Annals of Pure and Applied Mathematics Vol. 16, No. 2, 2018, 401-411 ISSN: 2279-087X (P), 2279-0888(online) Published on 11 March 2018 www.researchmathsci.org DOI: http://dx.doi.org/10.22457/apam.v16n2a17

Annals of **Pure and Applied Mathematics** 

# **On Minimal Topological Totally Closed Graphs**

D. Sheeba<sup>1</sup> and N. Nagaveni<sup>2</sup>

Department of Mathematics Coimbatore Institute of Technology, Coimbatore-14, Tamil Nadu, India. Email: <u>1sheebarinald@gmail.com</u> and <u>2nagavenipalanisamy@yahoo.com</u> <sup>1</sup>Corresponding author

Received 16 February 2018; accepted 5 March 2018

Abstract. In this paper, we introduced and studied some properties of new functions such as quasi mwg-continuous, totally mwg-continuous functions with  $m_{wg}$ - closed graph and totally  $m_{wg}$ - closed graph in minimal structures.

Keywords:  $m_{wg}$ - closed graph, totally  $m_{wg}$ - closed graph,  $m_{wg}$ - compact,  $m_{wg}$ - connected

AMS Mathematics Subject Classification (2010): 54C10

### **1. Introduction**

In 2000, Popa and Noiri [12] investigated the concept of minimal structure which is more general than a topological space. Moreover, he studied properties of M-continuous function's concept between spaces with minimal structures and obtained some characterizations and aspects of these functions.

On the other hand, they gave the definitions of m- closed graph [8] and strongly m-closed graph [8] together with their properties. In 2012, Min et al. [5] studied m-semi closed graph and strongly m-semi closed graph. Many mathematicians have defined some types of open sets, continuities and closed graphs which are generalizations of m-open sets, *M*-continuity and m-closed graphs, in spaces with minimal structures. Since the advent of these notions, several research papers with interesting results in different respects came to existence [3, 4, 6, 7, 13, 14]. Recently, Ghosh [2] studied separation axioms and graph functions in nano topological spaces. In 1995, Nour et al., investigated totally semi-continuous Functions [10]. In 2009, Caldas et al., studied the properties of totally b-continuous functions [1] in topological spaces.

In this paper, we introduced and investigated some properties of new functions such as quasi mwg-continuous, totally mwg-continuous functions with  $m_{wg}$ - closed graph and totally  $m_{wg}$ - closed graph. Also, we defined some new spaces called  $m_{wg}$ -Haussdroff space, totally  $m_{wg}$ -Compact, totally  $m_{wg}$ -Connected and etc., in order to characterize these spaces by using the notion of closed graphs.

Throughout the paper  $(X, m_X)$  and  $(Y, m_Y)$  are denoted by topological spaces with minimal structure (briefly. m-space). The interior and closure of a subset A of  $(X, m_X)$  are denoted by  $m_X$ -Int(A) and  $m_X$ -Cl(A) respectively.

## 2. Preliminaries

In this section, we list some definitions which are used in this sequel.

**Definition 2.1. [8]** Let X be a non empty set and P(X) the power set of X. A subfamily  $m_X$  of P(X) is called a minimal structure (briefly m-structure) on X if  $\Phi \in m_X$  and  $X \in m_X$ .

By  $(X, m_X)$ , we denote a nonempty set X with an m-structure  $m_X$  on X and call it an m-space. Each member of  $m_X$  is said to be  $m_X$  -open and the complement of an  $m_X$ -open set is said to be  $m_X$  -closed.

**Definition 2.2.** [8] An m-structure  $m_X$  on a nonempty set X is said to have property B if the union of any family of subsets belong to  $m_X$  belongs to  $m_X$ .

**Definition 2.3. [8]** Let X be a nonempty set and  $m_X$  an m-structure on X. For subset A of X, the  $m_X$ -closure of A and the  $m_X$ -interior of A are defined in as follows

- i.  $m_X$  -Cl(A) =  $\cap$  {F : A  $\subset$  F, X F  $\in$   $m_X$ },
- ii.  $m_X$  -Int(A) =  $\cup$  {U : U  $\subset$  A, U  $\in$   $m_X$  }.

**Definition 2.4.** [11] A subset A of a m-space  $(X, m_X)$  is said to be

- i. minimal generalized closed (mg-closed) sets if  $m_X Cl(A) \subset U$  whenever A  $\subset U$  and U is open in  $m_X$ .
- ii. minimal weakly generalized closed (mwg-closed) sets if  $m_X$  Cl( $m_X$  Int(A))  $\subset$  U whenever A  $\subset$  U and U is open in  $m_X$ .

The complement of mg-closed set (resp. mwg-closed set) is said to be mg-open set (resp. mwg-open set). The family of all mg-open sets (resp. mwg-open set) is denoted by  $m_X$ -GO(X) (resp.  $m_X$  -WGO(X)). We set  $m_X$  -GO(X, x) = {V \in m\_X -GO(X) / x \in V} for x \in m\_X. We define similarly,  $m_X$  -WGO(X, x) = {V  $\in m_X$  -WGO(X) / x  $\in$  V} for x  $\in m_X$ .

**Definition 2.5.** [8] A function f:  $(X, m_X) \rightarrow (Y, m_Y)$  is said to be M-closed graph (resp. strongly M-closed graph) if for each  $(x, y) \in (X \times Y)$  - G(f), there exist  $m_X$  -open set U containing x and  $m_Y$  -open set V containing y such that  $(U \times V) \cap G(f) = \Phi$  ( $(U \times m_Y - Cl(V)) \cap G(f) = \Phi$ ).

**Definition 2.6.** [6] A m-space(X,  $m_X$ ) is said to be

- i.  $m-T_2$  if for any distinct points x, y there exists U,  $V \in m_X$  such that  $x \in U$ , y  $\in V$  and  $U \cap V = \Phi$ .
- ii. m-Urysohn if for any distinct points x, y there exists U,  $V \in m_X$  such that  $x \in U$ ,  $y \in V$  and  $m_X$  -Cl(U)  $\cap m_X$  -Cl(V) =  $\Phi$ .
- iii. m-Lindelöf [9] if every  $m_X$ -open cover of X has a countable subcover.

**Definition 2.7.** A function f:  $(X, m_X) \rightarrow (Y, m_Y)$  is said to be

i. m-continuous [6] if the inverse image of every m - closed set in  $(Y, m_Y)$  is m - closed in  $(X, m_X)$ .

ii. mwg-continuous [11] if  $f^{-1}(V)$  is mwg-closed in  $(X, m_X)$  for every mwg-closed set V in  $(Y, m_Y)$ .

**Lemma 2.8.** [8] Let  $(X, m_X)$  be a space with minimal structure, let A be a subset of X and  $x \in X$ . Then  $x \in m_X$  -Cl(A) if and only if  $U \cap A \neq \Phi$ , for every  $U \in m_X$  containing the point x.

# **3.** Minimal weakly generalized closed graph ( $m_{wq}$ -closed graph)

In this section, we defined and studied some functions with minimal weakly generalized closed graph.

**Definition 3.1.** A function f:  $(X, m_X) \to (Y, m_Y)$  is said to be minimal weakly generalized closed graph (briefly.  $m_{wg}$ - closed graph) if for each  $(x, y) \in (X \times Y)$  - G(f), there exist  $U \in m_{wg}$ -WGO(X, x) and  $V \in m_{wg}$ -WGO(Y, y) such that  $(U \times V) \cap G(f) = \Phi$ .

**Lemma 3.2.** A function f:  $(X, m_X) \rightarrow (Y, m_Y)$  is said to be  $m_{wg}$ -closed graph if for each  $(x, y) \in (X \times Y)$  - G(f), there exist  $U \in m_X$  -WGO(X, x) and  $V \in m_Y$  -WGO(Y, y) such that  $f(U) \cap V = \Phi$ . Proof is obvious from the Definition 3.1.

**Theorem 3.3.** Every function with m-closed graph has a  $m_{wg}$ -closed graph. Proof follows from the Lemma 3.4 [11] that a m-closed set is mwg-closed set.

**Theorem 3.4.** Every function with a mg-closed graph has a  $m_{wg}$ -closed graph. Proof follows from the Theorem 3.2 [11] that a mg-closed set is mwg-closed set.

**Remark 3.5.** Every m-closed set is mg-closed set. But converse need not be true as seen from the following example.

**Example 3.6.** Let  $X = \{a, b, c\}$  be endowed with the minimal structures  $m_X = \{X, \emptyset, \{a\}, \{b\}, \{c\}\}$ . Here  $\{a\}, \{b\}$  and  $\{c\}$  are mg-closed sets. But which are not m-closed set.

**Theorem 3.7.** Every function with m-closed graph has a mg-closed graph. Proof follows from the Remark 3.5 that a m-closed set is mg-closed set.



**Remark 3.8.** The converse need not be true for the above implications as shown by the following examples stated below.

**Example 3.9.** Let  $X = \{a, b, c\}$  and  $Y = \{a, b, c, d\}$  be endowed with the minimal structures  $m_X = \{X, \emptyset, \{a\}, \{b\}, \{c\}\}$  and  $m_Y = \{Y, \emptyset, \{a, b\}, \{a, d\}, \{a, b, d\}\}$  respectively. Let  $f: (X, m_X) \to (Y, m_Y)$  be the mapping defined by f(a) = a, f(b) = b. Then f has  $m_{wq}$ -closed graph. But it is not m-closed graph.

**Example 3.10.** Let  $X = \{a, b, c, d\} = Y$  be endowed with the minimal structures  $m_X = \{X, \emptyset, \{a\}, \{a, c\}\}$  and  $m_Y = \{Y, \emptyset, \{a, b\}, \{a, d\}, \{a, b, d\}\}$  respectively. Let  $f: (X, m_X) \rightarrow (Y, m_Y)$  be the mapping defined by f(a) = a, f(b) = b. Then f has  $m_{wg}$ -closed graph. But it is not mg-closed graph.

**Example 3.11.** Let  $X = \{a, b, c\}$  and  $Y = \{a, b, c, d\}$  be endowed with the minimal structures  $m_X = \{X, \emptyset, \{a\}, \{b\}, \{c\}\}$  and  $m_Y = \{Y, \emptyset, \{a, b\}, \{c, d\}\}$  respectively. Let  $f: (X, m_X) \to (Y, m_Y)$  be the mapping defined by f(a) = a, f(b) = b. Then f has mg-closed graph. But it is not m-closed graph.

**Definition 3.12.** A m-space  $(X, m_X)$  is called

- i.  $m_{wg}$ -T<sub>1</sub> space if for every pair of distinct points x, y in X there exists a mwgopen set U  $\in$  X containing x but not y and a mwg-open set V  $\in$  X containing y but not x.
- ii.  $m_{wg}$ -Haussdroff space (i.e.  $m_{wg}$ -T<sub>2</sub> space) if for every pair of distinct points x, y in X there exists disjoint mwg-open sets U  $\in$  X and V  $\in$  X containing x and y respectively.

**Theorem 3.13.** If f:  $(X, m_X) \rightarrow (Y, m_Y)$  is an injective function with the  $m_{wg}$ -closed graph G(f), then X is  $m_{wg}$ -T<sub>1</sub>.

**Proof:** Let x and y be two distinct points of X. Since f is injection,  $f(x) \neq f(y)$  in Y.  $(x, f(y)) \in (X \times Y) - G(f)$ . But G(f) is  $m_{wg}$ -closed graph. So, by the Lemma 3.2, there exist mwg-open sets U and V containing x and f(y) respectively, such that  $f(U) \cap V = \emptyset$ . Hence  $y \notin U$ . Similarly, there exist mwg-open sets M and N containing y and f(x) such that  $f(M) \cap N = \emptyset$ . Hence  $x \notin M$ . It follows that X is  $m_{wg}$ -T<sub>1</sub> space.

**Theorem 3.13.** If  $f: (X, m_X) \to (Y, m_Y)$  is a surjective function with the  $m_{wg}$ -closed graph G(f), then Y is  $m_{wg}$ -T<sub>1</sub>.

**Proof:** Let y and z be two distinct points of Y. Since f is surjective, there exist a point x in X such that f(x) = z. Therefore  $(x, y) \notin G(f)$ , by the Lemma 3.2 there exist mwg-open sets U and V containing x and y respectively such that  $f(U) \cap V = \emptyset$ . It follows that  $z \notin V$ .

Similarly, there exist  $w \in X$  such that f(w) = y. Hence  $(w, z) \notin G(f)$ . Similarly, there exist mwg-open sets M and N containing w and z respectively such that  $f(M) \cap N = \emptyset$ . Thus  $y \notin N$ , hence the space Y is  $m_{wg}$ -T<sub>1</sub>.

**Theorem 3.14.** If a function f:  $(X, m_X) \rightarrow (Y, m_Y)$  is mwg-continuous and Y is  $m_{wg}$ -T<sub>2</sub> space, then G(f) is  $m_{wg}$ -closed.

**Proof:** Let  $(x, y) \notin G(f)$  or  $(x, y) \in X \times Y - G(f)$ , then  $y \neq f(x)$  and Y is  $m_{wg}$ -T<sub>2</sub> space. There exist two mwg-open sets U and V such that  $f(x) \in U, y \in V$  in Y and  $U \cap V = \emptyset$ . Since f is mwg-continuous, there exist a mwg-open neighbourhood W of x such that  $f(W) \subset U$ . Hence  $f(W) \cap V = \emptyset$  and this implies that  $(W \times V) \cap G(f) = \emptyset$ . Hence f has a  $m_{wg}$ -closed graph.

**Definition 3.15.** A function f:  $(X, m_X) \rightarrow (Y, m_Y)$  is called quasi-mwg-continuous if for each  $x \in X$  and each  $V \in m_X$  containing f(x), there exists a  $U \in m_X$ -GO(X, x) such that f(U)  $\subset m_X$ -Cl(V).

**Remark 3.16.** Every mwg-continuous function is quasi-mwg-continuous. But converse need not be true as seen from following example.

**Example 3.17.** Let  $X = \{a, b, c\}$  and  $Y = \{a, b, c, d\}$  be endowed with the minimal structures  $m_X = \{X, \emptyset, \{a, b\}, \{b, c\}\}$  and  $m_Y = \{Y, \emptyset, \{a, b\}, \{a, d\}, \{a, b, d\}\}$ respectively. Let  $f: (X, m_X) \to (Y, m_Y)$  be the mapping defined by f(a) = a, f(b) = b. Then f has quasi-mwg-continuous. But it is not mwg-continuous.

**Theorem 3.18.** If f:  $(X, m_X) \rightarrow (Y, m_Y)$  is quasi-mwg-continuous and Y is m-T<sub>2</sub>, then f has the following property:

(P) For each (x, y)  $\notin$  G(f) there exist U  $\in m_X$ -WGO(X, x) and V  $\in m_Y$  containing y, such that  $f(U) \cap m_Y$ -Int( $m_Y$ -Cl(V)) =  $\Phi$ .

**Proof:** Suppose  $(x, y) \notin G(f)$ . Then  $y \neq f(x)$ . Since Y is  $m-T_2$ , there exist V,  $W \in m_Y$  such that  $y \in V$ ,  $f(x) \in W$  and  $V \cap W = \Phi$ . It is easy to verify that  $m_Y$ -Int $(m_Y$ -Cl(V))  $\cap m_Y$ -Cl(W) =  $\Phi$ . The quasi-mwg-continuous of f gives a  $U \in m_X$ -WGO(X, x) such that f(U)  $\subset m_X$ -Cl(V) and hence f(U)  $\cap m_Y$ -Int $(m_Y$ -Cl(V)) =  $\Phi$ .

**Theorem 3.19.** if f: (X,  $m_X$ )  $\rightarrow$  (Y,  $m_Y$ ) is quasi-mwg-continuous and Y is m-T<sub>2</sub>, then G(f)  $m_{wg}$ -closed.

**Proof:** If  $(x, y) \in X \times Y - G(f)$ , then there exist a  $U \in m_X$ -WGO(X, x) and  $V \in m_Y$  containing y, such that  $f(U) \cap m_Y$ -Int $(m_Y$ -Cl(V)) =  $\Phi$ . Hence  $f(U) \cap V = \Phi$  so that  $(U \times V) \cap G(f) = \Phi$ . Thus  $(x, y) \in (U \times V) \subset X \times Y - G(f)$  where  $U \times V$  is mwg-open set in  $X \times Y$ . Hence G(f) is  $m_{wg}$ -closed.

**Definition 3.20.** A subset K of a nonempty set X with a minimal structure  $m_X$  is said to be  $m_{wg}$ -compact relative to  $(X, m_X)$  if any cover of K by every mwg-open sets has a finite subcover.

**Theorem 3.21.** Let  $f: (X, m_X) \to (Y, m_Y)$  be a function. Assume that  $m_X$ - is a base for a topology. If the graph G(f) is  $m_{wg}$ -closed, then  $m_X$ -Cl(f<sup>-1</sup>(K)) = f<sup>-1</sup>(K) whenever the set  $K \subseteq Y$  is  $m_{wg}$ -Compact relative to  $(Y, m_Y)$ .

**Proof:** Let  $K \subseteq Y$  be  $m_{wg}$ -Compact relative to  $(Y, m_Y)$  and  $x \in X - f^{-1}(K)$ , for each  $y \in K$  we have  $(x, y) \in X \times Y - G(f)$ , hence by the lemma 3.2 there exist an mwg - open sets

U<sub>y</sub> containing x and mwg-open set V<sub>y</sub> containing y such that  $f(U) \cap V = \Phi$ . The family  $\{V_y: y \in K\}$  is a cover of K by mwg - open sets. Since K ⊆ Y is  $m_{wg}$ -Compact relative to  $(Y, m_Y)$ , there exists a finite subset of K, say  $\{y_1, y_2, ..., y_n\}$ , such that K ⊆ U $\{V_{y_k}: k = 1, 2, ..., n\}$ . Then f<sup>-1</sup>(K) ⊆ U $\{f^{-1}(V_{y_k}: k = 1, 2, ..., n\}$ . Hence f<sup>-1</sup>(K) ⊆ U $\{X \setminus Uyk: k = 1, 2, ..., n\}$  = X \ ∩ $\{U_{y_k}: k = 1, 2, ..., n\}$ . Assume that  $m_X$ - is a base for a topology, there exist U ∈  $m_X$  containing x such that U ⊆ ∩ $\{U_{y_k}: k = 1, 2, ..., n\}$ . Then U ∩ f<sup>-1</sup>(K) =  $\Phi$ , which shows, according to Lemma 2. 8, that x ∈ X \  $m_X$ -Cl(f<sup>-1</sup>(K)). We proved that X \ f<sup>-1</sup>(K) ⊆ X \  $m_X$ -Cl(f<sup>-1</sup>(K)), whence Cl(f<sup>-1</sup>(K)) = f<sup>-1</sup>(K).

#### 4. Totally $m_{wg}$ -closed graph

In this section, we defined and studied some functions with totally  $m_{wg}$ -closed graph.

**Definition 4.1.** A subset A of space  $(X, m_X)$  is called

i. m-clopen if A is m-closed and m-open sets in X.

ii. mwg-clopen if A is mwg-closed and mwg-open sets in X.

The family of all m-clopen sets (resp. mwg-clopen set) is denoted by  $m_X$ -CO(X) (resp.  $m_X$ -WGCO(X)). We set  $m_X$  -CO(X, x) = {V \in m\_X -CO(X) / x \in V} for x \in m\_X. we define similarly,  $m_X$ -WGCO(X, x) = {V \in m\_X -WGCO(X) / x \in V} for x \in m\_X.

**Definition 4.2.** A graph G(f) of a function  $f : (X, m_X) \to (Y, m_Y)$  is said to be totally  $m_{wg}$ -closed if for each  $(x, y) \in (X \times Y)$  - G(f), there exists  $U \in m_X$  -WGCO(X, x) and  $V \in m_X$  -O(Y, y) such that  $(U \times V) \cap G(f) = \Phi$ .

**Lemma 4.3.** A graph G(f) of a function  $f : (X, m_X) \to (Y, m_Y)$  is totally  $m_{wg}$ -closed in  $(X \times Y)$  if and only if for each  $(x, y) \in (X \times Y) - G(f)$ , there exists  $U \in m_X$  -WGCO(X, x) and  $V \in m_X$  -O(Y, y) such that  $(U \times V) \cap G(f) = \Phi$ .

**Proof:** It is an immediate consequence of Definition 4.2.

**Definition 4.4.** A m-space  $(X, m_X)$  is called

- i.  $m_{wg}$  clopen T<sub>1</sub> (briefly. Mwgco-T<sub>1</sub>) space if for every pair of distinct points x, y in X there exists a mwg-clopen set U  $\subset$  X containing x but not y and a mwg-clopen set V  $\subset$  X containing y but not x.
- ii.  $m_{wg}$ -ultra hausdroff (briefly. Mwgco-T<sub>2</sub>) space if for every pair of distinct points x, y in X there exists disjoint mwg-clopen sets  $U \subset X$  and  $V \subset X$  containing x and y respectively.

**Theorem 4.5.** Let f:  $(X, m_X) \rightarrow (Y, m_Y)$  has totally  $m_{wg}$ -closed graph G(f). If f is injective, then X is  $m_{wg}$ - clopen T<sub>1</sub>.

**Proof:** Let x and y be any two distinct points of X. Then, we have  $(x, f(y)) \in (X \times Y) - G(f)$ , By Lemma, there exists a mwg-clopen set U of X and m-open set V of Y such that  $(x, f(y)) \in U \times V$  and  $f(U) \cap V = \Phi$ . Hence  $U \cap f^{-1}(V) = \Phi$  and  $y \notin U$ . This implies that X is  $m_{wg}$ - clopen T<sub>1</sub>.

**Definition 4.6.** A function  $f : (X, m_X) \rightarrow (Y, m_Y)$  is called

- i. totally m- continuous at a point  $x \in X$  if  $f^{-1}(V)$  is m-clopen set in  $(X, m_X)$  for each m-open set V of  $(Y, m_Y)$ .
- ii. totally  $m_{wg}$  continuous at a point  $x \in X$  if  $f^{-1}(V)$  is mwg-clopen set in  $(X, m_X)$  for each m-open set V of  $(Y, m_Y)$ .

#### Remark 4.7.

- i. Every totally  $m_{wg}$  continuous is mwg- continuous functions. But converse need not be true from the following Example 4.8.
- ii. Every totally m- continuous is totally  $m_{wg}$  continuous functions. But converse need not be true from the following Example 4.9.

**Example 4.8.** Let  $X = \{a, b, c\}$  and  $Y = \{p, q, r\}$  be the two topological spaces with  $m_X = \{X, \Phi, \{a\}, \{b\}, \{a, b\}\}$  and  $m_Y = \{Y, \Phi, \{p\}\}$ . If  $f: (X, m_X) \rightarrow (Y, m_Y)$  is totally  $m_{wg}$ - continuous function defined by  $f(a) = \{p\}$ ,  $f(b) = \{q\}$  and  $f(c) = \{r\}$ , then f is not mwg- continuous functions.

**Example 4.9.** Let  $X = \{a, b, c\}$  and  $Y = \{p, q, r\}$  be the two topological spaces with  $m_X = \{X, \Phi, \{a\}, \{b\}, \{a, b\}\}$  and  $m_Y = \{Y, \Phi, \{q\}, \{p, q\}\}$ . If f:  $(X, m_X) \rightarrow (Y, m_Y)$  is totally  $m_{wg}$ - continuous function defined by  $f(a) = \{p\}$ ,  $f(b) = \{q\}$  and  $f(c) = \{r\}$ , then f is not totally m- continuous functions.

**Theorem 4.10.** If  $f : (X, m_X) \to (Y, m_Y)$  is totally  $m_{wg}$ - continuous injection and Y is  $m_{wg}$ -T<sub>2</sub>, then X is  $m_{wg}$ -ultra hausdroff.

**Proof:** Let  $x_1, x_2 \in X$  and  $x_1 \neq x_2$ . Then, since f is injective,  $f(x_1) \neq f(x_2)$  in  $(Y, m_Y)$ . Since Y is  $m_{wg}$ -T<sub>2</sub>, there exist disjoint mwg-open sets  $U \subset Y$  and  $V \subset Y$  containing  $f(x_1)$  and  $f(x_2)$  respectively, and  $U \cap V = \Phi$ . This implies  $x_1 \in f^{-1}(U)$  and  $x_2 \in f^{-1}(V)$ . Since f is totally  $m_{wg}$ - continuous,  $f^{-1}(U)$  and  $f^{-1}(V)$  are mwg-clopen sets in X. Also  $f^{-1}(U) \cap f^{-1}(V) = f^{-1}(U \cap V) = \Phi$ . Thus every two distinct points of X can be separated by disjoint mwg-clopen sets. Therefore X is  $m_{wg}$ -ultra hausdroff.

**Theorem 4.11.** If f: (X,  $m_X$ )  $\rightarrow$  (Y,  $m_Y$ ) is totally  $m_{wg}$ - continuous and Y is m-T<sub>2</sub> then G(f) is totally  $m_{wg}$ -closed graph in product space X  $\times$  Y.

Proof: Let  $(x, y) \in X \times Y$ . Then  $y \neq f(x)$  and there exists m-open sets  $V_1$  and  $V_2$  such that  $f(x) \in V_1$ ,  $y \in V_2$  and  $V_1 \cap V_2 = \Phi$ . From the hypothesis there exists  $U \in m_X$ -WGCO(X, x) such that  $f(U) \subset V_1$ . Therefore, we obtain  $f(U) \cap V_2 = \Phi$ .

**Definition 4.12.** A m-space  $(X, m_X)$  is called

- i. mwg-normal (resp.  $m_{wg}$ -ultra normal) if for each pair of non empty disjoint m-closed sets can be separated by disjoint mwg-open (resp. mwg-clopen) sets.
- ii. mwg-regular (resp.  $m_{wg}$ -ultra regular) if for each mwg-closed set F of X and each  $x \notin F$ , there exist disjoint mwg-open (resp. mwg-clopen) sets U and V such that  $F \subset U$  and  $x \in V$ .

**Theorem 4.13.** if  $f: (X, m_X) \to (Y, m_Y)$  is totally  $m_{wg}$ - continuous, m-closed injective and Y is mwg-normal, then X is  $m_{wg}$ -ultra normal.

**Proof:** Let U<sub>1</sub> and U<sub>2</sub> be disjoint m-closed subsets of X. Since f is m-closed and injective,  $f(U_1)$  and  $f(U_2)$  are disjoint m-closed subsets of Y. Since Y is mwg-normal,  $f(U_1)$  and  $f(U_2)$  are separated by disjoint mwg-open sets V<sub>1</sub> and V<sub>2</sub> respectively. Therefore we obtain,  $U_1 \subset f^{-1}(V_1)$  and  $U_2 \subset f^{-1}(V_2)$ . Since f is totally  $m_{wg}$ - continuous,  $f^{-1}(V_1)$  and  $f^{-1}(V_2)$  are mwg-clopen sets in X. Also,  $f^{-1}(V_1) \cap f^{-1}(V_2) = f^{-1}(V_1 \cap V_2) = \Phi$ . Thus each non-empty disjoint m-closed in X can be separated by disjoint mwg-clopen sets in X. Therefore X is  $m_{wg}$ -ultra normal.

**Definition 4.14.** A function  $f: (X, m_X) \rightarrow (Y, m_Y)$  is called mwg-closed if f(U) is mwg-closed in Y for each m-closed set U in X.

**Theorem 4.15.** Let  $f : (X, m_X) \to (Y, m_Y)$  is totally  $m_{wg}$ - continuous, mwg-closed injective. If Y is mwg-regular, then X is  $m_{wg}$ -ultra regular.

**Proof:** Let U be a mwg-closed set not containing x. Since f is mwg-closed, we have f(U) is a mwg-closed set in Y not containing f(x). Since Y is mwg-regular, there exist disjoint mwg-open sets V<sub>1</sub> and V<sub>2</sub> such that  $f(x) \in V_1$  and  $f(U) \in V_2$ , which implies  $x \in f^{-1}(V_1)$  and  $U \subset f^{-1}(V_2)$ , where  $f^{-1}(V_1)$  and  $f^{-1}(V_2)$  are mwg-clopen sets, because f is totally  $m_{wg}$ -continuous function. Moreover, since f is injective,  $f^{-1}(V_1) \cap f^{-1}(V_2) = f^{-1}(V_1 \cap V_2)$ =  $f^{-1}(\Phi) = \Phi$ . Thus for each pair of point and a mwg-closed set not containing the point, they can be separated by disjoint mwg-clopen sets. Therefore X is is  $m_{wg}$ -ultra regular.

**Definition 4.16.** A function f:  $(X, m_X) \rightarrow (Y, m_Y)$  is said to be totally  $m_{wg}$ - open if the image of every mwg-clopen subset of X is mwg-clopen.

**Theorem 4.17.** Let f:  $(X, m_X) \rightarrow (Y, m_Y)$  has a totally  $m_{wg}$ -closed graph G(f). If f is surjective totally  $m_{wg}$ - open function, then Y is  $m_{wg}$ -ultra hausdroff.

**Proof:** Let  $y_1$  and  $y_2$  be any distinct points of Y. Since f is surjective  $f(x) = y_1$  for some  $x \in X$  and  $(x, y_2) \in (X \times Y) \setminus G(f)$ . By the definition, there exists a mwg-clopen set U of X and  $V \in O(Y)$  such that  $(x, y_2) \in U \times V$  and  $(U \times V) \cap G(f) = \Phi$ . Then, we have  $f(U) \cap V = \Phi$ . Since f is totally  $m_{wg}$ - open, then f(U) is mwg-clopen such that  $f(x) = y_1 \in f(U)$ . This implies that Y is  $m_{wg}$ -ultra hausdroff.

**Definition 4.18.** A space  $(X, m_X)$  is said to be

- i.  $m_{wq}$  space if every mwg-open set of X is m-open in X.
- ii.  $m_{wg}$  connected if it cannot be written as the union of two nonempty disjoint mwg-open sets.

**Theorem 4.19.** If the function  $f : (X, m_X) \rightarrow (Y, m_Y)$  is totally m- continuous and X is  $m_{wg}$ - space, then f is totally  $m_{wg}$ - continuous. Proof of the theorem is obvious.

**Theorem 4.20.** If f:  $(X, m_X) \rightarrow (Y, m_Y)$  is a totally  $m_{wg}$ - continuous function from a  $m_{wg}$ - connected space X onto any space Y, then Y is an indiscrete space.

**Proof:** Suppose that Y is not indiscrete. Let A be a proper non-empty m-open subset of Y. Then f<sup>-1</sup>(A) is a proper non-empty mwg-clopen subset of  $(X, m_X)$ , which is a contradiction to the fact that X is  $m_{wq}$ - connected.

**Theorem 4.21.** Let X be  $m_{wg}$ - connected, if f:  $(X, m_X) \rightarrow (Y, m_Y)$  is a totally  $m_{wg}$ - continuous function with totally  $m_{wg}$ -closed graph, then f is constant.

**Proof:** Suppose that f is not constant. Then there exist two points x and y of X such that  $f(x) \neq f(y)$ . Then we have  $(x, f(y)) \notin G(f)$ . Since G(f) is totally  $m_{wg}$ -closed graph, there exist a mwg-clopen set U of X and  $V \in O(Y)$  such that  $f(U) \cap V = \Phi$ . Hence  $U \cap f^{-1}(V) = \Phi$ . This is contradiction with the  $m_{wg}$ - connectedness of X.

**Theorem 4.22.** If f:  $(X, m_X) \rightarrow (Y, m_Y)$  is a totally  $m_{wg}$ - continuous surjective function and X is m-connected, then Y is  $m_{wg}$ - connected space.

**Proof:** Suppose Y is not  $m_{wg}$ - connected space. Let U and V from disconnection of Y. Then U and V are mwg-open sets in Y and Y = U U V where U  $\cap$  V =  $\Phi$ . Also X = f<sup>1</sup>(Y) = f<sup>-1</sup>(U U V) = f<sup>-1</sup>(U) U f<sup>-1</sup>(V), where f<sup>-1</sup>(U) and f<sup>-1</sup>(V) are non empty mwg-clopen sets in X, because f is totally  $m_{wg}$ - continuous. Further f<sup>-1</sup>(U)  $\cap$  f<sup>-1</sup>(V) = f<sup>-1</sup>(U  $\cap$  V) = =  $\Phi$ . This implies X is not connected, which is a contradiction. Hence Y is  $m_{wg}$ - connected space.

**Definition 4.23.** A space  $(X, m_X)$  is said to be

- i. Totally  $m_{wq}$ -Compact if every mwg-clopen cover of X has a finite subcover.
- ii. Countably  $m_{wg}$  Compact if every mwg-clopen countably cover of X has a finite subcover.
- iii. Totally  $m_{wg}$  Lindelof if every mwg-clopen cover of X has a countable subcover.

**Definition 4.24.** A subset A of a space X is said to be totally  $m_{wg}$ -Compact relative to X if every cover of A mwg-clopen sets of X has a finite subcover.

**Theorem: 4.25.** If a function  $f(X, m_X) \rightarrow (Y, m_Y)$  is totally  $m_{wg}$ - continuous and A is totally  $m_{wg}$ -Compact relative to X, then f(A) is m-compact in Y.

**Proof:** Let  $\{B_{\alpha} : \alpha \in I\}$  be any cover of f(A) by m-open sets of the subspace f(A). For each  $\alpha \in I$ , there exists a m-open set  $A_{\alpha}$  of Y such that  $B_{\alpha} = K_{\alpha} \cap f(A)$ . For each  $x \in A$ , there exists  $\alpha_x \in I$  such that  $f(x) \in A_{\alpha_x}$  and there exists  $U_x \in m_X$ -WGCO(X) containing x such that  $f(U_x) \subset A_{\alpha_x}$ . Since the family  $\{U_x : x \in K\}$  is cover of A by mwg-clopen sets of K, there exists a finite subset  $A_0$  of A such that  $A \subset \{U_x : x \in A_0\}$ . Therefore, we obtain  $f(A) \subset \bigcup\{f(U_x) : x \in A_0\}$  which is subset of  $\bigcup\{A_{\alpha_x} : x \in A_0\}$ . Thus,  $f(A) = \bigcup\{A_{\alpha_x} : x \in A_0\}$  and f(A) is m-compact.

**Theorem 4.26.** Let f:  $(X, m_X) \rightarrow (Y, m_Y)$  be a totally  $m_{wg}$ - continuous surjective function, then the following statements hold:

- i. If X is totally  $m_{wq}$ -Lindelof, then Y is m-Lindelof
- ii. If X is countably  $m_{wq}$  Compact, then Y is m-countably compact.

**Proof:** Let  $\{B_{\alpha} : \alpha \in I\}$  be an m-open cover of Y. Since f is totally  $m_{wg}$ - continuous, then  $\{f^{-1}(V_{\alpha}) : \alpha \in I\}$  is a mwg-clopen cover of X. Since X is totally  $m_{wg}$ - Lindelof, there exists a countable subset  $I_0$  of I such that  $X = \bigcup \{f^{-1}(V_{\alpha_x}) : \alpha \in I_0\}$  and Y is m-Lindelof. (ii) similar to (i).

**Definition 4.27.** A  $m_{wg}$ -frontier of a subset A of X is  $m_{wg}$ -fr(A) =  $m_{wg}$ -Cl(A)  $\cap m_{wg}$ -Cl(X \A).

**Theorem 4.28.** The set of all points  $x \in X$  in which a function f:  $(X, m_X) \rightarrow (Y, m_Y)$  is not totally  $m_{wg}$ - continuous is the union of  $m_{wg}$ - frontier of the inverse image of m-open sets containing f(x).

**Proof:** Suppose that f is not totally  $m_{wg}$ - continuous at  $x \in X$ . Then there exists a more open set V of Y containing f(x) such that f(U) is not contained in V for each  $U \in m_X$ -WGO(X) containing x and hence  $x \in m_{wg}$ - Cl(X \ f<sup>-1</sup>(V)). On the other hand,  $x \in f^{-1}(V) \subset m_{wg}$ - Cl(f<sup>-1</sup>(V)) and hence  $x \in m_{wg}$ - fr(f<sup>-1</sup>(V)).

Conversely, suppose that f is totally  $m_{wg}$ - continuous at  $x \in X$  and let V be a m-open set of Y containing f(x). Then there exists  $U \in MWGO(X)$  containing x such that  $U \subset f^{-1}(V)$ . Hence,  $x \in m_{wg}$ -Int (f<sup>-1</sup>(V)). Therefore,  $x \in m_{wg}$ - fr(f<sup>-1</sup>(V)) for each m-open set V of Y containing f(x).

## 5. Conclusion

In this paper, we introduced the new class of graph functions called as minimal weakly generalized closed graph ( $m_{wg}$ -closed graph) and totally  $m_{wg}$ -closed graph in minimal structure space. Many of the their properties with some new continuous functions such as quasi mwg-continuous and totally mwg-continuous functions are studied and their characterisations with separation axioms, compact spaces, connected spaces and Lindelof spaces as introduced in m-spaces using minimal weakly generalized closed sets are analyzed.

*Acknowledgment.* The authors are thankful to the reviewers for their valuable comments and suggestions to improve this research paper.

## REFERENCES

- 1. M.Caldas, S.Jafari and N.Rajesh, Properties of totally b-Continuous functions, Analele stiintifice ale universitatii "Al.I. Cuza" Din IASI (S. N.) Matematica, Tomul LV, (2009) 119 -130.
- M.K.Ghosh, Separation axioms and graphs of functions in nano topological spaces via nano β-open sets, *Annals of Pure and Applied Mathematics*, 14(2) (2017) 213-223.
- 3. A.A.Hakawati and M.Abu-Eideh, On strong topological aspects in Uryson spaces, *Annals of Pure and Applied Mathematics*, 16(1) (2018) 117-125.
- 4. W.K.Min, m-semiopen sets and M-semicontinuous functions on spaces with Minimal structures, *Honam Math. J.*, 31(2) (2009) 239-245.

- 5. W.K.Min, On minimal semi continuous functions, *Commun. Korean Math. Soc.* 27(2) (2012) 341-345.
- 6. M.Mocanu, On m-Compact spaces, *Rendiconti del Circolo Matematico di Palermo, Series II, Tomo LIII,* (2005) 1-26.
- 7. T.Noiri and V.Popa, A unified theory of weakly g-closed sets and weakly gcontinuous functions, *Srajevo Journal of Mathematics*, 9 (21) (2013) 129-142.
- 8. T.Noiri and V.Popa, A generalization of some forms of g- irresolute functions, *European J. Pure & Appl. Math*, 2(4) (2009) 473 493.
- 9. T.Noiri and V.Popa, The unified theory of certain types of generalizations of lindelöf spaces, *Emonstratio Mathematica*, *XLIII*(1) (2010) 203 -212.
- 10. T.M.Nour, Totally semi-continuous Functions, *Indian J. Pure appl. Math.*, 26(7) (1995) 675-678.
- R.Parimelazhagan, N.Nagaveni and Sai sundara Krishnan, On mg-continuous functions in Minimal Structure, *Proc. Int. Conf. Engineers and computer scientists*, I (2009) 18 - 20.
- 12. V.Popa and T.Noiri, On M-continuous Functions, Anal. Univ. Dunarea de Jos"Galati, Ser. Mat. Fiz. Mec. Teor., 18 (23) (200) 31-41.
- 13. V.Popa and T.Noiri, On the definition of some generalized forms of continuity under minimal conditions, *Mem. Fac. Sci. Kochi Univ. Ser. A Math.*, 22 (2001) 9 19.
- 14. V.Popa and T.Noiri, A unified theory of weak continuity for functions, *Rendiconti del Circolo Matematico di Palermo, Series II, Tomo LI* (2002) 439-464.