_1 S(w, w, w_1) + h_2 S(w, w, w_1) + h_3 S(w, w, w_1) + h_3 S(w, w_1) + h_3 S(w_1) + h_3 S(w_1)$$

 $\leq h_1 S(w, w, w_1) + h_2 S(w, w, w) + h_3 S(w, w, w_1) + h_4 S(w_1, w_1, w)$

 $+ h_5 S(w_1, w_1, w_1)$ + $h_6 max\{S(w, w, w_1), S(w, w, w), S(w, w, w_1), S(w_1, w_1, w), S(w_1, w_1, w_1)\}$, which implies

 $S(w, w, w_1) \leq (h_1 + h_3 + h_4 + h_6) S(w, w, w_1)$

Then we obtain

$$S(w, w, w_1) = 0,$$

that is, $w = w_1$ since $h_1 + h_3 + h_4 + h_6 < 1$. Consequently, T has a unique fixed point $w \in X$.

REFERENCES

- 1. A.H.Ansari, Note on φ ψ -contractive type mappings and related fixed point, *The* 2nd Regional Conference on Mathematics and Applications, PNU, September (2014) 377-380.
- 2. A.H.Ansari, S.Chandok, N.Hussin and L.Paunovic, Fixed points of (ψ, ϕ) weak contractions in regular cone metric spaces via new function, *J. Adv. Math. Stud.*, 9(1) (2016) 72-82.
- 3. M.S.Khan, M.Swaleh and S.Sessa, Fixed point theorems by altering distances between the points, *Bulletin of the Australian Math. Society*, 30 (1) (1984) 1-9.
- 4. R.Krishnakumar and D.Dhamodharan, Fixed point theorems in normal cone metric space, *International J. of Math. Sci. Engg. Appls.*, 10(III) (2016) 213-224.
- 5. A.Gupta, Cyclic contraction on cone S-metric space, *International journal of Analysis and Applications*, 3(2) (2013) 119-130.
- 6. N.Y.Ozgur and N.Tas, New contractive conditions of integral type on complete Smetric space s, *Math. Sci.*, DOI10.1007/s40096-017-0226-0.
- 7. S.Sedghi, N.Shobe and A.Aliouche, A generalization of fixed point theorems in Smetric spaces, *Mat. Vesn.*, 64(3) (2012) 258-266.
- 8. M.U.Rahman and M.Sarwar, Fixed point results of Altman integral type mappings in S-metric spaces, *Int. J. Anal. Appl.*, 10(1) (2016) 58-63.
- 9. N.Y.Ozgur and N.Tas, Some fixed point theorems on S-metric spaces, *Mat. Vesnik*, 69(1) (2017) 39-52.
- 10. H.Long-Guang and Z.Xian, Cone metric spaces and fixed point theorems of contractive mappings, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, 332 (2007) 1468-1476.
- 11. Sh. Rezapour and R.Hamlbarani, Some notes on the paper' cone metric spaces and fixed point theorems of contractive mappings, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, 345 (2008) 719-724.
- 12. N.Tas and N.Yilmaz, Ozgur new generalized fixed point results on sb-metric spaces, arxiv: 1703.01868v2 [math.gn] 17 apr 2017.
- 13. A.Gholidahneh, S.Sedghi, T.Došenovic and S.Radenovic, Ordered S-metric spaces and coupled commonfixed point theorems of integral type contraction, *Mathematics Interdisciplinary Research*, 2 (2017) 71 84.