

## An Improved Solution of the Diophantine Equation

$$\sum_{i=1}^k \frac{1}{X_i} = 1 \quad \text{in Distinct Integers of the Form } X_i \in P^\alpha q^\beta$$

*Nechemia Burshtein*

117 Arlozorov Street, Tel Aviv 6209814, Israel  
Email: [anb17@netvision.net.il](mailto:anb17@netvision.net.il)

*Received 27 August 2017; accepted 6 September 2017*

**Abstract.** Solutions of the title equation are discussed, and in particular when all  $x_i$  are even,  $p < q$  are primes, and  $\alpha, \beta$  are positive integers. The author [7] has shown that when all  $x_i$  are distinct, even, and of the form  $x_i \in p^\alpha q^\beta$ , then the title equation has a solution with  $k = 85$ . Our main result consists of an improved solution to the title equation when  $x_i$  are even,  $x_i \in p^\alpha q^\beta$  and  $k = 67$ . Moreover, the solution in [7] contains seven distinct odd primes, whereas in the current result this number is reduced to the first six odd primes. Some modifications of the equation and questions are also presented.

**Keywords:** Diophantine equations, Egyptian fractions

**AMS Mathematics Subject Classification (2010):** 11D68

### 1. Introduction

We consider the Diophantine equation in integers

$$\sum_{i=1}^k \frac{1}{X_i} = 1, \quad x_1 < x_2 < \cdots < x_k \quad (1)$$

when  $x_i = p^\alpha q^\beta$ ,  $p < q$  are primes and  $\alpha, \beta$  are positive integers.

If all values  $x_i$  in equation (1) are of the form  $x_i = pq$  ( $\alpha = \beta = 1$ ), then the above restriction imposed on the values  $x_i$  immediately implies the fact that  $x_i \nmid x_j$  for  $i \neq j$ . Moreover, the converse of this statement is false. For if  $x_i \nmid x_j$  for  $i \neq j$  is true for all values  $x_i$  in equation (1), then all values  $x_i$  need not be of the form  $x_i = pq$ . This is shown in [2, Example 2 with  $k = 79$ ] for which the author received a reward of \$10 from Erdős. Barbeau [1] gave an example of equation (1) in which all values  $x_i$  are of the form  $x_i = pq$  and  $k = 101$ . In [3, Example 1], the author provided another such solution, but with  $k = 63$ . Finally, the author [6] constructed a solution of equation (1) in which all values  $x_i$  are of the form  $x_i = pq$  and  $k = 52$ . The results of the author in [2] and those in [2, 3, 6] are respectively cited in [11] and [12].

We note that in all the above solutions, the values  $x_i$  are composed of odd and even numbers. This leads us to investigate solutions of equation (1) when all  $x_i$  are odd, and when all  $x_i$  are even numbers.

Nechemia Burshtein

Among many problems concerned with equation (1) are in particular those in which  $x_i$  are odd numbers. It is mentioned [5], that the case of all  $x_i$  are odd cannot be applied to equation (1). However, when removing the restriction that  $x_i = p^a q^b$ , and applying some modifications to equation (1) such as: fixed values  $k$ , fixed primes, more than two primes occur and allowing certain exponents to be zero, it is established:

- (i) For odd values  $x_i$ , it is shown [4] that the smallest possible value  $k$  is  $k = 9$ , and for that value  $k$  equation (1) has exactly five solutions with  $x_i \in 3^a \cdot 5 \cdot 7 \cdot 11$  where  $a \leq 3$ .
- (ii) It is easily verified that equation (1) has no solutions when  $x_i \in 3^a 5^b$ . Therefore, when  $k = 11$  and with the three smallest primes, namely  $x_i \in 3^a 5^b 7^c$ , it is shown in [5] that equation (1) has exactly seventeen solutions.

**2. The improved solution**

In [7, Example 3], the author demonstrated a solution of equation (1) in which  $k = 85$ ,  $x_i$  are even,  $x_i \in p^a q^b$  where  $p < q$  are primes and  $a, b$  are positive integers.

In this section, an improved solution of equation (1) is presented when  $x_i$  are even, having the same characteristics as above and  $k = 67$ . Furthermore, the solution in [7] contains seven distinct odd primes, whereas the improved solution contains the first six odd primes.

The following Table 1 consists of sixty-seven numbers presented in seven rows. The total of the numbers in each row is indicated at the end of the row.

**Table 1.**

<b>1</b>	6	12	24	48	96	192	384	768	1536	3072	6144	12288	<b>12</b>
<b>2</b>	10	20	40	80									<b>4</b>
<b>3</b>	14	28	56	112	224	448	896	1792	3584	7168	14336	28672	<b>12</b>
<b>4</b>	18	36	72	144	288	576	1152	2304	4608	9216	18432	36864	<b>12</b>
<b>5</b>	22	44	88	176	352	704		2816					<b>7</b>
<b>6</b>	26	52	104	208	416	832	1664	3328	6656	13312	26624	53248	<b>12</b>
<b>7</b>	34	68	136	272	544	1088	2176	4352					<b>8</b>

The sixty-seven different numbers contained in rows 1 – 7 of Table 1 have the following two properties:

- (i) each number is even and of the form  $p^a q^b$ ,
- (ii) the sum of their reciprocals is equal to 1.

An Improved Solution of the Diophantine Equation  $\sum_{i=1}^k \frac{1}{X_i} = 1$  in Distinct Integers of the Form  $X_i \in P^\alpha q^\beta$

This can clearly be verified by means of a computer (Least Common Multiple is 3136573440), but it can also be done directly by observing the following. Consider the two sets of numbers:

$$S = \{2, 4, 8, 16, 32, 64, 128, 256, 512, 1024, 2048, 4096\},$$

$$T = \{3, 5, 7, 9, 11, 13, 17\}.$$

The numbers in  $S$  are the first twelve powers of 2, and the set  $T$  contains besides the number 9, the first six odd primes. The sets  $S, T$  are disjoint sets. Multiplying the twelve numbers in  $S$  by each of 3, 7, 9 and 13 in  $T$ , respectively results in the numbers in rows 1, 3, 4 and 6. The numbers in row 2 are products of the first four numbers in  $S$  by 5 in  $T$ . Multiplying the first six numbers and also the eight number in  $S$  by 11 in  $T$  yield the numbers in row 5. Finally, the numbers in row 7 are the products of the first eight numbers in  $S$  by 17 in  $T$ .

Due to the property of the sets  $S$  and  $T$  and to the structure just described of the numbers in rows 1 – 7, it is evident that property (i) follows.

For (ii) observe that: all the multiples appearing in Table 1 of a certain number  $M$  where  $M \in T$ , occur in one and only one row. Computing the sum of the reciprocals in each row, we obtain a fraction, the numerator of which is also a multiple of  $M$ . After simplification, the new fraction will have a denominator which is a divisor of 4096. This enables us to carry out the summation without a computer.

The seven partial sums add up to 1.

### 3. Conclusion

The improved solution folds in itself at least two questions.

**Question 1.** Let  $\alpha, \beta$  be positive integers,  $p < q$  are primes, and  $x_i = p^\alpha q^\beta$  are distinct even integers. If  $k$  reciprocals  $1/x_i$  yield a sum equal to 1, what is the smallest possible value  $k$ ?

We presume that  $k > 60$ .

**Question 2.** Let  $\alpha, \beta$  be positive integers,  $p < q$  are primes, and  $x_i = p^\alpha q^\beta$  are distinct even integers. If  $k$  reciprocals  $1/x_i$  yield a sum equal to 1, what is the minimal number of odd primes required for such a solution?

We conjecture that this number is six.

### REFERENCES

1. E.J.Barbeau, Expressing one as a sum of distinct reciprocals: comments and bibliography, *Eureka* (Ottawa), 3(1977) 178-181.
2. N.Burshtein, On distinct unit fractions whose sum equals 1, *Discrete Mathematics*, 5 (1973) 201-206.
3. N.Burshtein, Improving solutions of  $\sum_{i=1}^k 1/X_i = 1$  with restrictions as required by Barbeau respectively by Johnson, *Discrete Mathematics*, 306 (2006) 1438-1439.

Nechemia Burshtein

4. N.Burshtein, The equation  $\sum_{i=1}^9 \frac{1}{X_i} = 1$  in distinct odd integers has only the five known solutions, *Journal of Number Theory*, 127 (2007) 136-144.
5. N.Burshtein, All the solutions of the equation  $\sum_{i=1}^{11} \frac{1}{X_i} = 1$  in distinct integers of the form  $x_i \in 3^a 5^b 7^c$ , *Discrete Mathematics*, 308 (2008) 4286-4292.
6. N.Burshtein, An improved solution of  $\sum_{i=1}^k \frac{1}{X_i} = 1$  in distinct integers when  $x_i \nmid x_j$  for  $i \neq j$ , *Notes on Number Theory and Discrete Mathematics*, 16(2) (2010) 1-4.
7. N.Burshtein, On the diophantine equation  $\sum_{i=1}^k \frac{1}{X_i} = 1$  in distinct integers of the form  $x_i \in p^a q^b$ , *Notes on Number Theory and Discrete Mathematics*, 16(4) (2010) 1-5.
8. N.Burshtein, On solutions of the diophantine equation  $\frac{1}{x_1} + \frac{2}{x_2} + \frac{3}{x_3} + \dots + \frac{k}{x_k} = 1$  when  $2 \leq x_1 < x_2 < x_3 < \dots < x_k$  are integers and  $k = x_1$ , *Notes on Number Theory and Discrete Mathematics*, 23(2) (2017) 30-35.
9. L.E.Dickson, *History of the theory of numbers*, vol. 2 Chelsea, New York, 1966.
10. P.Erdős, On the solutions in integers of  $a/b = x_1^{-1} + \dots + x_n^{-1}$ , *Mat. Lapok*, 1 (1950) 192-210.
11. P. Erdős and R.L.Graham, Old and new problems and results in Combinatorial Number Theory, Monographie n° 28 de *L'Enseignement Mathématique* Université de Genève, Imprimerie Kundig Genève, 1980.
12. R.L.Graham, Paul Erdős and Egyptian Fractions, in: L.Lovász, L. Ruzsa, V.Sós (Eds.), *Erdős Centennial*, János Bolyai Mathematical Society, 25(2013) Springer, Chapter 10, pp. 289-309.
13. R.K.Guy, *Unsolved Problems in Number Theory*, Third edition, Springer, New York, 2004.
14. W.Sierpiński, Sur les décompositions de nombres rationnels en fractions primaires, *Mathesis*, 65 (1956) 16-32.